

District Population Analysis with County Subtotals  
**HOUSE DISTRICTS - PLANH2261**

Total State Population	29,145,505
Total Districts Required	150
Ideal District Population	194,303
Unassigned Population	28,589,717
Districts in Plan	3
Unassigned Geography	Yes
Districts Contiguous	Yes

	<b>Population</b>	<b>-----Deviation-----</b>	
		<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Plan Overall Range		1,408	0.73%
Smallest District (35)	184,744	-9,559	-4.92%
Largest District (38)	186,152	-8,151	-4.19%
Average (mean)	185,263	9,040	4.65%

PLANH2261

## District Population Analysis with County Subtotals

### HOUSE DISTRICTS - PLANH2261

	Deviation		Total	Anglo	Non-Anglo	Asian	Black	Hispanic	B+H	%Anglo	%Non-Anglo	%Asian	%Black	%Hispanic	%B+H
<b>DISTRICT 35</b>	-9,559	Total:	184,744	9,036	175,708	720	883	174,159	174,504	4.9	95.1	0.4	0.5	94.3	94.5
	-4.92 %	VAP:	124,732	7,623	117,109	498	478	116,043	116,265	6.1	93.9	0.4	0.4	93.0	93.2
Cameron (17%)			70,137	4,131	66,006	371	396	65,214	65,402	5.9	94.1	0.5	0.6	93.0	93.2
Hidalgo (13%)			114,607	4,905	109,702	349	487	108,945	109,102	4.3	95.7	0.3	0.4	95.1	95.2
<b>DISTRICT 37</b>	-9,411	Total:	184,892	26,248	158,644	2,157	2,528	153,728	155,319	14.2	85.8	1.2	1.4	83.1	84.0
	-4.84 %	VAP:	135,324	22,861	112,463	1,705	1,707	108,490	109,765	16.9	83.1	1.3	1.3	80.2	81.1
Cameron (39%)			164,728	24,443	140,285	1,946	1,970	136,117	137,245	14.8	85.2	1.2	1.2	82.6	83.3
Willacy (100%)			20,164	1,805	18,359	211	558	17,611	18,074	9.0	91.0	1.0	2.8	87.3	89.6
<b>DISTRICT 38</b>	-8,151	Total:	186,152	8,533	177,619	1,320	1,044	175,349	175,830	4.6	95.4	0.7	0.6	94.2	94.5
	-4.19 %	VAP:	132,804	6,974	125,830	1,022	669	124,097	124,457	5.3	94.7	0.8	0.5	93.4	93.7
Cameron (44%)			186,152	8,533	177,619	1,320	1,044	175,349	175,830	4.6	95.4	0.7	0.6	94.2	94.5

**Population and Voter Data  
 with Voter Registration Comparison  
 HOUSE DISTRICTS - PLANH2261**

District	Deviation		Population								Total Voter Registration			Non-Suspense Voter Registration			
			Total	%Anglo	%Non-Anglo	%Asian	%Black	%Hispanic	%B+H	General Election	Turnout	Total	SSVR	TO/VR	Total	SSVR	TO/VR
35	-9,559	Total:	184,744	4.9	95.1	0.4	0.5	94.3	94.5	2020	41,790	77,291	85.8 %	54.1 %	72,123	86.2 %	57.9 %
	-4.92%	VAP:	124,732	6.1	93.9	0.4	0.4	93.0	93.2	2018	27,684	70,436	86.6 %	39.3 %	65,917	86.9 %	42.0 %
37	-9,411	Total:	184,892	14.2	85.8	1.2	1.4	83.1	84.0	2020	55,298	100,230	70.5 %	55.2 %	90,148	71.3 %	61.3 %
	-4.84%	VAP:	135,324	16.9	83.1	1.3	1.3	80.2	81.1	2018	40,099	94,933	70.6 %	42.2 %	85,272	71.3 %	47.0 %
38	-8,151	Total:	186,152	4.6	95.4	0.7	0.6	94.2	94.5	2020	48,385	97,425	84.2 %	49.7 %	90,173	84.4 %	53.7 %
	-4.19%	VAP:	132,804	5.3	94.7	0.8	0.5	93.4	93.7	2018	32,300	92,352	85.3 %	35.0 %	85,692	85.7 %	37.7 %

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.  
 SSVR = Spanish surname voter registration

**Plan Fit Verification**  
**HOUSE DISTRICTS**  
**PLANH2261 Compared with PLANH2176**

**The amendment fits into the base plan.**

## American Community Survey Special Tabulation Using Census and American Community Survey Data HOUSE DISTRICTS - PLANH2261

2020 Census			Special Tabulation of Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) from the 2017-2021 American Community Survey with Margins of Error												
			CVAP	Hispanic CVAP % Hispanic	Not Hispanic or Latino Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP)										
District	Total	VAP			% Black Alone	% Black + White	% Black + American Indian	% White Alone	% American Indian Alone	% Asian Alone	% Native Hawaiian Alone	% American Indian + White	% Asian + White	% Remainder 2 or More Other	
35	184,744	124,732	86,475 (±3,918)	92.8 (±1.4)	0.2 (±0.2)	0.0 (±0.2)	0.0(±0.2)	6.5 (±0.8)	0.1 (±0.2)	0.4 (±0.3)	0.0 (±0.2)	0.0 (±0.2)	0.0 (±0.2)	0.0 (±0.2)	
37	184,892	135,324	112,965 (±3,769)	77.6 (±1.8)	0.9 (±0.3)	0.1 (±0.2)	0.0(±0.2)	19.9 (±1.0)	0.2 (±0.2)	0.9 (±0.3)	0.1 (±0.2)	0.2 (±0.2)	0.0 (±0.2)	0.0 (±0.2)	
38	186,152	132,804	98,110 (±3,631)	92.8 (±1.2)	0.2 (±0.2)	0.0 (±0.2)	0.0(±0.2)	6.1 (±0.7)	0.0 (±0.2)	0.4 (±0.2)	0.0 (±0.2)	0.2 (±0.2)	0.1 (±0.2)	0.0 (±0.2)	




The American Community Survey provided estimated citizen voting age population (CVAP) data at the block group level in a Special Tabulation. Because the MOE can only be calculated using whole block groups, all block groups with more than 50% of the population in a district are included in the analysis. The Red-118 report provides a summary of the block groups used in the analysis.  
 The percent for each CVAP population category is that group's CVAP divided by the CVAP total.  
 Numbers in parentheses are margins of error at 90% confidence level.

# Incumbents by District




## HOUSE DISTRICTS - PLANH2261

District	Incumbents
35	Longoria - D
37	J. Lopez - R
38	Gómez - D

# State House Districts Proposed Plan PLANH2261




COUNTIES  
**PLANH2261**



Map ID 5900  
10/10/21 17:20:21






# CAMERON COUNTY

State House Districts  
Proposed Plan  
**PLANH2261**

 COUNTIES  
 **PLANH2261**




49511  
2020 Census  
PLANH2261 2021-10-10 17:19:28

Map ID 5900  
10/10/21 17:20:21








# HIDALGO COUNTY

## State House Districts Proposed Plan PLANH2261

 COUNTIES

 **PLANH2261**



Map ID 5900  
10/10/21 17:20:21



49511  
2020 Census  
PLANH2261 2021-10-10 17:19:28