

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
STATE	14,047,871	23.0%	4,749,102	33.8%
DISTRICT 1	439,524	4.4%	162,196	36.9%
Bowie (76%)	43,545	1.9%	16,177	37.2%
Cass (100%)	19,307	1.5%	6,964	36.1%
Cherokee (100%)	26,960	6.2%	9,754	36.2%
Gregg (100%)	67,930	5.0%	23,486	34.6%
Harrison (100%)	42,822	3.2%	14,801	34.6%
Marion (100%)	7,023	1.6%	2,260	32.2%
Nacogdoches (100%)	30,969	5.8%	12,045	38.9%
Panola (100%)	15,770	2.6%	5,786	36.7%
Rusk (100%)	30,358	4.6%	10,613	35.0%
Sabine (100%)	7,453	1.6%	2,767	37.1%
San Augustine (100%)	6,167	2.1%	2,724	44.2%
Shelby (100%)	14,584	3.9%	5,627	38.6%
Smith (100%)	126,636	5.9%	49,192	38.8%
DISTRICT 2	357,180	12.5%	128,702	36.0%
Harris (8%)	180,118	15.5%	65,209	36.2%
Montgomery (63%)	177,062	9.4%	63,493	35.9%
DISTRICT 3	352,672	7.6%	127,010	36.0%
Collin (57%)	262,979	8.0%	95,515	36.3%
Delta (100%)	3,571	2.7%	1,405	39.3%
Franklin (100%)	6,651	4.1%	2,594	39.0%
Hopkins (100%)	21,486	4.8%	7,735	36.0%
Hunt (70%)	33,455	6.1%	11,437	34.2%
Morris (100%)	8,533	2.8%	3,166	37.1%
Titus (100%)	15,997	13.7%	5,158	32.2%
DISTRICT 4	383,108	4.8%	136,764	35.7%
Bowie (24%)	13,704	2.0%	5,345	39.0%
Collin (40%)	205,810	5.9%	75,706	36.8%
Denton (10%)	31,323	5.3%	11,565	36.9%
Fannin (100%)	19,885	3.4%	6,584	33.1%
Grayson (100%)	74,700	4.2%	23,718	31.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Lamar (100%)	29,766	2.0%	11,022	37.0%
Red River (100%)	7,920	2.2%	2,824	35.7%
DISTRICT 5	367,238	10.1%	128,736	35.1%
Anderson (100%)	27,016	6.0%	10,238	37.9%
Dallas (16%)	194,920	13.9%	68,546	35.2%
Henderson (100%)	49,181	4.5%	17,367	35.3%
Kaufman (100%)	61,605	8.0%	20,580	33.4%
Van Zandt (100%)	34,516	3.6%	12,005	34.8%
DISTRICT 6	366,050	12.4%	131,376	35.9%
Dallas (8%)	75,096	21.5%	22,435	29.9%
Ellis (100%)	93,162	12.8%	32,323	34.7%
Johnson (62%)	54,092	8.8%	19,440	35.9%
Navarro (69%)	17,736	11.3%	6,020	33.9%
Tarrant (10%)	125,964	8.5%	51,158	40.6%
DISTRICT 7	322,641	16.6%	106,053	32.9%
Fort Bend (22%)	73,191	14.3%	21,190	29.0%
Harris (12%)	249,450	17.3%	84,863	34.0%
DISTRICT 8	320,100	15.1%	105,791	33.0%
Harris (10%)	174,268	20.8%	51,339	29.5%
Montgomery (37%)	104,709	7.5%	41,501	39.6%
Walker (38%)	11,161	9.5%	3,804	34.1%
Waller (100%)	29,962	10.6%	9,147	30.5%
DISTRICT 9	307,707	37.0%	89,133	29.0%
Harris (16%)	307,707	37.0%	89,133	29.0%
DISTRICT 10	395,830	8.0%	153,642	38.8%
Bastrop (2%)	543	8.1%	289	53.2%
Brazos (100%)	93,172	12.4%	31,799	34.1%
Burleson (100%)	11,048	9.8%	4,212	38.1%
Grimes (100%)	15,283	9.6%	5,024	32.9%
Houston (100%)	12,940	3.1%	4,670	36.1%
Lee (100%)	9,876	9.5%	4,285	43.4%
Leon (100%)	10,885	4.6%	4,275	39.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Madison (100%)	6,945	7.4%	2,448	35.2%
Polk (100%)	37,162	4.3%	10,507	28.3%
San Jacinto (100%)	16,524	4.8%	6,592	39.9%
Travis (21%)	151,260	7.4%	67,972	44.9%
Trinity (100%)	11,046	3.3%	3,896	35.3%
Walker (62%)	19,146	6.6%	7,673	40.1%
DISTRICT 11	372,448	22.2%	122,340	32.8%
Brown (100%)	22,747	11.4%	8,203	36.1%
Burnet (15%)	4,408	7.4%	2,122	48.1%
Coke (100%)	2,134	11.7%	787	36.9%
Coleman (100%)	5,901	11.1%	2,240	38.0%
Concho (100%)	1,645	25.3%	606	36.8%
Ector (100%)	68,547	39.4%	15,037	21.9%
Glasscock (100%)	757	13.6%	400	52.8%
Irion (100%)	1,313	17.8%	440	33.5%
Kimble (100%)	2,877	13.2%	1,231	42.8%
Llano (100%)	14,442	3.8%	7,135	49.4%
McCulloch (100%)	5,228	20.9%	1,753	33.5%
Mason (100%)	2,869	15.0%	1,371	47.8%
Menard (100%)	1,535	27.0%	486	31.7%
Midland (100%)	75,384	23.8%	23,685	31.4%
Runnels (100%)	6,595	23.4%	2,353	35.7%
San Saba (100%)	3,714	16.2%	1,447	39.0%
Sterling (100%)	843	22.2%	460	54.6%
Tom Green (100%)	61,754	24.7%	18,540	30.0%
Travis (12%)	72,487	13.6%	28,606	39.5%
Williamson (6%)	17,268	15.3%	5,438	31.5%
DISTRICT 12	335,006	16.1%	122,799	36.7%
Parker (79%)	63,043	5.0%	25,602	40.6%
Tarrant (31%)	271,963	18.7%	97,197	35.7%
DISTRICT 13	415,822	13.0%	141,439	34.0%
Archer (100%)	6,300	3.0%	2,700	42.9%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Armstrong (100%)	1,334	3.3%	573	43.0%
Baylor (100%)	2,510	7.4%	844	33.6%
Briscoe (100%)	1,134	15.6%	527	46.5%
Carson (100%)	4,254	5.6%	1,864	43.8%
Childress (100%)	3,572	14.4%	1,100	30.8%
Clay (100%)	7,608	2.6%	2,858	37.6%
Collingsworth (100%)	1,891	15.9%	830	43.9%
Cottle (100%)	1,090	15.4%	367	33.7%
Dallam (100%)	3,092	24.5%	861	27.8%
Deaf Smith (100%)	8,455	50.5%	2,734	32.3%
Denton (17%)	71,522	10.4%	26,800	37.5%
Dickens (100%)	1,318	17.2%	518	39.3%
Donley (100%)	2,408	4.2%	944	39.2%
Foard (100%)	1,070	12.8%	331	30.9%
Gray (100%)	12,995	10.8%	3,770	29.0%
Hall (100%)	2,037	18.5%	587	28.8%
Hansford (100%)	3,070	20.3%	1,209	39.4%
Hardeman (100%)	2,567	11.3%	669	26.1%
Hartley (100%)	2,860	7.0%	1,114	39.0%
Hemphill (100%)	2,283	11.2%	830	36.4%
Hutchinson (100%)	13,433	11.1%	4,496	33.5%
King (100%)	186	7.5%	94	50.5%
Knox (100%)	2,372	23.2%	900	37.9%
Lipscomb (100%)	1,981	12.5%	888	44.8%
Montague (100%)	12,939	3.7%	6,821	52.7%
Moore (100%)	9,335	34.2%	2,774	29.7%
Motley (100%)	789	6.3%	374	47.4%
Ochiltree (100%)	5,220	22.7%	1,597	30.6%
Oldham (100%)	1,351	8.4%	563	41.7%
Potter (100%)	52,798	21.0%	13,877	26.3%
Randall (100%)	80,212	10.7%	28,413	35.4%
Roberts (100%)	678	3.5%	352	51.9%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Sherman (100%)	1,521	20.0%	600	39.4%
Wheeler (100%)	3,476	7.9%	1,354	39.0%
Wichita (100%)	72,289	9.6%	21,297	29.5%
Wilbarger (100%)	7,952	16.9%	2,751	34.6%
Wise (15%)	5,920	4.4%	2,258	38.1%
DISTRICT 14	413,800	12.7%	140,835	34.0%
Brazoria (49%)	91,983	19.4%	29,963	32.6%
Chambers (0%)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Galveston (100%)	192,382	13.5%	65,503	34.0%
Jefferson (58%)	78,870	8.7%	28,091	35.6%
Orange (100%)	50,565	3.5%	17,278	34.2%
DISTRICT 15	337,514	68.6%	99,306	29.4%
Aransas (82%)	12,752	17.2%	4,765	37.4%
Bee (100%)	15,126	55.8%	5,228	34.6%
Brooks (100%)	6,430	89.3%	2,513	39.1%
DeWitt (100%)	11,938	23.2%	4,049	33.9%
Goliad (100%)	5,525	29.6%	2,788	50.5%
Gonzales (100%)	12,105	31.1%	3,713	30.7%
Hidalgo (64%)	203,784	83.1%	56,045	27.5%
Jim Wells (100%)	25,665	74.2%	5,870	22.9%
Lavaca (100%)	13,131	9.4%	5,060	38.5%
Refugio (90%)	4,451	39.7%	1,935	43.5%
San Patricio (64%)	26,607	57.9%	7,340	27.6%
DISTRICT 16	371,404	69.5%	75,189	20.2%
El Paso (89%)	371,404	69.5%	75,189	20.2%
DISTRICT 17	389,954	11.9%	138,009	35.4%
Bell (9%)	18,147	15.8%	4,653	25.6%
Bosque (100%)	11,828	7.3%	4,949	41.8%
Falls (100%)	9,857	9.2%	3,068	31.1%
Freestone (100%)	11,431	3.9%	4,506	39.4%
Hill (100%)	22,025	8.5%	9,005	40.9%
Limestone (100%)	13,410	6.9%	4,314	32.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
McLennan (100%)	128,740	12.6%	44,362	34.5%
Milam (100%)	14,418	12.7%	5,041	35.0%
Navarro (31%)	9,626	5.3%	3,661	38.0%
Robertson (100%)	11,368	11.6%	5,113	45.0%
Williamson (49%)	139,104	13.5%	49,337	35.5%
DISTRICT 18	380,088	13.4%	125,913	33.1%
Brazoria (14%)	17,048	14.0%	5,733	33.6%
Fort Bend (19%)	80,634	13.5%	28,628	35.5%
Harris (12%)	282,406	13.3%	91,552	32.4%
DISTRICT 19	406,536	26.0%	122,332	30.1%
Andrews (100%)	8,523	36.1%	2,221	26.1%
Bailey (100%)	3,534	41.7%	1,005	28.4%
Borden (100%)	440	8.0%	263	59.8%
Callahan (11%)	1,002	6.0%	306	30.5%
Castro (100%)	3,965	44.1%	1,198	30.2%
Cochran (100%)	1,775	41.4%	495	27.9%
Crosby (100%)	3,594	44.0%	1,108	30.8%
Dawson (100%)	7,406	47.8%	2,054	27.7%
Fisher (100%)	2,773	20.0%	1,245	44.9%
Floyd (100%)	4,078	43.1%	1,098	26.9%
Gaines (100%)	8,073	32.1%	2,577	31.9%
Garza (100%)	2,736	27.4%	841	30.7%
Hale (100%)	19,354	44.9%	4,375	22.6%
Haskell (100%)	3,497	17.5%	1,068	30.5%
Hockley (100%)	13,513	33.4%	3,696	27.4%
Howard (100%)	16,790	26.6%	4,283	25.5%
Jones (100%)	9,885	15.9%	3,379	34.2%
Kent (100%)	611	9.8%	307	50.2%
Lamb (100%)	8,318	39.6%	2,120	25.5%
Lubbock (100%)	157,067	23.6%	47,632	30.3%
Lynn (100%)	3,864	40.3%	1,211	31.3%
Martin (100%)	3,092	35.7%	1,206	39.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Mitchell (100%)	4,562	28.5%	1,233	27.0%
Nolan (100%)	8,557	24.2%	2,692	31.5%
Parmer (100%)	4,389	40.3%	1,392	31.7%
Scurry (100%)	9,380	26.1%	2,902	30.9%
Shackelford (100%)	2,313	7.0%	815	35.2%
Stonewall (100%)	1,012	9.8%	389	38.4%
Swisher (100%)	4,115	28.8%	1,462	35.5%
Taylor (100%)	75,871	14.4%	23,779	31.3%
Terry (100%)	7,183	42.3%	2,293	31.9%
Throckmorton (100%)	1,296	6.3%	535	41.3%
Yoakum (100%)	3,968	41.9%	1,152	29.0%
DISTRICT 20	358,759	57.8%	94,208	26.3%
Bexar (38%)	358,759	57.8%	94,208	26.3%
DISTRICT 21	434,908	21.2%	177,444	40.8%
Bandera (100%)	14,840	11.2%	6,431	43.3%
Bexar (17%)	191,525	29.7%	73,770	38.5%
Blanco (100%)	7,388	8.8%	3,611	48.9%
Comal (100%)	82,305	15.6%	34,932	42.4%
Gillespie (100%)	18,149	9.2%	8,876	48.9%
Hays (45%)	58,882	18.8%	21,883	37.2%
Kendall (100%)	26,733	11.0%	11,945	44.7%
Kerr (100%)	32,646	12.5%	14,936	45.8%
Real (100%)	2,440	18.4%	1,060	43.4%
DISTRICT 22	347,064	14.8%	132,824	38.3%
Brazoria (36%)	74,666	14.9%	26,617	35.6%
Fort Bend (59%)	210,353	14.7%	82,725	39.3%
Harris (3%)	62,045	15.2%	23,482	37.8%
DISTRICT 23	363,658	46.6%	118,566	32.6%
Bexar (19%)	156,519	26.0%	62,701	40.1%
Brewster (100%)	7,029	31.4%	2,949	42.0%
Crane (100%)	2,523	42.6%	851	33.7%
Crockett (100%)	2,535	50.9%	835	32.9%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Culberson (100%)	1,759	67.4%	331	18.8%
Edwards (100%)	1,504	40.0%	904	60.1%
El Paso (11%)	33,275	77.2%	5,428	16.3%
Frio (100%)	9,973	75.1%	1,855	18.6%
Hudspeth (100%)	1,739	56.0%	480	27.6%
Jeff Davis (100%)	1,682	20.5%	1,051	62.5%
Kinney (100%)	2,295	41.8%	1,212	52.8%
Loving (100%)	122	18.9%	42	34.4%
Maverick (79%)	23,623	87.6%	4,705	19.9%
Medina (100%)	28,454	38.2%	9,858	34.6%
Pecos (100%)	8,160	59.0%	3,084	37.8%
Presidio (100%)	5,293	68.8%	1,050	19.8%
Reagan (100%)	1,775	44.0%	517	29.1%
Reeves (100%)	6,551	74.6%	1,223	18.7%
Schleicher (100%)	1,828	34.9%	860	47.0%
Sutton (100%)	2,494	45.7%	753	30.2%
Terrell (100%)	822	39.1%	423	51.5%
Upton (100%)	2,188	39.7%	670	30.6%
Uvalde (100%)	16,292	57.6%	5,209	32.0%
Val Verde (100%)	26,475	65.1%	7,116	26.9%
Ward (100%)	6,318	39.8%	1,986	31.4%
Winkler (100%)	3,693	38.3%	985	26.7%
Zavala (100%)	8,737	88.6%	1,488	17.0%
DISTRICT 24	431,534	8.1%	179,394	41.6%
Dallas (9%)	132,591	7.6%	59,641	45.0%
Tarrant (26%)	298,943	8.3%	119,753	40.1%
DISTRICT 25	374,782	10.0%	137,534	36.7%
Callahan (89%)	7,834	4.9%	2,712	34.6%
Comanche (100%)	8,593	12.8%	3,080	35.8%
Eastland (100%)	10,867	7.3%	3,984	36.7%
Erath (100%)	20,454	7.8%	7,468	36.5%
Hood (100%)	35,903	4.9%	13,652	38.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Jack (100%)	4,794	4.7%	1,752	36.5%
Johnson (38%)	29,982	9.8%	9,844	32.8%
Palo Pinto (100%)	17,043	9.0%	5,714	33.5%
Parker (21%)	15,395	4.0%	6,257	40.6%
Somervell (100%)	5,812	8.8%	2,255	38.8%
Stephens (100%)	5,584	11.2%	1,948	34.9%
Tarrant (21%)	200,848	12.3%	73,451	36.6%
Young (100%)	11,673	6.8%	5,417	46.4%
DISTRICT 26	360,291	8.2%	124,993	34.7%
Cooke (100%)	23,973	5.3%	8,816	36.8%
Denton (74%)	305,856	8.5%	105,671	34.5%
Wise (85%)	30,462	7.6%	10,506	34.5%
DISTRICT 27	386,887	27.1%	140,008	36.2%
Aransas (18%)	3,061	9.7%	1,349	44.1%
Austin (100%)	18,567	11.2%	7,223	38.9%
Bastrop (98%)	42,030	16.0%	16,787	39.9%
Caldwell (100%)	21,213	33.6%	8,910	42.0%
Calhoun (100%)	12,696	36.3%	4,163	32.8%
Colorado (100%)	13,412	14.0%	5,220	38.9%
Fayette (100%)	15,866	8.5%	7,629	48.1%
Hays (55%)	47,750	26.7%	17,805	37.3%
Jackson (100%)	8,799	20.6%	2,947	33.5%
Matagorda (100%)	20,965	24.2%	7,032	33.5%
Nueces (17%)	34,505	70.1%	6,893	20.0%
Refugio (10%)	592	56.1%	224	37.8%
San Patricio (36%)	13,395	26.2%	4,459	33.3%
Travis (7%)	35,218	23.4%	14,738	41.8%
Victoria (100%)	51,896	33.8%	17,162	33.1%
Washington (100%)	22,251	5.4%	9,063	40.7%
Wharton (100%)	24,671	24.9%	8,404	34.1%
DISTRICT 28	331,460	82.8%	79,493	24.0%
Atascosa (100%)	24,594	52.6%	7,608	30.9%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Dimmit (100%)	7,296	83.2%	1,972	27.0%
Duval (100%)	8,380	86.7%	3,398	40.5%
Hidalgo (36%)	116,445	82.8%	27,477	23.6%
Jim Hogg (100%)	3,854	90.9%	734	19.0%
La Salle (100%)	4,100	76.4%	868	21.2%
Live Oak (100%)	7,085	30.7%	2,461	34.7%
McMullen (100%)	702	28.5%	265	37.7%
Maverick (21%)	5,270	92.3%	666	12.6%
Starr (100%)	30,241	95.2%	5,422	17.9%
Webb (100%)	116,048	88.5%	27,440	23.6%
Zapata (100%)	7,445	86.9%	1,182	15.9%
DISTRICT 29	281,613	31.1%	73,938	26.3%
Harris (16%)	281,613	31.1%	73,938	26.3%
DISTRICT 30	376,879	13.0%	124,163	32.9%
Dallas (20%)	273,317	11.7%	92,591	33.9%
Tarrant (12%)	103,562	16.3%	31,572	30.5%
DISTRICT 31	347,141	11.2%	116,333	33.5%
Bell (91%)	150,825	12.3%	39,484	26.2%
Burnet (85%)	23,021	8.9%	9,918	43.1%
Coryell (100%)	35,244	9.4%	8,788	24.9%
Hamilton (100%)	5,388	4.0%	2,431	45.1%
Lampasas (100%)	13,088	10.4%	4,698	35.9%
Mills (100%)	3,381	8.6%	1,364	40.3%
Williamson (45%)	116,194	11.2%	49,650	42.7%
DISTRICT 32	384,271	8.4%	142,235	37.0%
Camp (100%)	7,231	6.7%	2,613	36.1%
Collin (4%)	17,588	4.8%	6,836	38.9%
Dallas (18%)	231,103	10.6%	84,028	36.4%
Hunt (30%)	15,668	4.6%	5,003	31.9%
Rains (100%)	6,826	3.2%	2,452	35.9%
Rockwall (100%)	51,805	7.6%	21,089	40.7%
Upshur (100%)	26,393	2.5%	8,893	33.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	Total Voter Registration		Turnout	
	Total	SSVR-T	Total	TO/VR
Wood (100%)	27,657	3.2%	11,321	40.9%
DISTRICT 33	299,212	28.7%	83,288	27.8%
Dallas (29%)	299,212	28.7%	83,288	27.8%
DISTRICT 34	371,771	64.5%	99,782	26.8%
Cameron (100%)	186,662	78.9%	40,439	21.7%
Kenedy (100%)	346	69.4%	125	36.1%
Kleberg (100%)	17,405	62.7%	5,797	33.3%
Nueces (83%)	155,801	46.0%	51,182	32.9%
Willacy (100%)	11,557	84.6%	2,239	19.4%
DISTRICT 35	372,406	41.6%	117,003	31.4%
Bexar (26%)	251,453	48.7%	73,373	29.2%
Guadalupe (100%)	84,286	24.2%	30,607	36.3%
Karnes (100%)	7,816	44.9%	2,589	33.1%
Wilson (100%)	28,851	29.6%	10,434	36.2%
DISTRICT 36	413,729	11.6%	140,067	33.9%
Angelina (100%)	49,912	9.3%	16,969	34.0%
Chambers (100%)	25,108	9.4%	8,504	33.9%
Hardin (100%)	36,001	2.8%	11,663	32.4%
Harris (7%)	148,712	21.7%	49,794	33.5%
Jasper (100%)	22,333	2.5%	7,142	32.0%
Jefferson (42%)	67,123	5.6%	25,618	38.2%
Liberty (100%)	42,329	7.5%	12,654	29.9%
Newton (100%)	8,959	1.7%	2,907	32.4%
Tyler (100%)	13,252	1.8%	4,816	36.3%
DISTRICT 37	398,874	19.7%	158,632	39.8%
Travis (59%)	398,874	19.7%	158,632	39.8%
DISTRICT 38	378,010	12.0%	151,632	40.1%
Harris (16%)	378,010	12.0%	151,632	40.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 1	STATE	2,861,244	61.6%	1,597,272	34.4%	133,736	2.9%	54,689	1.2%	1,075	0.0%	2,796,251	59.3%	1,835,458	38.9%	66,535	1.4%	18,519	0.4%
		120,130	75.7%	34,275	21.6%	3,440	2.2%	810	0.5%	64	0.0%	121,525	75.4%	37,503	23.3%	1,624	1.0%	389	0.2%
	Bowie (76 %)	11,476	71.8%	3,930	24.6%	452	2.8%	109	0.7%	12	0.1%	11,423	70.6%	4,462	27.6%	232	1.4%	51	0.3%
	Cass (100 %)	5,082	74.7%	1,538	22.6%	138	2.0%	41	0.6%	0	0.0%	5,185	74.6%	1,687	24.3%	57	0.8%	18	0.3%
	Cherokee (100 %)	7,440	79.0%	1,724	18.3%	213	2.3%	42	0.4%	0	0.0%	7,709	79.6%	1,862	19.2%	94	1.0%	23	0.2%
	Gregg (100 %)	16,939	74.5%	5,275	23.2%	396	1.7%	133	0.6%	3	0.0%	17,210	74.1%	5,808	25.0%	174	0.7%	47	0.2%
	Harrison (100 %)	10,473	72.0%	3,714	25.5%	281	1.9%	65	0.4%	12	0.1%	10,384	70.8%	4,086	27.9%	158	1.1%	30	0.2%
	Marion (100 %)	1,461	67.3%	657	30.2%	42	1.9%	12	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,480	66.9%	705	31.9%	17	0.8%	11	0.5%
	Nacogdoches (100 %)	8,867	75.6%	2,497	21.3%	295	2.5%	75	0.6%	1	0.0%	9,021	74.9%	2,845	23.6%	130	1.1%	43	0.4%
	Panola (100 %)	4,615	80.6%	986	17.2%	111	1.9%	13	0.2%	0	0.0%	4,614	80.2%	1,069	18.6%	56	1.0%	13	0.2%
	Rusk (100 %)	8,437	80.9%	1,759	16.9%	190	1.8%	39	0.4%	4	0.0%	8,517	80.9%	1,906	18.1%	83	0.8%	21	0.2%
	Sabine (100 %)	2,307	84.9%	348	12.8%	49	1.8%	13	0.5%	1	0.0%	2,372	85.9%	355	12.9%	27	1.0%	8	0.3%
	San Augustine (100 %)	1,805	68.8%	740	28.2%	61	2.3%	19	0.7%	0	0.0%	1,920	70.5%	756	27.8%	34	1.2%	14	0.5%
	Shelby (100 %)	4,293	79.2%	997	18.4%	94	1.7%	32	0.6%	2	0.0%	4,330	78.7%	1,116	20.3%	44	0.8%	12	0.2%
DISTRICT 2	Smith (100 %)	36,935	76.3%	10,110	20.9%	1,118	2.3%	217	0.4%	29	0.1%	37,360	76.5%	10,846	22.2%	518	1.1%	98	0.2%
		93,509	73.8%	28,541	22.5%	3,557	2.8%	1,034	0.8%	3	0.0%	92,159	71.7%	34,268	26.6%	1,738	1.4%	415	0.3%
	Harris (8 %)	43,972	68.6%	17,825	27.8%	1,671	2.6%	625	1.0%	2	0.0%	43,116	66.2%	20,987	32.2%	849	1.3%	207	0.3%
	Montgomery (63 %)	49,537	79.2%	10,716	17.1%	1,886	3.0%	409	0.7%	1	0.0%	49,043	77.3%	13,281	20.9%	889	1.4%	208	0.3%
DISTRICT 3		88,973	71.3%	31,364	25.1%	3,482	2.8%	888	0.7%	95	0.1%	87,053	68.9%	36,909	29.2%	1,806	1.4%	432	0.3%
	Collin (57 %)	65,604	69.6%	25,141	26.7%	2,765	2.9%	686	0.7%	88	0.1%	63,928	67.3%	29,369	30.9%	1,385	1.5%	306	0.3%
	Delta (100 %)	1,030	77.4%	248	18.6%	38	2.9%	15	1.1%	0	0.0%	984	71.4%	351	25.5%	29	2.1%	14	1.0%
	Franklin (100 %)	2,188	85.6%	295	11.5%	55	2.2%	15	0.6%	2	0.1%	2,196	84.7%	353	13.6%	34	1.3%	8	0.3%
	Hopkins (100 %)	5,728	78.5%	1,384	19.0%	144	2.0%	40	0.5%	0	0.0%	5,745	75.2%	1,759	23.0%	103	1.3%	30	0.4%
	Hunt (70 %)	8,621	77.0%	2,172	19.4%	314	2.8%	90	0.8%	5	0.0%	8,365	73.7%	2,758	24.3%	168	1.5%	53	0.5%
	Morris (100 %)	1,990	65.4%	978	32.1%	65	2.1%	11	0.4%	0	0.0%	2,033	65.4%	1,031	33.2%	38	1.2%	5	0.2%
DISTRICT 4	Titus (100 %)	3,812	74.9%	1,146	22.5%	101	2.0%	31	0.6%	0	0.0%	3,802	73.8%	1,288	25.0%	49	1.0%	16	0.3%
		96,260	71.6%	33,737	25.1%	3,524	2.6%	886	0.7%	59	0.0%	93,679	68.9%	40,028	29.4%	1,785	1.3%	418	0.3%
	Bowie (24 %)	4,202	80.2%	870	16.6%	127	2.4%	35	0.7%	5	0.1%	4,151	77.7%	1,101	20.6%	71	1.3%	21	0.4%
	Collin (40 %)	50,248	67.2%	21,809	29.2%	2,082	2.8%	530	0.7%	51	0.1%	48,375	64.2%	25,783	34.2%	998	1.3%	189	0.3%
	Denton (10 %)	8,658	75.2%	2,537	22.0%	270	2.3%	46	0.4%	0	0.0%	8,375	72.4%	3,029	26.2%	139	1.2%	19	0.2%
	Fannin (100 %)	4,991	78.6%	1,128	17.8%	171	2.7%	61	1.0%	1	0.0%	4,897	75.5%	1,449	22.3%	99	1.5%	39	0.6%
	Grayson (100 %)	18,146	77.7%	4,522	19.4%	536	2.3%	143	0.6%	2	0.0%	18,103	77.0%	5,067	21.6%	276	1.2%	62	0.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	GOVERNOR			LT. GOVERNOR						ATTORNEY GEN									
	Pavitt-W		Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G		
DISTRICT 1	STATE	1,141	0.0%	2,724,207	58.1%	1,813,847	38.7%	119,818	2.6%	27,716	0.6%	2,743,182	58.8%	1,773,114	38.0%	118,188	2.5%	29,589	0.6%
		32	0.0%	119,820	75.0%	36,335	22.7%	3,112	1.9%	531	0.3%	117,868	74.0%	38,548	24.2%	2,383	1.5%	539	0.3%
	Bowie (76 %)	8	0.0%	11,361	71.4%	4,081	25.6%	402	2.5%	71	0.4%	10,935	69.0%	4,593	29.0%	254	1.6%	57	0.4%
	Cass (100 %)	0	0.0%	5,137	74.6%	1,593	23.1%	123	1.8%	30	0.4%	4,938	72.0%	1,812	26.4%	91	1.3%	20	0.3%
	Cherokee (100 %)	0	0.0%	7,600	79.0%	1,821	18.9%	177	1.8%	27	0.3%	7,419	77.4%	1,983	20.7%	155	1.6%	30	0.3%
	Gregg (100 %)	1	0.0%	16,786	72.6%	5,824	25.2%	415	1.8%	104	0.4%	16,832	72.5%	5,940	25.6%	335	1.4%	100	0.4%
	Harrison (100 %)	2	0.0%	10,269	70.6%	3,929	27.0%	293	2.0%	47	0.3%	10,134	69.9%	4,104	28.3%	218	1.5%	44	0.3%
	Marion (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,484	67.0%	687	31.0%	36	1.6%	8	0.4%	1,442	65.2%	728	32.9%	32	1.4%	8	0.4%
	Nacogdoches (100 %)	3	0.0%	8,906	74.6%	2,723	22.8%	274	2.3%	41	0.3%	8,803	74.0%	2,812	23.7%	215	1.8%	59	0.5%
	Panola (100 %)	0	0.0%	4,580	80.0%	1,048	18.3%	87	1.5%	7	0.1%	4,502	78.7%	1,145	20.0%	61	1.1%	9	0.2%
	Rusk (100 %)	4	0.0%	8,403	80.4%	1,853	17.7%	169	1.6%	28	0.3%	8,285	79.7%	1,945	18.7%	123	1.2%	41	0.4%
	Sabine (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,328	85.5%	341	12.5%	48	1.8%	7	0.3%	2,232	82.2%	447	16.5%	25	0.9%	11	0.4%
	San Augustine (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,851	69.8%	716	27.0%	76	2.9%	10	0.4%	1,713	65.5%	857	32.8%	35	1.3%	11	0.4%
	Shelby (100 %)	2	0.0%	4,226	78.4%	1,058	19.6%	89	1.7%	18	0.3%	4,037	75.2%	1,259	23.4%	56	1.0%	17	0.3%
DISTRICT 2	Smith (100 %)	12	0.0%	36,889	75.9%	10,661	21.9%	923	1.9%	133	0.3%	36,596	75.6%	10,923	22.6%	783	1.6%	132	0.3%
		8	0.0%	90,611	70.7%	33,725	26.3%	3,134	2.4%	740	0.6%	92,208	72.1%	32,487	25.4%	2,549	2.0%	633	0.5%
	Harris (8 %)	5	0.0%	42,481	65.4%	20,696	31.9%	1,447	2.2%	332	0.5%	43,216	66.5%	20,099	30.9%	1,260	1.9%	384	0.6%
DISTRICT 3	Montgomery (63 %)	3	0.0%	48,130	76.1%	13,029	20.6%	1,687	2.7%	408	0.6%	48,992	77.9%	12,388	19.7%	1,289	2.0%	249	0.4%
		57	0.0%	85,923	68.6%	35,394	28.3%	3,301	2.6%	572	0.5%	86,434	69.2%	35,206	28.2%	2,711	2.2%	535	0.4%
	Collin (57 %)	50	0.1%	62,972	66.8%	28,383	30.1%	2,519	2.7%	464	0.5%	63,956	67.9%	27,700	29.4%	2,169	2.3%	418	0.4%
	Delta (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,024	75.3%	277	20.4%	49	3.6%	9	0.7%	995	74.2%	311	23.2%	26	1.9%	9	0.7%
	Franklin (100 %)	2	0.1%	2,160	84.0%	353	13.7%	52	2.0%	6	0.2%	2,115	82.8%	393	15.4%	43	1.7%	4	0.2%
	Hopkins (100 %)	0	0.0%	5,720	76.0%	1,602	21.3%	180	2.4%	24	0.3%	5,597	75.0%	1,732	23.2%	107	1.4%	25	0.3%
	Hunt (70 %)	5	0.0%	8,362	74.0%	2,565	22.7%	319	2.8%	51	0.5%	8,374	74.7%	2,549	22.7%	236	2.1%	53	0.5%
	Morris (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,953	64.4%	1,003	33.1%	73	2.4%	2	0.1%	1,852	61.2%	1,109	36.6%	58	1.9%	9	0.3%
	Titus (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,732	73.6%	1,211	23.9%	109	2.2%	16	0.3%	3,545	70.3%	1,412	28.0%	72	1.4%	17	0.3%
	DISTRICT 4		39	0.0%	91,864	68.2%	38,834	28.8%	3,454	2.6%	632	0.5%	92,349	68.7%	38,724	28.8%	2,739	2.0%	555
Bowie (24 %)		1	0.0%	4,114	78.9%	942	18.1%	137	2.6%	23	0.4%	3,890	75.4%	1,157	22.4%	88	1.7%	22	0.4%
Collin (40 %)		31	0.0%	46,962	62.9%	25,411	34.0%	1,956	2.6%	383	0.5%	47,921	64.3%	24,631	33.0%	1,677	2.2%	323	0.4%
Denton (10 %)		0	0.0%	8,226	71.4%	3,006	26.1%	259	2.2%	38	0.3%	8,422	73.2%	2,857	24.8%	199	1.7%	28	0.2%
Fannin (100 %)		6	0.1%	4,900	76.2%	1,291	20.1%	204	3.2%	34	0.5%	4,856	75.2%	1,433	22.2%	128	2.0%	38	0.6%
Grayson (100 %)		1	0.0%	17,832	76.1%	4,922	21.0%	563	2.4%	104	0.4%	17,633	75.6%	5,180	22.2%	422	1.8%	99	0.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 1	STATE	2,698,398	58.4%	1,742,142	37.7%	136,870	3.0%	44,981	1.0%	2,827,299	60.7%	1,644,800	35.3%	126,406	2.7%	60,110	1.3%	2,699,224	58.6%
		115,277	73.0%	37,983	24.0%	3,841	2.4%	875	0.6%	117,826	74.0%	36,594	23.0%	3,748	2.4%	953	0.6%	115,080	73.0%
	Bowie (76 %)	10,561	67.5%	4,461	28.5%	497	3.2%	119	0.8%	10,997	69.4%	4,255	26.9%	450	2.8%	137	0.9%	10,559	67.7%
	Cass (100 %)	4,863	71.1%	1,766	25.8%	159	2.3%	50	0.7%	5,014	72.9%	1,686	24.5%	137	2.0%	45	0.7%	4,847	70.9%
	Cherokee (100 %)	7,190	76.0%	1,964	20.7%	246	2.6%	66	0.7%	7,330	76.8%	1,891	19.8%	267	2.8%	54	0.6%	7,082	75.1%
	Gregg (100 %)	16,449	71.7%	5,908	25.7%	488	2.1%	99	0.4%	16,969	73.5%	5,554	24.1%	457	2.0%	108	0.5%	16,649	72.5%
	Harrison (100 %)	9,911	68.7%	4,109	28.5%	337	2.3%	71	0.5%	10,111	69.6%	3,981	27.4%	340	2.3%	87	0.6%	9,938	69.0%
	Marion (100 %)	1,446	65.3%	702	31.7%	47	2.1%	19	0.9%	1,465	66.0%	695	31.3%	36	1.6%	24	1.1%	1,430	64.6%
	Nacogdoches (100 %)	8,609	73.2%	2,766	23.5%	297	2.5%	81	0.7%	8,735	73.7%	2,718	22.9%	308	2.6%	99	0.8%	8,517	72.8%
	Panola (100 %)	4,448	78.0%	1,118	19.6%	113	2.0%	22	0.4%	4,514	78.9%	1,081	18.9%	100	1.7%	23	0.4%	4,440	78.0%
	Rusk (100 %)	8,114	78.6%	1,963	19.0%	208	2.0%	41	0.4%	8,306	79.7%	1,853	17.8%	204	2.0%	53	0.5%	8,097	78.5%
	Sabine (100 %)	2,187	81.7%	422	15.8%	57	2.1%	12	0.4%	2,227	82.2%	407	15.0%	60	2.2%	14	0.5%	2,173	81.3%
	San Augustine (100 %)	1,615	63.3%	844	33.1%	76	3.0%	16	0.6%	1,704	65.5%	823	31.6%	62	2.4%	13	0.5%	1,598	62.3%
	Shelby (100 %)	3,962	74.5%	1,221	23.0%	105	2.0%	29	0.5%	4,105	76.2%	1,160	21.5%	91	1.7%	28	0.5%	3,980	74.9%
DISTRICT 2	Smith (100 %)	35,922	74.6%	10,739	22.3%	1,211	2.5%	250	0.5%	36,349	75.2%	10,490	21.7%	1,236	2.6%	268	0.6%	35,770	74.5%
		90,991	71.6%	32,298	25.4%	2,979	2.3%	841	0.7%	93,780	73.5%	29,377	23.0%	3,233	2.5%	1,120	0.9%	91,886	72.5%
	Harris (8 %)	42,603	66.1%	20,042	31.1%	1,401	2.2%	448	0.7%	44,178	68.3%	18,441	28.5%	1,454	2.2%	620	1.0%	43,137	67.1%
DISTRICT 3	Montgomery (63 %)	48,388	77.3%	12,256	19.6%	1,578	2.5%	393	0.6%	49,602	79.0%	10,936	17.4%	1,779	2.8%	500	0.8%	48,749	78.0%
		84,112	68.4%	34,196	27.8%	3,663	3.0%	1,019	0.8%	87,568	70.5%	31,965	25.7%	3,556	2.9%	1,172	0.9%	84,397	68.8%
	Collin (57 %)	62,429	67.3%	26,804	28.9%	2,810	3.0%	785	0.8%	64,733	69.2%	25,112	26.8%	2,764	3.0%	953	1.0%	62,722	67.8%
DISTRICT 4	Delta (100 %)	957	71.6%	320	23.9%	44	3.3%	16	1.2%	1,024	75.6%	281	20.7%	36	2.7%	14	1.0%	953	71.5%
	Franklin (100 %)	2,070	82.0%	371	14.7%	72	2.9%	12	0.5%	2,111	82.6%	352	13.8%	76	3.0%	18	0.7%	2,059	81.5%
	Hopkins (100 %)	5,386	73.3%	1,725	23.5%	182	2.5%	54	0.7%	5,703	76.2%	1,578	21.1%	170	2.3%	34	0.5%	5,308	72.6%
	Hunt (70 %)	8,072	73.1%	2,500	22.7%	358	3.2%	107	1.0%	8,470	75.6%	2,318	20.7%	317	2.8%	104	0.9%	8,058	73.1%
	Morris (100 %)	1,790	60.4%	1,081	36.5%	73	2.5%	21	0.7%	1,917	63.2%	1,024	33.7%	77	2.5%	17	0.6%	1,815	60.7%
	Titus (100 %)	3,408	68.8%	1,395	28.2%	124	2.5%	24	0.5%	3,610	71.4%	1,300	25.7%	116	2.3%	32	0.6%	3,482	69.8%
		90,585	68.4%	37,017	27.9%	3,787	2.9%	1,061	0.8%	94,450	70.6%	34,443	25.7%	3,676	2.7%	1,225	0.9%	91,123	69.0%
	Bowie (24 %)	3,721	73.4%	1,151	22.7%	159	3.1%	39	0.8%	3,979	76.8%	1,008	19.5%	158	3.0%	36	0.7%	3,785	74.6%
	Collin (40 %)	47,336	64.5%	23,297	31.8%	2,095	2.9%	626	0.9%	49,636	67.0%	21,605	29.2%	2,068	2.8%	756	1.0%	47,863	65.5%
	Denton (10 %)	8,284	72.6%	2,765	24.2%	307	2.7%	58	0.5%	8,540	74.6%	2,533	22.1%	298	2.6%	80	0.7%	8,309	73.2%
	Fannin (100 %)	4,738	74.6%	1,356	21.4%	188	3.0%	65	1.0%	4,878	76.1%	1,282	20.0%	177	2.8%	76	1.2%	4,696	73.8%
	Grayson (100 %)	17,262	74.6%	5,009	21.6%	690	3.0%	185	0.8%	17,721	75.9%	4,797	20.6%	634	2.7%	188	0.8%	17,275	74.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF						
	Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D		
DISTRICT 1	STATE	1,697,092	36.8%	132,506	2.9%	77,562	1.7%	2,691,086	58.3%	1,682,678	36.5%	145,373	3.2%	93,781	2.0%	2,756,945	59.7%	1,720,513	37.3%
		37,859	24.0%	3,352	2.1%	1,436	0.9%	115,502	73.1%	37,226	23.6%	4,064	2.6%	1,163	0.7%	116,318	73.7%	37,773	23.9%
	Bowie (76 %)	4,420	28.4%	421	2.7%	187	1.2%	10,455	66.9%	4,465	28.6%	554	3.5%	155	1.0%	10,766	68.5%	4,460	28.4%
	Cass (100 %)	1,802	26.4%	114	1.7%	70	1.0%	4,855	71.3%	1,754	25.8%	150	2.2%	50	0.7%	4,893	72.0%	1,751	25.8%
	Cherokee (100 %)	2,019	21.4%	236	2.5%	99	1.0%	7,157	75.9%	1,942	20.6%	262	2.8%	72	0.8%	7,159	76.0%	1,999	21.2%
	Gregg (100 %)	5,693	24.8%	436	1.9%	178	0.8%	16,739	72.6%	5,678	24.6%	499	2.2%	152	0.7%	16,785	73.1%	5,698	24.8%
	Harrison (100 %)	4,031	28.0%	327	2.3%	108	0.7%	9,974	69.2%	3,984	27.6%	375	2.6%	85	0.6%	10,053	69.6%	4,060	28.1%
	Marion (100 %)	710	32.1%	46	2.1%	27	1.2%	1,448	65.6%	699	31.6%	41	1.9%	21	1.0%	1,448	65.7%	711	32.2%
	Nacogdoches (100 %)	2,763	23.6%	248	2.1%	166	1.4%	8,664	73.9%	2,666	22.7%	281	2.4%	119	1.0%	8,627	73.6%	2,780	23.7%
	Panola (100 %)	1,122	19.7%	94	1.7%	39	0.7%	4,453	78.1%	1,098	19.3%	116	2.0%	33	0.6%	4,503	79.1%	1,089	19.1%
	Rusk (100 %)	1,958	19.0%	179	1.7%	87	0.8%	8,101	78.4%	1,932	18.7%	236	2.3%	64	0.6%	8,130	78.8%	1,960	19.0%
	Sabine (100 %)	434	16.2%	43	1.6%	23	0.9%	2,174	81.4%	419	15.7%	71	2.7%	7	0.3%	2,152	81.0%	451	17.0%
	San Augustine (100 %)	882	34.4%	52	2.0%	32	1.2%	1,638	63.4%	853	33.0%	74	2.9%	20	0.8%	1,619	63.6%	861	33.8%
	Shelby (100 %)	1,228	23.1%	64	1.2%	41	0.8%	3,997	75.1%	1,167	21.9%	116	2.2%	39	0.7%	3,959	75.3%	1,201	22.9%
	Smith (100 %)	10,797	22.5%	1,092	2.3%	379	0.8%	35,847	74.6%	10,569	22.0%	1,289	2.7%	346	0.7%	36,224	75.2%	10,752	22.3%
DISTRICT 2		30,183	23.8%	3,117	2.5%	1,594	1.3%	92,060	72.7%	29,861	23.6%	3,275	2.6%	1,429	1.1%	92,930	73.2%	30,645	24.1%
	Harris (8 %)	18,870	29.4%	1,485	2.3%	767	1.2%	43,180	67.2%	18,730	29.1%	1,560	2.4%	831	1.3%	43,786	67.9%	19,100	29.6%
Montgomery (63 %)	11,313	18.1%	1,632	2.6%	827	1.3%	48,880	78.4%	11,131	17.9%	1,715	2.8%	598	1.0%	49,144	78.7%	11,545	18.5%	
DISTRICT 3		33,260	27.1%	3,442	2.8%	1,635	1.3%	84,143	68.7%	32,729	26.7%	4,134	3.4%	1,488	1.2%	85,656	69.7%	33,289	27.1%
	Collin (57 %)	25,803	27.9%	2,756	3.0%	1,282	1.4%	62,618	67.7%	25,537	27.6%	3,102	3.4%	1,182	1.3%	63,791	68.7%	26,024	28.0%
Delta (100 %)	331	24.8%	31	2.3%	17	1.3%	936	71.1%	305	23.2%	57	4.3%	19	1.4%	940	71.5%	329	25.0%	
Franklin (100 %)	380	15.0%	62	2.5%	25	1.0%	2,055	81.5%	366	14.5%	77	3.1%	22	0.9%	2,068	82.6%	361	14.4%	
Hopkins (100 %)	1,789	24.5%	142	1.9%	73	1.0%	5,263	72.4%	1,693	23.3%	269	3.7%	43	0.6%	5,379	74.1%	1,686	23.2%	
Hunt (70 %)	2,491	22.6%	309	2.8%	168	1.5%	8,048	73.2%	2,421	22.0%	369	3.4%	158	1.4%	8,181	74.3%	2,446	22.2%	
Morris (100 %)	1,081	36.2%	67	2.2%	27	0.9%	1,801	60.2%	1,064	35.6%	101	3.4%	24	0.8%	1,826	61.3%	1,078	36.2%	
Titus (100 %)	1,385	27.8%	75	1.5%	43	0.9%	3,422	68.9%	1,343	27.1%	159	3.2%	40	0.8%	3,471	70.1%	1,365	27.6%	
DISTRICT 4		35,618	27.0%	3,539	2.7%	1,757	1.3%	90,829	68.8%	35,404	26.8%	4,240	3.2%	1,526	1.2%	92,609	69.9%	35,876	27.1%
	Bowie (24 %)	1,090	21.5%	126	2.5%	73	1.4%	3,701	73.1%	1,114	22.0%	195	3.9%	52	1.0%	3,781	74.5%	1,124	22.2%
Collin (40 %)	22,034	30.2%	2,103	2.9%	1,018	1.4%	47,709	65.4%	22,028	30.2%	2,337	3.2%	919	1.3%	48,773	66.5%	22,304	30.4%	
Denton (10 %)	2,658	23.4%	278	2.4%	106	0.9%	8,293	73.1%	2,631	23.2%	333	2.9%	92	0.8%	8,412	73.7%	2,700	23.7%	
Fannin (100 %)	1,381	21.7%	170	2.7%	114	1.8%	4,692	73.7%	1,369	21.5%	208	3.3%	99	1.6%	4,790	75.4%	1,368	21.5%	
Grayson (100 %)	4,987	21.6%	575	2.5%	292	1.3%	17,290	74.7%	4,896	21.2%	707	3.1%	248	1.1%	17,546	75.6%	4,987	21.5%	

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

SUP CT CHIEF			SUP CT 6						SUP CT 7						SUP CT 8				
Oxford-L			Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R		
DISTRICT 1	STATE	141,321	3.1%	2,772,665	60.3%	1,677,390	36.5%	146,511	3.2%	2,711,106	58.9%	1,730,904	37.6%	126,713	2.8%	34,487	0.7%	2,947,759	78.8%
		3,805	2.4%	117,245	74.4%	36,412	23.1%	3,892	2.5%	116,799	74.2%	36,138	23.0%	3,632	2.3%	740	0.5%	121,115	89.1%
	Bowie (76 %)	501	3.2%	11,046	70.5%	4,175	26.6%	455	2.9%	10,905	69.8%	4,209	27.0%	424	2.7%	77	0.5%	11,713	85.2%
	Cass (100 %)	156	2.3%	4,981	73.2%	1,679	24.7%	144	2.1%	4,957	73.1%	1,636	24.1%	150	2.2%	34	0.5%	5,201	90.8%
	Cherokee (100 %)	265	2.8%	7,248	77.0%	1,894	20.1%	266	2.8%	7,231	77.1%	1,839	19.6%	251	2.7%	58	0.6%	7,453	90.2%
	Gregg (100 %)	469	2.0%	16,824	73.4%	5,628	24.6%	468	2.0%	16,758	73.2%	5,595	24.4%	464	2.0%	90	0.4%	17,237	90.0%
	Harrison (100 %)	339	2.3%	10,140	70.2%	3,925	27.2%	373	2.6%	10,163	70.6%	3,852	26.8%	316	2.2%	68	0.5%	10,602	87.8%
	Marion (100 %)	46	2.1%	1,471	66.5%	693	31.3%	47	2.1%	1,464	66.3%	689	31.2%	45	2.0%	10	0.5%	1,512	88.5%
	Nacogdoches (100 %)	312	2.7%	8,675	74.3%	2,665	22.8%	336	2.9%	8,652	74.2%	2,664	22.9%	272	2.3%	66	0.6%	8,873	86.8%
	Panola (100 %)	99	1.7%	4,509	79.3%	1,063	18.7%	111	2.0%	4,480	78.9%	1,049	18.5%	121	2.1%	26	0.5%	4,625	92.0%
	Rusk (100 %)	226	2.2%	8,193	79.7%	1,855	18.0%	234	2.3%	8,155	79.3%	1,874	18.2%	211	2.1%	40	0.4%	8,382	91.9%
	Sabine (100 %)	55	2.1%	2,190	82.8%	388	14.7%	68	2.6%	2,206	84.5%	330	12.6%	66	2.5%	10	0.4%	2,265	91.6%
	San Augustine (100 %)	66	2.6%	1,668	65.8%	792	31.2%	76	3.0%	1,725	67.6%	738	28.9%	63	2.5%	24	0.9%	1,828	85.4%
	Shelby (100 %)	95	1.8%	4,032	77.0%	1,099	21.0%	102	1.9%	4,003	76.5%	1,112	21.3%	95	1.8%	22	0.4%	4,238	91.4%
DISTRICT 2	Smith (100 %)	1,176	2.4%	36,268	75.5%	10,556	22.0%	1,212	2.5%	36,100	75.2%	10,551	22.0%	1,154	2.4%	215	0.4%	37,186	89.3%
		3,325	2.6%	93,141	73.7%	29,941	23.7%	3,305	2.6%	91,514	72.4%	31,342	24.8%	2,917	2.3%	683	0.5%	96,377	85.9%
	Harris (8 %)	1,555	2.4%	43,898	68.5%	18,648	29.1%	1,520	2.4%	42,847	66.8%	19,567	30.5%	1,339	2.1%	370	0.6%	45,556	84.2%
DISTRICT 3	Montgomery (63 %)	1,770	2.8%	49,243	79.0%	11,293	18.1%	1,785	2.9%	48,667	78.1%	11,775	18.9%	1,578	2.5%	313	0.5%	50,821	87.6%
		3,865	3.1%	85,887	70.2%	32,483	26.5%	3,978	3.3%	85,027	69.5%	33,033	27.0%	3,583	2.9%	731	0.6%	89,294	83.5%
	Collin (57 %)	2,986	3.2%	63,632	68.9%	25,663	27.8%	3,090	3.3%	62,904	68.1%	26,259	28.4%	2,729	3.0%	538	0.6%	66,062	81.7%
DISTRICT 4	Delta (100 %)	45	3.4%	983	74.7%	293	22.3%	40	3.0%	969	74.0%	286	21.8%	43	3.3%	11	0.8%	1,046	88.8%
	Franklin (100 %)	75	3.0%	2,091	84.0%	321	12.9%	78	3.1%	2,087	83.6%	326	13.1%	69	2.8%	13	0.5%	2,150	92.7%
	Hopkins (100 %)	196	2.7%	5,480	75.5%	1,576	21.7%	198	2.7%	5,442	75.2%	1,565	21.6%	188	2.6%	39	0.5%	5,734	90.0%
	Hunt (70 %)	378	3.4%	8,238	75.1%	2,361	21.5%	373	3.4%	8,146	74.4%	2,378	21.7%	345	3.1%	87	0.8%	8,480	88.4%
	Morris (100 %)	73	2.5%	1,877	63.1%	1,009	33.9%	87	2.9%	1,881	63.0%	1,004	33.6%	82	2.7%	18	0.6%	2,001	84.4%
	Titus (100 %)	112	2.3%	3,586	72.3%	1,260	25.4%	112	2.3%	3,598	72.5%	1,215	24.5%	127	2.6%	25	0.5%	3,821	89.1%
		3,977	3.0%	92,749	70.3%	34,991	26.5%	4,110	3.1%	91,698	69.6%	35,598	27.0%	3,723	2.8%	767	0.6%	96,808	83.1%
	Bowie (24 %)	168	3.3%	3,932	77.7%	961	19.0%	169	3.3%	3,892	77.4%	961	19.1%	143	2.8%	34	0.7%	4,151	89.2%
	Collin (40 %)	2,299	3.1%	48,358	66.3%	22,201	30.4%	2,381	3.3%	47,710	65.4%	22,738	31.2%	2,117	2.9%	414	0.6%	50,675	79.5%
	Denton (10 %)	298	2.6%	8,405	73.9%	2,679	23.6%	290	2.5%	8,313	73.2%	2,712	23.9%	292	2.6%	34	0.3%	8,774	84.9%
Fannin (100 %)	193	3.0%	4,862	76.7%	1,277	20.1%	204	3.2%	4,833	76.3%	1,257	19.8%	182	2.9%	66	1.0%	5,065	88.3%	
Grayson (100 %)	668	2.9%	17,708	76.6%	4,740	20.5%	683	3.0%	17,573	75.9%	4,781	20.7%	643	2.8%	145	0.6%	18,211	87.9%	

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	SUP CT 8				CCA 3				CCA 4				CCA 9							
	Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R			
DISTRICT 1	STATE	447,479	12.0%	345,640	9.2%	2,738,093	59.8%	1,672,821	36.6%	165,315	3.6%	2,876,166	76.3%	498,937	13.2%	393,991	10.5%	2,929,782	78.3%	
		9,156	6.7%	5,682	4.2%	115,858	73.8%	36,580	23.3%	4,510	2.9%	119,279	87.3%	12,047	8.8%	5,291	3.9%	120,433	88.4%	
	Bowie (76 %)	1,269	9.2%	761	5.5%	10,752	69.2%	4,198	27.0%	586	3.8%	11,506	83.7%	1,584	11.5%	663	4.8%	11,675	84.0%	
	Cass (100 %)	297	5.2%	231	4.0%	4,909	72.6%	1,682	24.9%	167	2.5%	5,118	89.3%	427	7.5%	184	3.2%	5,181	90.6%	
	Cherokee (100 %)	530	6.4%	280	3.4%	7,146	76.3%	1,898	20.3%	316	3.4%	7,294	88.1%	784	9.5%	202	2.4%	7,419	89.9%	
	Gregg (100 %)	1,135	5.9%	773	4.0%	16,781	73.2%	5,606	24.5%	540	2.4%	17,028	87.9%	1,515	7.8%	833	4.3%	17,146	88.8%	
	Harrison (100 %)	901	7.5%	574	4.8%	10,027	69.9%	3,912	27.3%	410	2.9%	10,498	86.8%	1,096	9.1%	503	4.2%	10,532	87.4%	
	Marion (100 %)	115	6.7%	81	4.7%	1,458	66.2%	695	31.5%	50	2.3%	1,491	86.7%	153	8.9%	76	4.4%	1,516	89.0%	
	Nacogdoches (100 %)	819	8.0%	531	5.2%	8,580	73.9%	2,671	23.0%	354	3.1%	8,742	85.5%	1,004	9.8%	483	4.7%	8,816	86.7%	
	Panola (100 %)	272	5.4%	129	2.6%	4,397	77.6%	1,138	20.1%	130	2.3%	4,561	90.7%	371	7.4%	97	1.9%	4,601	91.5%	
	Rusk (100 %)	470	5.2%	272	3.0%	8,115	79.1%	1,874	18.3%	267	2.6%	8,280	90.3%	648	7.1%	245	2.7%	8,357	91.4%	
	Sabine (100 %)	138	5.6%	69	2.8%	2,154	81.5%	401	15.2%	87	3.3%	2,218	90.5%	182	7.4%	52	2.1%	2,260	91.5%	
	San Augustine (100 %)	183	8.5%	130	6.1%	1,665	64.6%	815	31.6%	96	3.7%	1,789	81.7%	288	13.1%	114	5.2%	1,798	83.0%	
	Shelby (100 %)	257	5.5%	143	3.1%	3,948	76.1%	1,117	21.5%	122	2.4%	4,151	89.9%	356	7.7%	108	2.3%	4,213	91.2%	
DISTRICT 2	Smith (100 %)	2,770	6.6%	1,708	4.1%	35,926	75.0%	10,573	22.1%	1,385	2.9%	36,603	87.2%	3,639	8.7%	1,731	4.1%	36,919	88.4%	
		8,796	7.8%	6,968	6.2%	92,385	73.3%	29,953	23.8%	3,681	2.9%	95,742	85.0%	9,204	8.2%	7,644	6.8%	96,199	85.7%	
	Harris (8 %)	4,713	8.7%	3,839	7.1%	43,421	68.0%	18,695	29.3%	1,774	2.8%	45,202	82.9%	4,987	9.1%	4,337	8.0%	45,551	83.8%	
DISTRICT 3	Montgomery (63 %)	4,083	7.0%	3,129	5.4%	48,964	78.8%	11,258	18.1%	1,907	3.1%	50,540	87.0%	4,217	7.3%	3,307	5.7%	50,648	87.5%	
		10,370	9.7%	7,325	6.8%	84,985	69.8%	32,475	26.7%	4,336	3.6%	87,569	80.9%	12,723	11.7%	7,997	7.4%	88,567	82.4%	
	Collin (57 %)	8,623	10.7%	6,179	7.6%	63,161	68.6%	25,568	27.8%	3,285	3.6%	64,883	79.0%	10,154	12.4%	7,059	8.6%	65,392	80.4%	
	Delta (100 %)	78	6.6%	54	4.6%	943	72.4%	300	23.0%	60	4.6%	1,014	86.1%	123	10.4%	41	3.5%	1,044	88.9%	
	Franklin (100 %)	102	4.4%	68	2.9%	2,063	83.0%	332	13.4%	91	3.7%	2,118	91.3%	154	6.6%	48	2.1%	2,146	92.2%	
	Hopkins (100 %)	399	6.3%	241	3.8%	5,352	74.5%	1,587	22.1%	241	3.4%	5,568	87.2%	626	9.8%	192	3.0%	5,712	89.7%	
	Hunt (70 %)	652	6.8%	462	4.8%	8,131	74.5%	2,367	21.7%	417	3.8%	8,324	86.4%	900	9.3%	409	4.2%	8,484	88.3%	
	Morris (100 %)	227	9.6%	142	6.0%	1,847	62.2%	1,041	35.0%	83	2.8%	1,955	82.4%	312	13.2%	105	4.4%	1,990	84.2%	
	Titus (100 %)	289	6.7%	179	4.2%	3,488	70.8%	1,280	26.0%	159	3.2%	3,707	86.1%	454	10.5%	143	3.3%	3,799	88.7%	
	DISTRICT 4		11,108	9.5%	8,586	7.4%	91,639	69.9%	34,934	26.7%	4,495	3.4%	94,871	80.7%	13,500	11.5%	9,169	7.8%	95,908	82.2%
		Bowie (24 %)	302	6.5%	200	4.3%	3,781	75.4%	1,025	20.4%	209	4.2%	4,029	86.6%	468	10.1%	156	3.4%	4,149	88.5%
		Collin (40 %)	7,377	11.6%	5,697	8.9%	48,028	66.2%	22,035	30.4%	2,497	3.4%	49,687	76.9%	8,377	13.0%	6,543	10.1%	50,005	78.3%
		Denton (10 %)	1,001	9.7%	563	5.4%	8,329	73.7%	2,640	23.4%	333	2.9%	8,716	83.7%	1,060	10.2%	634	6.1%	8,748	84.2%
		Fannin (100 %)	229	4.0%	444	7.7%	4,773	75.9%	1,284	20.4%	231	3.7%	4,918	86.2%	392	6.9%	396	6.9%	5,033	88.6%
Grayson (100 %)		1,370	6.6%	1,127	5.4%	17,492	75.9%	4,779	20.7%	772	3.4%	17,847	85.6%	1,957	9.4%	1,038	5.0%	18,112	87.2%	

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
	Strange-L		Altgelt-G		
DISTRICT 1	STATE	491,967	13.1%	320,457	8.6%
		11,352	8.3%	4,495	3.3%
	Bowie (76 %)	1,641	11.8%	578	4.2%
	Cass (100 %)	364	6.4%	174	3.0%
	Cherokee (100 %)	628	7.6%	207	2.5%
	Gregg (100 %)	1,477	7.7%	680	3.5%
	Harrison (100 %)	1,104	9.2%	414	3.4%
	Marion (100 %)	124	7.3%	64	3.8%
	Nacogdoches (100 %)	923	9.1%	434	4.3%
	Panola (100 %)	345	6.9%	85	1.7%
	Rusk (100 %)	584	6.4%	204	2.2%
	Sabine (100 %)	159	6.4%	50	2.0%
	San Augustine (100 %)	274	12.6%	95	4.4%
	Shelby (100 %)	322	7.0%	86	1.9%
	Smith (100 %)	3,407	8.2%	1,424	3.4%
DISTRICT 2		9,497	8.5%	6,521	5.8%
	Harris (8 %)	5,123	9.4%	3,692	6.8%
	Montgomery (63 %)	4,374	7.6%	2,829	4.9%
DISTRICT 3		12,162	11.3%	6,707	6.2%
	Collin (57 %)	10,035	12.3%	5,886	7.2%
	Delta (100 %)	86	7.3%	45	3.8%
	Franklin (100 %)	149	6.4%	33	1.4%
	Hopkins (100 %)	487	7.6%	169	2.7%
	Hunt (70 %)	753	7.8%	370	3.9%
	Morris (100 %)	293	12.4%	80	3.4%
	Titus (100 %)	359	8.4%	124	2.9%
DISTRICT 4		12,902	11.1%	7,861	6.7%
	Bowie (24 %)	409	8.7%	129	2.8%
	Collin (40 %)	8,315	13.0%	5,550	8.7%
	Denton (10 %)	1,079	10.4%	560	5.4%
	Fannin (100 %)	319	5.6%	331	5.8%
	Grayson (100 %)	1,731	8.3%	921	4.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	8,062	75.5%	2,274	21.3%	284	2.7%	60	0.6%	0	0.0%	7,785	71.4%	2,869	26.3%	171	1.6%	74	0.7%
	Red River (100 %)	1,953	74.7%	597	22.8%	54	2.1%	11	0.4%	0	0.0%	1,993	72.0%	730	26.4%	31	1.1%	14	0.5%
DISTRICT 5		84,527	67.2%	37,166	29.6%	3,079	2.4%	945	0.8%	9	0.0%	82,064	64.3%	43,465	34.0%	1,645	1.3%	447	0.4%
	Anderson (100 %)	7,791	76.9%	2,017	19.9%	250	2.5%	74	0.7%	2	0.0%	7,732	75.6%	2,286	22.3%	141	1.4%	54	0.5%
	Dallas (16 %)	38,678	57.8%	25,956	38.8%	1,649	2.5%	596	0.9%	0	0.0%	36,873	54.2%	30,103	44.3%	846	1.2%	202	0.3%
	Henderson (100 %)	13,264	79.1%	3,027	18.0%	402	2.4%	83	0.5%	0	0.0%	13,118	76.6%	3,689	21.5%	255	1.5%	60	0.4%
	Kaufman (100 %)	14,930	74.1%	4,619	22.9%	474	2.4%	129	0.6%	0	0.0%	14,590	71.7%	5,449	26.8%	241	1.2%	73	0.4%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	9,864	83.7%	1,547	13.1%	304	2.6%	63	0.5%	7	0.1%	9,751	81.8%	1,938	16.3%	162	1.4%	58	0.5%
DISTRICT 6		90,003	69.5%	34,644	26.8%	3,649	2.8%	1,151	0.9%	5	0.0%	87,782	67.0%	40,753	31.1%	1,846	1.4%	534	0.4%
	Dallas (8 %)	12,236	55.7%	8,789	40.0%	645	2.9%	281	1.3%	0	0.0%	11,877	53.3%	9,976	44.8%	327	1.5%	97	0.4%
	Ellis (100 %)	24,106	75.6%	6,703	21.0%	857	2.7%	220	0.7%	0	0.0%	23,604	73.5%	7,963	24.8%	434	1.4%	135	0.4%
	Johnson (62 %)	15,376	79.7%	3,167	16.4%	621	3.2%	137	0.7%	0	0.0%	15,102	77.7%	3,937	20.3%	302	1.6%	88	0.5%
	Navarro (69 %)	4,295	73.7%	1,349	23.1%	127	2.2%	55	0.9%	2	0.0%	4,198	70.8%	1,620	27.3%	72	1.2%	34	0.6%
	Tarrant (10 %)	33,990	67.3%	14,636	29.0%	1,399	2.8%	458	0.9%	3	0.0%	33,001	64.5%	17,257	33.7%	711	1.4%	180	0.4%
DISTRICT 7		49,132	47.1%	50,733	48.6%	2,813	2.7%	1,633	1.6%	16	0.0%	44,975	42.5%	59,100	55.8%	1,389	1.3%	425	0.4%
	Fort Bend (22 %)	10,151	48.4%	10,221	48.7%	418	2.0%	173	0.8%	12	0.1%	9,735	46.0%	11,133	52.6%	233	1.1%	78	0.4%
	Harris (12 %)	38,981	46.8%	40,512	48.6%	2,395	2.9%	1,460	1.8%	4	0.0%	35,240	41.6%	47,967	56.6%	1,156	1.4%	347	0.4%
		71,181	68.4%	29,068	27.9%	2,866	2.8%	964	0.9%	5	0.0%	70,017	66.3%	33,785	32.0%	1,375	1.3%	380	0.4%
DISTRICT 8	Harris (10 %)	27,425	54.4%	21,020	41.7%	1,331	2.6%	617	1.2%	3	0.0%	26,487	51.7%	23,844	46.6%	673	1.3%	190	0.4%
	Montgomery (37 %)	34,975	85.3%	4,622	11.3%	1,179	2.9%	212	0.5%	1	0.0%	34,895	84.1%	5,898	14.2%	545	1.3%	130	0.3%
	Walker (38 %)	2,393	64.8%	1,096	29.7%	149	4.0%	53	1.4%	0	0.0%	2,344	62.2%	1,330	35.3%	73	1.9%	20	0.5%
	Waller (100 %)	6,388	70.9%	2,330	25.9%	207	2.3%	82	0.9%	1	0.0%	6,291	68.9%	2,713	29.7%	84	0.9%	40	0.4%
DISTRICT 9		53,604	61.3%	30,641	35.1%	1,985	2.3%	1,151	1.3%	5	0.0%	53,181	59.8%	34,418	38.7%	958	1.1%	411	0.5%
	Harris (16 %)	53,604	61.3%	30,641	35.1%	1,985	2.3%	1,151	1.3%	5	0.0%	53,181	59.8%	34,418	38.7%	958	1.1%	411	0.5%
DISTRICT 10		99,535	66.3%	43,829	29.2%	5,293	3.5%	1,465	1.0%	23	0.0%	96,719	63.4%	52,400	34.4%	2,772	1.8%	558	0.4%
	Bastrop (2 %)	193	68.4%	68	24.1%	15	5.3%	5	1.8%	1	0.4%	177	61.5%	96	33.3%	13	4.5%	2	0.7%
	Brazos (100 %)	22,089	71.4%	7,411	23.9%	1,057	3.4%	392	1.3%	1	0.0%	21,774	69.2%	9,092	28.9%	501	1.6%	116	0.4%
	Burleson (100 %)	3,121	76.3%	858	21.0%	87	2.1%	24	0.6%	0	0.0%	3,199	76.0%	959	22.8%	42	1.0%	8	0.2%
	Grimes (100 %)	3,698	76.2%	958	19.8%	146	3.0%	48	1.0%	0	0.0%	3,716	75.0%	1,125	22.7%	80	1.6%	32	0.6%
	Houston (100 %)	3,644	79.0%	851	18.4%	95	2.1%	19	0.4%	4	0.1%	3,694	79.5%	901	19.4%	41	0.9%	10	0.2%
	Lee (100 %)	3,212	76.5%	797	19.0%	151	3.6%	37	0.9%	0	0.0%	3,199	74.7%	963	22.5%	94	2.2%	27	0.6%
	Leon (100 %)	3,688	87.6%	432	10.3%	76	1.8%	11	0.3%	2	0.0%	3,694	86.9%	511	12.0%	39	0.9%	5	0.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	GOVERNOR			LT. GOVERNOR						ATTORNEY GEN									
	Pavitt-W			Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G	
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)		0 0.0%	7,847	73.0%	2,588	24.1%	272	2.5%	47	0.4%	7,698	72.1%	2,750	25.8%	188	1.8%	38	0.4%
	Red River (100 %)		0 0.0%	1,983	72.8%	674	24.8%	63	2.3%	3	0.1%	1,929	71.7%	716	26.6%	37	1.4%	7	0.3%
DISTRICT 5			34 0.0%	81,037	63.9%	42,212	33.3%	3,072	2.4%	552	0.4%	81,652	64.6%	41,756	33.0%	2,420	1.9%	530	0.4%
	Anderson (100 %)		19 0.2%	7,659	75.3%	2,215	21.8%	251	2.5%	50	0.5%	7,686	75.7%	2,244	22.1%	181	1.8%	42	0.4%
	Dallas (16 %)		0 0.0%	35,990	53.3%	29,609	43.8%	1,614	2.4%	323	0.5%	36,512	54.4%	28,954	43.1%	1,342	2.0%	319	0.5%
	Henderson (100 %)		3 0.0%	13,097	76.8%	3,456	20.3%	436	2.6%	55	0.3%	13,132	76.9%	3,570	20.9%	305	1.8%	64	0.4%
	Kaufman (100 %)		0 0.0%	14,547	71.7%	5,162	25.4%	498	2.5%	82	0.4%	14,655	72.5%	5,117	25.3%	376	1.9%	75	0.4%
	Van Zandt (100 %)		12 0.1%	9,744	82.4%	1,770	15.0%	273	2.3%	42	0.4%	9,667	82.0%	1,871	15.9%	216	1.8%	30	0.3%
DISTRICT 6			12 0.0%	87,017	66.8%	39,006	30.0%	3,516	2.7%	653	0.5%	87,660	67.6%	38,435	29.7%	2,881	2.2%	651	0.5%
	Dallas (8 %)		0 0.0%	11,747	53.1%	9,726	43.9%	528	2.4%	137	0.6%	11,820	53.7%	9,511	43.2%	540	2.5%	141	0.6%
	Ellis (100 %)		0 0.0%	23,386	73.1%	7,589	23.7%	855	2.7%	144	0.5%	23,439	73.7%	7,598	23.9%	668	2.1%	116	0.4%
	Johnson (62 %)		4 0.0%	15,019	77.7%	3,635	18.8%	609	3.1%	76	0.4%	15,061	78.1%	3,705	19.2%	434	2.3%	79	0.4%
	Navarro (69 %)		5 0.1%	4,172	71.1%	1,538	26.2%	126	2.1%	32	0.5%	4,210	71.9%	1,530	26.1%	84	1.4%	33	0.6%
	Tarrant (10 %)		3 0.0%	32,693	64.3%	16,518	32.5%	1,398	2.7%	264	0.5%	33,130	65.4%	16,091	31.8%	1,155	2.3%	282	0.6%
DISTRICT 7			7 0.0%	42,686	40.6%	58,741	55.8%	2,801	2.7%	985	0.9%	44,860	42.8%	56,534	53.9%	2,507	2.4%	963	0.9%
	Fort Bend (22 %)		4 0.0%	9,510	45.1%	10,989	52.1%	387	1.8%	191	0.9%	9,741	46.3%	10,869	51.6%	312	1.5%	127	0.6%
	Harris (12 %)		3 0.0%	33,176	39.4%	47,752	56.8%	2,414	2.9%	794	0.9%	35,119	41.9%	45,665	54.5%	2,195	2.6%	836	1.0%
			4 0.0%	69,212	65.8%	32,997	31.4%	2,356	2.2%	646	0.6%	70,081	66.8%	32,431	30.9%	1,878	1.8%	557	0.5%
DISTRICT 8	Harris (10 %)		0 0.0%	26,110	51.2%	23,374	45.8%	1,148	2.3%	363	0.7%	26,452	51.9%	23,078	45.3%	1,033	2.0%	368	0.7%
	Montgomery (37 %)		3 0.0%	34,520	83.4%	5,746	13.9%	919	2.2%	215	0.5%	35,012	84.8%	5,498	13.3%	647	1.6%	123	0.3%
	Walker (38 %)		1 0.0%	2,319	62.3%	1,269	34.1%	118	3.2%	18	0.5%	2,306	62.2%	1,290	34.8%	86	2.3%	23	0.6%
	Waller (100 %)		0 0.0%	6,263	68.9%	2,608	28.7%	171	1.9%	50	0.5%	6,311	69.9%	2,565	28.4%	112	1.2%	43	0.5%
DISTRICT 9			8 0.0%	52,339	59.0%	34,148	38.5%	1,770	2.0%	479	0.5%	52,850	59.6%	33,619	37.9%	1,531	1.7%	614	0.7%
	Harris (16 %)		8 0.0%	52,339	59.0%	34,148	38.5%	1,770	2.0%	479	0.5%	52,850	59.6%	33,619	37.9%	1,531	1.7%	614	0.7%
DISTRICT 10			22 0.0%	93,579	61.9%	51,216	33.9%	5,447	3.6%	904	0.6%	93,652	62.3%	50,555	33.6%	5,321	3.5%	878	0.6%
	Bastrop (2 %)		0 0.0%	182	64.1%	81	28.5%	18	6.3%	3	1.1%	175	61.6%	83	29.2%	26	9.2%	0	0.0%
	Brazos (100 %)		5 0.0%	20,847	66.8%	9,121	29.2%	1,046	3.4%	202	0.6%	20,849	67.0%	9,115	29.3%	916	2.9%	226	0.7%
	Burleson (100 %)		0 0.0%	3,140	75.3%	938	22.5%	80	1.9%	13	0.3%	3,081	74.0%	1,003	24.1%	64	1.5%	17	0.4%
	Grimes (100 %)		2 0.0%	3,670	74.2%	1,110	22.5%	137	2.8%	26	0.5%	3,674	74.5%	1,127	22.9%	107	2.2%	23	0.5%
	Houston (100 %)		2 0.0%	3,656	79.2%	867	18.8%	84	1.8%	9	0.2%	3,565	77.4%	974	21.2%	46	1.0%	18	0.4%
	Lee (100 %)		1 0.0%	3,166	75.1%	877	20.8%	157	3.7%	14	0.3%	3,025	72.5%	988	23.7%	138	3.3%	22	0.5%
	Leon (100 %)		3 0.1%	3,675	86.8%	488	11.5%	65	1.5%	5	0.1%	3,651	86.3%	526	12.4%	51	1.2%	5	0.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	7,402	70.5%	2,732	26.0%	282	2.7%	77	0.7%	7,761	72.8%	2,551	23.9%	282	2.6%	73	0.7%	7,369	70.3%
	Red River (100 %)	1,842	70.1%	707	26.9%	66	2.5%	11	0.4%	1,935	72.3%	667	24.9%	59	2.2%	16	0.6%	1,826	69.6%
DISTRICT 5		79,902	63.9%	40,898	32.7%	3,190	2.6%	1,016	0.8%	83,688	66.3%	38,323	30.4%	2,964	2.3%	1,200	1.0%	80,459	64.5%
	Anderson (100 %)	7,463	74.2%	2,251	22.4%	269	2.7%	70	0.7%	7,550	74.4%	2,230	22.0%	293	2.9%	79	0.8%	7,390	73.4%
	Dallas (16 %)	35,862	54.1%	28,231	42.6%	1,623	2.4%	613	0.9%	38,371	57.2%	26,423	39.4%	1,462	2.2%	772	1.2%	36,587	55.4%
	Henderson (100 %)	12,808	75.9%	3,497	20.7%	467	2.8%	103	0.6%	13,246	77.9%	3,238	19.0%	417	2.5%	106	0.6%	12,787	75.8%
	Kaufman (100 %)	14,316	71.3%	5,101	25.4%	527	2.6%	144	0.7%	14,819	73.3%	4,761	23.5%	493	2.4%	148	0.7%	14,277	71.2%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	9,453	81.1%	1,818	15.6%	304	2.6%	86	0.7%	9,702	82.5%	1,671	14.2%	299	2.5%	95	0.8%	9,418	80.7%
DISTRICT 6		85,679	66.7%	37,771	29.4%	3,824	3.0%	1,132	0.9%	89,225	68.9%	35,407	27.3%	3,490	2.7%	1,402	1.1%	85,150	66.4%
	Dallas (8 %)	11,529	52.9%	9,356	42.9%	670	3.1%	253	1.2%	12,146	55.3%	8,865	40.4%	602	2.7%	350	1.6%	11,641	53.5%
	Ellis (100 %)	22,868	72.7%	7,417	23.6%	934	3.0%	254	0.8%	23,835	74.9%	6,903	21.7%	805	2.5%	265	0.8%	22,819	72.5%
	Johnson (62 %)	14,774	77.1%	3,610	18.8%	612	3.2%	166	0.9%	15,145	78.5%	3,357	17.4%	596	3.1%	197	1.0%	14,212	74.0%
	Navarro (69 %)	4,098	70.5%	1,539	26.5%	140	2.4%	37	0.6%	4,266	72.6%	1,465	24.9%	107	1.8%	40	0.7%	4,127	70.9%
	Tarrant (10 %)	32,410	64.6%	15,849	31.6%	1,468	2.9%	422	0.8%	33,833	66.9%	14,817	29.3%	1,380	2.7%	550	1.1%	32,351	64.7%
DISTRICT 7		44,759	43.1%	55,233	53.2%	2,588	2.5%	1,243	1.2%	49,550	47.5%	50,514	48.4%	2,399	2.3%	1,816	1.7%	46,842	45.5%
	Fort Bend (22 %)	9,751	46.5%	10,708	51.0%	356	1.7%	168	0.8%	9,956	47.3%	10,445	49.6%	406	1.9%	233	1.1%	9,697	46.4%
	Harris (12 %)	35,008	42.3%	44,525	53.7%	2,232	2.7%	1,075	1.3%	39,594	47.6%	40,069	48.1%	1,993	2.4%	1,583	1.9%	37,145	45.2%
		69,448	66.4%	32,151	30.8%	2,252	2.2%	702	0.7%	71,211	67.9%	30,223	28.8%	2,477	2.4%	958	0.9%	69,665	66.8%
DISTRICT 8	Harris (10 %)	26,199	51.7%	22,904	45.2%	1,132	2.2%	420	0.8%	27,466	54.0%	21,674	42.6%	1,101	2.2%	600	1.2%	26,550	52.6%
	Montgomery (37 %)	34,554	84.0%	5,509	13.4%	868	2.1%	205	0.5%	35,056	85.0%	4,873	11.8%	1,077	2.6%	250	0.6%	34,696	84.4%
	Walker (38 %)	2,248	61.5%	1,251	34.2%	127	3.5%	31	0.8%	2,356	63.7%	1,182	31.9%	116	3.1%	47	1.3%	2,215	60.7%
	Waller (100 %)	6,447	70.8%	2,487	27.3%	125	1.4%	46	0.5%	6,333	69.8%	2,494	27.5%	183	2.0%	61	0.7%	6,204	68.9%
DISTRICT 9		51,753	58.7%	33,925	38.5%	1,718	1.9%	748	0.8%	53,995	61.1%	31,828	36.0%	1,647	1.9%	964	1.1%	52,082	59.3%
	Harris (16 %)	51,753	58.7%	33,925	38.5%	1,718	1.9%	748	0.8%	53,995	61.1%	31,828	36.0%	1,647	1.9%	964	1.1%	52,082	59.3%
DISTRICT 10		92,874	62.4%	48,768	32.8%	5,788	3.9%	1,393	0.9%	97,296	64.9%	45,469	30.3%	5,283	3.5%	1,849	1.2%	92,638	62.7%
	Bastrop (2 %)	178	62.9%	81	28.6%	19	6.7%	5	1.8%	181	64.4%	73	26.0%	19	6.8%	8	2.8%	158	56.6%
	Brazos (100 %)	20,647	67.5%	8,494	27.8%	1,154	3.8%	298	1.0%	21,723	70.2%	7,806	25.2%	1,065	3.4%	359	1.2%	21,114	69.1%
	Burleson (100 %)	3,037	73.7%	975	23.6%	93	2.3%	18	0.4%	3,063	73.7%	983	23.7%	90	2.2%	20	0.5%	2,976	72.4%
	Grimes (100 %)	3,610	73.7%	1,101	22.5%	141	2.9%	46	0.9%	3,682	74.7%	1,055	21.4%	142	2.9%	50	1.0%	3,573	73.1%
	Houston (100 %)	3,492	76.3%	970	21.2%	89	1.9%	26	0.6%	3,557	77.4%	930	20.2%	84	1.8%	26	0.6%	3,471	76.1%
	Lee (100 %)	2,887	70.9%	1,007	24.7%	140	3.4%	40	1.0%	2,984	71.8%	989	23.8%	139	3.3%	44	1.1%	2,806	68.8%
	Leon (100 %)	3,614	85.8%	510	12.1%	76	1.8%	11	0.3%	3,662	86.4%	476	11.2%	88	2.1%	10	0.2%	3,608	85.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D	
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	2,747	26.2%	240	2.3%	126	1.2%	7,365	70.3%	2,654	25.3%	361	3.4%	102	1.0%	7,491	71.7%	2,662	25.5%
	Red River (100 %)	721	27.5%	47	1.8%	28	1.1%	1,779	68.3%	712	27.3%	99	3.8%	14	0.5%	1,816	69.8%	731	28.1%
DISTRICT 5		39,659	31.8%	3,008	2.4%	1,621	1.3%	80,226	64.3%	39,295	31.5%	3,598	2.9%	1,686	1.4%	82,216	65.8%	39,495	31.6%
	Anderson (100 %)	2,315	23.0%	226	2.2%	136	1.4%	7,404	73.5%	2,221	22.0%	350	3.5%	100	1.0%	7,494	74.5%	2,258	22.4%
	Dallas (16 %)	26,984	40.8%	1,582	2.4%	948	1.4%	36,312	54.9%	26,912	40.7%	1,825	2.8%	1,106	1.7%	37,652	56.7%	27,072	40.8%
	Henderson (100 %)	3,453	20.5%	436	2.6%	185	1.1%	12,801	75.9%	3,431	20.3%	503	3.0%	131	0.8%	13,003	77.0%	3,410	20.2%
	Kaufman (100 %)	5,047	25.2%	499	2.5%	222	1.1%	14,252	71.1%	4,985	24.9%	575	2.9%	230	1.1%	14,536	72.5%	4,985	24.9%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	1,860	15.9%	265	2.3%	130	1.1%	9,457	81.1%	1,746	15.0%	345	3.0%	119	1.0%	9,531	82.0%	1,770	15.2%
DISTRICT 6		37,676	29.4%	3,536	2.8%	1,879	1.5%	85,645	66.8%	36,536	28.5%	4,134	3.2%	1,974	1.5%	87,211	68.0%	37,260	29.0%
	Dallas (8 %)	9,133	42.0%	636	2.9%	358	1.6%	11,582	53.1%	9,033	41.4%	658	3.0%	526	2.4%	11,935	54.7%	9,220	42.3%
	Ellis (100 %)	7,410	23.5%	820	2.6%	435	1.4%	22,902	72.7%	7,186	22.8%	1,009	3.2%	422	1.3%	23,211	73.7%	7,342	23.3%
	Johnson (62 %)	4,154	21.6%	544	2.8%	284	1.5%	14,663	76.5%	3,506	18.3%	732	3.8%	263	1.4%	14,869	77.8%	3,601	18.8%
	Navarro (69 %)	1,517	26.1%	110	1.9%	63	1.1%	4,088	70.6%	1,485	25.6%	154	2.7%	66	1.1%	4,135	71.4%	1,515	26.2%
	Tarrant (10 %)	15,462	30.9%	1,426	2.9%	739	1.5%	32,410	64.8%	15,326	30.6%	1,581	3.2%	697	1.4%	33,061	65.9%	15,582	31.1%
DISTRICT 7		51,141	49.7%	2,694	2.6%	2,317	2.2%	46,764	45.3%	51,690	50.0%	2,712	2.6%	2,144	2.1%	48,430	46.7%	52,415	50.5%
	Fort Bend (22 %)	10,518	50.4%	372	1.8%	291	1.4%	9,705	46.4%	10,530	50.3%	408	1.9%	286	1.4%	9,860	47.1%	10,678	51.0%
	Harris (12 %)	40,623	49.5%	2,322	2.8%	2,026	2.5%	37,059	45.0%	41,160	50.0%	2,304	2.8%	1,858	2.3%	38,570	46.6%	41,737	50.4%
		30,967	29.7%	2,314	2.2%	1,288	1.2%	69,775	67.0%	30,740	29.5%	2,450	2.4%	1,231	1.2%	70,574	67.7%	31,226	29.9%
	Harris (10 %)	22,008	43.6%	1,163	2.3%	733	1.5%	26,507	52.5%	22,037	43.6%	1,187	2.3%	802	1.6%	27,127	53.6%	22,261	44.0%
	Montgomery (37 %)	5,145	12.5%	885	2.2%	403	1.0%	34,741	84.7%	5,001	12.2%	975	2.4%	279	0.7%	34,905	85.0%	5,158	12.6%
	Walker (38 %)	1,233	33.8%	127	3.5%	72	2.0%	2,251	61.5%	1,203	32.9%	137	3.7%	67	1.8%	2,272	62.4%	1,237	34.0%
	Waller (100 %)	2,581	28.7%	139	1.5%	80	0.9%	6,276	69.7%	2,499	27.7%	151	1.7%	83	0.9%	6,270	69.6%	2,570	28.5%
DISTRICT 9		32,851	37.4%	1,710	1.9%	1,145	1.3%	52,195	59.4%	32,183	36.6%	1,689	1.9%	1,839	2.1%	53,064	60.4%	33,002	37.6%
	Harris (16 %)	32,851	37.4%	1,710	1.9%	1,145	1.3%	52,195	59.4%	32,183	36.6%	1,689	1.9%	1,839	2.1%	53,064	60.4%	33,002	37.6%
DISTRICT 10		46,648	31.6%	5,661	3.8%	2,820	1.9%	92,492	62.5%	46,962	31.7%	6,430	4.3%	2,133	1.4%	94,679	63.8%	47,612	32.1%
	Bastrop (2 %)	78	28.0%	34	12.2%	9	3.2%	168	60.0%	82	29.3%	23	8.2%	7	2.5%	173	62.2%	85	30.6%
	Brazos (100 %)	7,898	25.8%	1,077	3.5%	465	1.5%	20,851	68.2%	8,064	26.4%	1,193	3.9%	467	1.5%	21,406	69.8%	8,088	26.4%
	Burleson (100 %)	1,020	24.8%	85	2.1%	32	0.8%	2,981	72.7%	988	24.1%	106	2.6%	27	0.7%	3,046	74.1%	964	23.5%
	Grimes (100 %)	1,092	22.4%	157	3.2%	63	1.3%	3,576	73.4%	1,087	22.3%	166	3.4%	44	0.9%	3,592	73.8%	1,116	22.9%
	Houston (100 %)	975	21.4%	68	1.5%	49	1.1%	3,478	76.2%	953	20.9%	100	2.2%	33	0.7%	3,510	77.2%	956	21.0%
	Lee (100 %)	1,049	25.7%	156	3.8%	68	1.7%	2,830	69.5%	998	24.5%	195	4.8%	51	1.3%	2,826	70.0%	1,059	26.2%
	Leon (100 %)	497	11.8%	82	1.9%	25	0.6%	3,607	85.6%	496	11.8%	96	2.3%	16	0.4%	3,630	86.4%	495	11.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

	SUP CT CHIEF			SUP CT 6					SUP CT 7					SUP CT 8					
	Oxford-L		Brown-R	Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R	Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R				
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	297	2.8%	7,606	73.2%	2,470	23.8%	313	3.0%	7,524	72.7%	2,487	24.0%	281	2.7%	63	0.6%	7,973	87.6%
	Red River (100 %)	54	2.1%	1,878	71.9%	663	25.4%	70	2.7%	1,853	71.5%	662	25.5%	65	2.5%	11	0.4%	1,959	88.6%
DISTRICT 5		3,316	2.7%	82,336	66.2%	38,702	31.1%	3,421	2.7%	81,280	65.3%	39,244	31.5%	3,194	2.6%	724	0.6%	86,108	83.8%
	Anderson (100 %)	311	3.1%	7,606	75.6%	2,138	21.3%	317	3.2%	7,572	75.4%	2,129	21.2%	294	2.9%	51	0.5%	7,847	88.6%
	Dallas (16 %)	1,684	2.5%	37,405	56.7%	26,839	40.7%	1,751	2.7%	36,711	55.6%	27,283	41.3%	1,603	2.4%	418	0.6%	39,874	78.0%
	Henderson (100 %)	467	2.8%	13,073	77.8%	3,285	19.6%	445	2.6%	12,980	77.2%	3,283	19.5%	459	2.7%	85	0.5%	13,514	90.7%
	Kaufman (100 %)	535	2.7%	14,628	73.1%	4,802	24.0%	581	2.9%	14,475	72.4%	4,919	24.6%	507	2.5%	99	0.5%	15,048	88.1%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	319	2.7%	9,624	83.0%	1,638	14.1%	327	2.8%	9,542	82.4%	1,630	14.1%	331	2.9%	71	0.6%	9,825	90.7%
DISTRICT 6		3,873	3.0%	87,610	68.5%	36,138	28.3%	4,080	3.2%	86,508	67.6%	36,792	28.8%	3,667	2.9%	926	0.7%	91,283	83.9%
	Dallas (8 %)	652	3.0%	11,949	55.0%	9,081	41.8%	689	3.2%	11,684	53.7%	9,295	42.7%	595	2.7%	170	0.8%	12,730	75.3%
	Ellis (100 %)	942	3.0%	23,477	74.8%	6,958	22.2%	968	3.1%	23,158	73.7%	7,140	22.7%	899	2.9%	221	0.7%	24,161	87.0%
	Johnson (62 %)	651	3.4%	14,955	78.5%	3,398	17.8%	707	3.7%	14,822	77.8%	3,456	18.1%	637	3.3%	145	0.8%	15,439	88.4%
	Navarro (69 %)	139	2.4%	4,156	72.0%	1,474	25.5%	141	2.4%	4,160	72.0%	1,454	25.2%	128	2.2%	32	0.6%	4,372	88.4%
	Tarrant (10 %)	1,489	3.0%	33,073	66.3%	15,227	30.5%	1,575	3.2%	32,684	65.5%	15,447	31.0%	1,408	2.8%	358	0.7%	34,581	82.9%
DISTRICT 7		2,874	2.8%	48,713	47.3%	51,301	49.8%	2,915	2.8%	44,936	43.7%	54,508	53.0%	2,424	2.4%	1,025	1.0%	51,990	67.8%
	Fort Bend (22 %)	411	2.0%	9,933	47.4%	10,608	50.6%	424	2.0%	9,691	46.3%	10,722	51.3%	351	1.7%	147	0.7%	10,913	74.9%
	Harris (12 %)	2,463	3.0%	38,780	47.3%	40,693	49.6%	2,491	3.0%	35,245	43.0%	43,786	53.4%	2,073	2.5%	878	1.1%	41,077	66.1%
		2,516	2.4%	70,803	68.2%	30,556	29.4%	2,528	2.4%	69,469	66.9%	31,521	30.3%	2,303	2.2%	616	0.6%	73,462	84.2%
	Harris (10 %)	1,234	2.4%	27,249	54.1%	21,878	43.5%	1,216	2.4%	26,215	52.1%	22,719	45.1%	1,078	2.1%	350	0.7%	28,816	76.3%
	Montgomery (37 %)	986	2.4%	34,949	85.3%	4,990	12.2%	1,020	2.5%	34,740	84.8%	5,096	12.4%	933	2.3%	177	0.4%	35,656	91.9%
	Walker (38 %)	133	3.7%	2,313	63.8%	1,174	32.4%	137	3.8%	2,265	62.5%	1,182	32.6%	135	3.7%	44	1.2%	2,447	77.9%
	Waller (100 %)	163	1.8%	6,292	70.2%	2,514	28.1%	155	1.7%	6,249	69.6%	2,524	28.1%	157	1.7%	45	0.5%	6,543	87.1%
DISTRICT 9		1,820	2.1%	53,488	61.1%	32,264	36.8%	1,833	2.1%	51,956	59.3%	33,563	38.3%	1,555	1.8%	577	0.7%	56,240	83.6%
	Harris (16 %)	1,820	2.1%	53,488	61.1%	32,264	36.8%	1,833	2.1%	51,956	59.3%	33,563	38.3%	1,555	1.8%	577	0.7%	56,240	83.6%
DISTRICT 10		6,060	4.1%	95,131	64.5%	46,212	31.3%	6,261	4.2%	93,423	63.3%	47,309	32.1%	5,661	3.8%	1,090	0.7%	100,132	77.9%
	Bastrop (2 %)	20	7.2%	172	63.5%	79	29.2%	20	7.4%	175	63.6%	79	28.7%	18	6.5%	3	1.1%	181	73.6%
	Brazos (100 %)	1,182	3.9%	21,445	70.3%	7,855	25.8%	1,186	3.9%	21,028	69.0%	8,151	26.7%	1,103	3.6%	203	0.7%	22,297	81.9%
	Burleson (100 %)	99	2.4%	3,055	74.8%	933	22.9%	95	2.3%	3,032	74.3%	945	23.2%	82	2.0%	22	0.5%	3,168	91.2%
	Grimes (100 %)	159	3.3%	3,621	74.9%	1,050	21.7%	165	3.4%	3,594	74.3%	1,056	21.8%	148	3.1%	36	0.7%	3,757	88.7%
	Houston (100 %)	81	1.8%	3,522	77.7%	905	20.0%	103	2.3%	3,521	77.9%	889	19.7%	93	2.1%	16	0.4%	3,596	90.8%
	Lee (100 %)	152	3.8%	2,948	73.2%	928	23.0%	152	3.8%	2,928	72.6%	932	23.1%	153	3.8%	21	0.5%	3,081	85.9%
	Leon (100 %)	76	1.8%	3,635	86.6%	483	11.5%	78	1.9%	3,643	86.8%	463	11.0%	75	1.8%	16	0.4%	3,723	95.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9			
		Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R	
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	678	7.4%	454	5.0%	7,434	72.3%	2,489	24.2%	365	3.5%	7,770	85.3%	1,007	11.1%	334	3.7%	7,931	87.3%
	Red River (100 %)	151	6.8%	101	4.6%	1,802	70.1%	682	26.5%	88	3.4%	1,904	86.1%	239	10.8%	68	3.1%	1,930	87.7%
DISTRICT 5		10,631	10.3%	6,043	5.9%	81,161	65.5%	38,809	31.3%	3,953	3.2%	83,942	81.6%	12,979	12.6%	5,931	5.8%	85,559	83.5%
	Anderson (100 %)	666	7.5%	345	3.9%	7,476	74.3%	2,152	21.4%	434	4.3%	7,613	85.5%	1,021	11.5%	267	3.0%	7,814	88.2%
	Dallas (16 %)	7,118	13.9%	4,121	8.1%	36,886	56.2%	26,790	40.8%	1,962	3.0%	38,675	75.7%	8,066	15.8%	4,343	8.5%	39,400	77.7%
	Henderson (100 %)	865	5.8%	527	3.5%	12,886	76.9%	3,316	19.8%	554	3.3%	13,176	88.4%	1,283	8.6%	443	3.0%	13,448	90.2%
	Kaufman (100 %)	1,360	8.0%	665	3.9%	14,441	72.4%	4,860	24.4%	645	3.2%	14,821	86.5%	1,686	9.8%	629	3.7%	15,089	88.1%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	622	5.7%	385	3.6%	9,472	82.2%	1,691	14.7%	358	3.1%	9,657	89.2%	923	8.5%	249	2.3%	9,808	90.6%
DISTRICT 6		10,563	9.7%	6,951	6.4%	86,582	68.0%	36,317	28.5%	4,520	3.5%	89,218	81.7%	13,162	12.1%	6,800	6.2%	90,741	83.5%
	Dallas (8 %)	2,562	15.2%	1,607	9.5%	11,729	54.2%	9,113	42.1%	783	3.6%	12,329	72.8%	2,852	16.8%	1,762	10.4%	12,592	75.0%
	Ellis (100 %)	2,249	8.1%	1,376	5.0%	23,174	73.8%	7,124	22.7%	1,113	3.5%	23,717	85.0%	2,983	10.7%	1,215	4.4%	24,063	86.7%
	Johnson (62 %)	1,242	7.1%	783	4.5%	14,795	77.9%	3,394	17.9%	795	4.2%	15,076	86.3%	1,731	9.9%	667	3.8%	15,343	88.1%
	Navarro (69 %)	360	7.3%	214	4.3%	4,130	71.7%	1,464	25.4%	166	2.9%	4,281	86.4%	480	9.7%	193	3.9%	4,385	87.8%
	Tarrant (10 %)	4,150	10.0%	2,971	7.1%	32,754	66.0%	15,222	30.7%	1,663	3.4%	33,815	80.7%	5,116	12.2%	2,963	7.1%	34,358	82.4%
DISTRICT 7		11,546	15.1%	13,135	17.1%	46,881	46.0%	51,418	50.4%	3,655	3.6%	51,257	65.9%	11,965	15.4%	14,542	18.7%	52,016	67.3%
	Fort Bend (22 %)	2,079	14.3%	1,579	10.8%	9,815	47.1%	10,550	50.6%	483	2.3%	10,852	72.4%	2,287	15.3%	1,843	12.3%	10,890	73.5%
	Harris (12 %)	9,467	15.2%	11,556	18.6%	37,066	45.7%	40,868	50.4%	3,172	3.9%	40,405	64.4%	9,678	15.4%	12,699	20.2%	41,126	65.8%
		7,885	9.0%	5,872	6.7%	70,071	67.7%	30,541	29.5%	2,921	2.8%	72,879	83.1%	8,383	9.6%	6,489	7.4%	73,370	83.9%
	Harris (10 %)	4,907	13.0%	4,028	10.7%	26,764	53.4%	21,947	43.8%	1,429	2.9%	28,515	74.6%	5,131	13.4%	4,588	12.0%	28,881	75.9%
	Montgomery (37 %)	1,939	5.0%	1,222	3.1%	34,762	85.1%	4,919	12.0%	1,146	2.8%	35,485	91.5%	2,038	5.3%	1,261	3.3%	35,523	91.9%
	Walker (38 %)	466	14.8%	227	7.2%	2,269	62.8%	1,172	32.5%	170	4.7%	2,415	76.6%	520	16.5%	218	6.9%	2,439	77.7%
	Waller (100 %)	573	7.6%	395	5.3%	6,276	70.1%	2,503	28.0%	176	2.0%	6,464	85.3%	694	9.2%	422	5.6%	6,527	86.4%
DISTRICT 9		6,006	8.9%	5,059	7.5%	52,736	60.4%	32,327	37.0%	2,220	2.5%	55,451	81.8%	6,056	8.9%	6,278	9.3%	56,149	83.2%
	Harris (16 %)	6,006	8.9%	5,059	7.5%	52,736	60.4%	32,327	37.0%	2,220	2.5%	55,451	81.8%	6,056	8.9%	6,278	9.3%	56,149	83.2%
DISTRICT 10		15,336	11.9%	13,153	10.2%	93,722	63.9%	46,089	31.4%	6,793	4.6%	98,387	76.2%	17,343	13.4%	13,432	10.4%	99,518	77.5%
	Bastrop (2 %)	35	14.2%	30	12.2%	166	61.0%	82	30.1%	24	8.8%	180	72.9%	41	16.6%	26	10.5%	187	75.7%
	Brazos (100 %)	2,673	9.8%	2,269	8.3%	21,147	69.8%	7,825	25.8%	1,327	4.4%	21,894	79.9%	3,155	11.5%	2,360	8.6%	22,115	81.0%
	Burleson (100 %)	190	5.5%	115	3.3%	3,031	74.4%	936	23.0%	109	2.7%	3,124	89.9%	239	6.9%	113	3.3%	3,162	90.8%
	Grimes (100 %)	299	7.1%	179	4.2%	3,596	74.6%	1,050	21.8%	176	3.6%	3,701	86.8%	388	9.1%	173	4.1%	3,745	87.9%
	Houston (100 %)	234	5.9%	130	3.3%	3,476	77.2%	911	20.2%	114	2.5%	3,551	89.8%	317	8.0%	86	2.2%	3,589	91.0%
	Lee (100 %)	330	9.2%	177	4.9%	2,870	71.7%	944	23.6%	191	4.8%	3,056	84.3%	406	11.2%	165	4.5%	3,061	85.3%
	Leon (100 %)	130	3.3%	68	1.7%	3,616	86.3%	457	10.9%	116	2.8%	3,640	92.6%	231	5.9%	62	1.6%	3,688	94.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L		Altgelt-G	
DISTRICT 4	Lamar (100 %)	851	9.4%	298	3.3%
	Red River (100 %)	198	9.0%	72	3.3%
DISTRICT 5		12,031	11.7%	4,832	4.7%
	Anderson (100 %)	828	9.3%	222	2.5%
	Dallas (16 %)	7,857	15.5%	3,452	6.8%
	Henderson (100 %)	1,042	7.0%	415	2.8%
	Kaufman (100 %)	1,529	8.9%	503	2.9%
	Van Zandt (100 %)	775	7.2%	240	2.2%
DISTRICT 6		12,111	11.1%	5,797	5.3%
	Dallas (8 %)	2,829	16.9%	1,365	8.1%
	Ellis (100 %)	2,646	9.5%	1,043	3.8%
	Johnson (62 %)	1,526	8.8%	552	3.2%
	Navarro (69 %)	448	9.0%	162	3.2%
	Tarrant (10 %)	4,662	11.2%	2,675	6.4%
DISTRICT 7		12,131	15.7%	13,184	17.0%
	Fort Bend (22 %)	2,335	15.8%	1,600	10.8%
	Harris (12 %)	9,796	15.7%	11,584	18.5%
		8,540	9.8%	5,516	6.3%
	Harris (10 %)	5,259	13.8%	3,918	10.3%
	Montgomery (37 %)	2,066	5.3%	1,085	2.8%
	Walker (38 %)	530	16.9%	171	5.4%
	Waller (100 %)	685	9.1%	342	4.5%
DISTRICT 9		6,431	9.5%	4,927	7.3%
	Harris (16 %)	6,431	9.5%	4,927	7.3%
DISTRICT 10		16,651	13.0%	12,209	9.5%
	Bastrop (2 %)	38	15.4%	22	8.9%
	Brazos (100 %)	3,039	11.1%	2,147	7.9%
	Burleson (100 %)	224	6.4%	95	2.7%
	Grimes (100 %)	352	8.3%	162	3.8%
	Houston (100 %)	267	6.8%	87	2.2%
	Lee (100 %)	385	10.7%	144	4.0%
	Leon (100 %)	173	4.4%	52	1.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 10	Madison (100 %)	1,923	81.0%	402	16.9%	39	1.6%	9	0.4%	0	0.0%	1,941	79.9%	462	19.0%	21	0.9%	5	0.2%
	Polk (100 %)	8,019	77.7%	1,932	18.7%	301	2.9%	64	0.6%	7	0.1%	7,858	74.9%	2,410	23.0%	185	1.8%	37	0.4%
	San Jacinto (100 %)	4,671	74.3%	1,342	21.4%	218	3.5%	45	0.7%	7	0.1%	4,641	72.1%	1,632	25.4%	106	1.6%	52	0.8%
	Travis (21 %)	36,884	55.3%	26,272	39.4%	2,780	4.2%	716	1.1%	1	0.0%	34,685	51.4%	31,116	46.1%	1,469	2.2%	205	0.3%
	Trinity (100 %)	2,860	75.4%	817	21.5%	90	2.4%	27	0.7%	0	0.0%	2,816	72.3%	987	25.3%	58	1.5%	34	0.9%
	Walker (62 %)	5,533	73.5%	1,689	22.4%	238	3.2%	68	0.9%	0	0.0%	5,325	69.9%	2,146	28.2%	123	1.6%	25	0.3%
DISTRICT 11		88,630	73.7%	26,501	22.0%	3,986	3.3%	1,067	0.9%	8	0.0%	88,510	72.8%	30,730	25.3%	1,944	1.6%	359	0.3%
	Brown (100 %)	7,079	87.1%	788	9.7%	216	2.7%	38	0.5%	3	0.0%	7,176	87.5%	901	11.0%	104	1.3%	18	0.2%
	Burnet (15 %)	1,597	77.6%	372	18.1%	75	3.6%	13	0.6%	1	0.0%	1,563	74.6%	476	22.7%	49	2.3%	6	0.3%
	Coke (100 %)	675	89.8%	53	7.0%	22	2.9%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	696	90.4%	67	8.7%	5	0.6%	2	0.3%
	Coleman (100 %)	1,934	87.5%	200	9.0%	60	2.7%	14	0.6%	2	0.1%	1,974	88.1%	234	10.4%	27	1.2%	5	0.2%
	Concho (100 %)	500	85.0%	65	11.1%	14	2.4%	9	1.5%	0	0.0%	511	85.5%	77	12.9%	7	1.2%	3	0.5%
	Ector (100 %)	11,929	81.3%	2,234	15.2%	381	2.6%	131	0.9%	0	0.0%	12,139	81.9%	2,478	16.7%	170	1.1%	40	0.3%
	Glasscock (100 %)	372	94.4%	10	2.5%	12	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	377	94.3%	15	3.8%	8	2.0%	0	0.0%
	Irion (100 %)	375	87.8%	37	8.7%	12	2.8%	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	383	88.0%	43	9.9%	8	1.8%	1	0.2%
	Kimble (100 %)	1,071	90.2%	87	7.3%	23	1.9%	7	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,096	89.5%	113	9.2%	12	1.0%	3	0.2%
	Llano (100 %)	5,662	81.3%	1,057	15.2%	204	2.9%	39	0.6%	0	0.0%	5,552	78.7%	1,363	19.3%	110	1.6%	27	0.4%
	McCulloch (100 %)	1,446	83.8%	206	11.9%	61	3.5%	12	0.7%	0	0.0%	1,456	83.3%	258	14.8%	30	1.7%	4	0.2%
	Mason (100 %)	1,100	80.9%	201	14.8%	50	3.7%	8	0.6%	1	0.1%	1,104	80.5%	243	17.7%	21	1.5%	2	0.1%
	Menard (100 %)	408	87.7%	43	9.2%	10	2.2%	4	0.9%	0	0.0%	411	85.3%	65	13.5%	5	1.0%	1	0.2%
	Midland (100 %)	19,939	85.2%	2,624	11.2%	714	3.1%	126	0.5%	0	0.0%	20,204	85.7%	3,012	12.8%	327	1.4%	32	0.1%
	Runnels (100 %)	2,000	88.5%	198	8.8%	53	2.3%	10	0.4%	0	0.0%	2,057	89.0%	230	9.9%	17	0.7%	8	0.3%
	San Saba (100 %)	1,262	88.3%	125	8.7%	35	2.4%	8	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,280	88.5%	145	10.0%	15	1.0%	5	0.3%
	Sterling (100 %)	403	93.5%	16	3.7%	5	1.2%	7	1.6%	0	0.0%	400	91.7%	28	6.4%	6	1.4%	1	0.2%
	Tom Green (100 %)	14,631	80.0%	2,961	16.2%	507	2.8%	184	1.0%	0	0.0%	14,670	79.2%	3,559	19.2%	236	1.3%	66	0.4%
	Travis (12 %)	13,762	49.0%	12,707	45.2%	1,264	4.5%	357	1.3%	0	0.0%	13,111	46.2%	14,531	51.2%	644	2.3%	113	0.4%
	Williamson (6 %)	2,485	46.3%	2,517	46.9%	268	5.0%	95	1.8%	1	0.0%	2,350	43.4%	2,892	53.4%	143	2.6%	22	0.4%
DISTRICT 12		79,939	66.3%	35,670	29.6%	3,562	3.0%	1,363	1.1%	1	0.0%	77,372	63.0%	43,024	35.1%	1,785	1.5%	538	0.4%
	Parker (79 %)	20,805	82.8%	3,380	13.4%	758	3.0%	189	0.8%	0	0.0%	20,643	80.6%	4,450	17.4%	373	1.5%	131	0.5%
	Tarrant (31 %)	59,134	62.0%	32,290	33.8%	2,804	2.9%	1,174	1.2%	1	0.0%	56,729	58.4%	38,574	39.7%	1,412	1.5%	407	0.4%
DISTRICT 13		108,111	78.1%	24,553	17.7%	4,198	3.0%	1,576	1.1%	16	0.0%	108,097	76.9%	29,775	21.2%	2,039	1.5%	634	0.5%
	Archer (100 %)	2,202	87.3%	252	10.0%	56	2.2%	11	0.4%	0	0.0%	2,297	87.1%	302	11.5%	26	1.0%	11	0.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

GOVERNOR			LT. GOVERNOR								ATTORNEY GEN								
Pavitt-W			Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G		
DISTRICT 10	Madison (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,928	79.6%	437	18.1%	50	2.1%	6	0.2%	1,895	78.4%	493	20.4%	27	1.1%	2	0.1%
	Polk (100 %)	6	0.1%	7,856	75.4%	2,214	21.2%	310	3.0%	39	0.4%	7,798	75.4%	2,298	22.2%	214	2.1%	30	0.3%
	San Jacinto (100 %)	3	0.0%	4,644	73.0%	1,479	23.3%	204	3.2%	31	0.5%	4,426	70.1%	1,764	28.0%	101	1.6%	20	0.3%
	Travis (21 %)	0	0.0%	32,814	49.1%	30,565	45.7%	3,003	4.5%	499	0.7%	33,508	50.4%	29,155	43.8%	3,396	5.1%	464	0.7%
	Trinity (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,777	72.5%	929	24.3%	103	2.7%	20	0.5%	2,725	71.7%	1,002	26.3%	66	1.7%	10	0.3%
	Walker (62 %)	0	0.0%	5,224	69.1%	2,110	27.9%	190	2.5%	37	0.5%	5,280	70.2%	2,027	27.0%	169	2.2%	41	0.5%
DISTRICT 11		17	0.0%	86,700	71.7%	29,897	24.7%	3,679	3.0%	628	0.5%	86,016	71.4%	30,164	25.0%	3,787	3.1%	557	0.5%
	Brown (100 %)	2	0.0%	7,042	86.4%	883	10.8%	201	2.5%	26	0.3%	6,929	85.3%	1,017	12.5%	144	1.8%	33	0.4%
	Burnet (15 %)	0	0.0%	1,543	73.8%	459	22.0%	77	3.7%	12	0.6%	1,555	75.2%	428	20.7%	79	3.8%	7	0.3%
	Coke (100 %)	0	0.0%	663	86.7%	82	10.7%	19	2.5%	1	0.1%	653	86.3%	90	11.9%	14	1.8%	0	0.0%
	Coleman (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,922	87.2%	225	10.2%	53	2.4%	5	0.2%	1,896	86.2%	256	11.6%	40	1.8%	8	0.4%
	Concho (100 %)	0	0.0%	491	83.9%	76	13.0%	16	2.7%	2	0.3%	485	82.2%	92	15.6%	11	1.9%	2	0.3%
	Ector (100 %)	0	0.0%	12,000	81.1%	2,429	16.4%	320	2.2%	55	0.4%	11,838	80.0%	2,603	17.6%	297	2.0%	56	0.4%
	Glasscock (100 %)	0	0.0%	367	93.6%	18	4.6%	5	1.3%	2	0.5%	364	93.6%	19	4.9%	6	1.5%	0	0.0%
	Irion (100 %)	0	0.0%	371	86.1%	45	10.4%	14	3.2%	1	0.2%	361	83.8%	59	13.7%	8	1.9%	3	0.7%
	Kimble (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,072	88.2%	121	10.0%	19	1.6%	3	0.2%	1,063	88.1%	129	10.7%	12	1.0%	3	0.2%
	Llano (100 %)	1	0.0%	5,500	78.2%	1,273	18.1%	213	3.0%	51	0.7%	5,524	78.8%	1,262	18.0%	199	2.8%	28	0.4%
	McCulloch (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,423	82.7%	248	14.4%	47	2.7%	3	0.2%	1,396	81.1%	275	16.0%	42	2.4%	8	0.5%
	Mason (100 %)	1	0.1%	1,074	79.1%	236	17.4%	45	3.3%	3	0.2%	1,072	79.3%	232	17.2%	45	3.3%	2	0.1%
	Menard (100 %)	0	0.0%	398	84.1%	61	12.9%	13	2.7%	1	0.2%	402	84.8%	61	12.9%	10	2.1%	1	0.2%
	Midland (100 %)	2	0.0%	19,885	84.7%	2,979	12.7%	552	2.4%	58	0.2%	19,810	84.7%	3,050	13.0%	489	2.1%	53	0.2%
	Runnels (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,986	86.6%	237	10.3%	60	2.6%	10	0.4%	1,957	85.5%	268	11.7%	55	2.4%	8	0.3%
	San Saba (100 %)	2	0.1%	1,253	87.6%	147	10.3%	27	1.9%	4	0.3%	1,232	86.6%	167	11.7%	21	1.5%	3	0.2%
	Sterling (100 %)	1	0.2%	393	91.2%	29	6.7%	9	2.1%	0	0.0%	388	89.4%	40	9.2%	5	1.2%	1	0.2%
	Tom Green (100 %)	2	0.0%	14,220	77.3%	3,613	19.6%	494	2.7%	73	0.4%	14,079	76.6%	3,756	20.4%	459	2.5%	78	0.4%
	Travis (12 %)	1	0.0%	12,817	45.3%	13,974	49.4%	1,245	4.4%	245	0.9%	12,743	45.3%	13,680	48.6%	1,502	5.3%	209	0.7%
DISTRICT 12	Williamson (6 %)	5	0.1%	2,280	42.5%	2,762	51.5%	250	4.7%	73	1.4%	2,269	42.4%	2,680	50.1%	349	6.5%	54	1.0%
		14	0.0%	76,672	62.9%	40,796	33.5%	3,586	2.9%	851	0.7%	77,935	64.2%	39,620	32.6%	3,002	2.5%	792	0.7%
	Parker (79 %)	3	0.0%	20,568	80.6%	4,070	16.0%	729	2.9%	141	0.6%	20,818	81.5%	4,005	15.7%	569	2.2%	140	0.5%
	Tarrant (31 %)	11	0.0%	56,104	58.2%	36,726	38.1%	2,857	3.0%	710	0.7%	57,117	59.6%	35,615	37.2%	2,433	2.5%	652	0.7%
DISTRICT 13		21	0.0%	107,518	77.0%	27,519	19.7%	3,687	2.6%	853	0.6%	105,826	76.1%	29,010	20.9%	3,278	2.4%	898	0.6%
	Archer (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,261	87.0%	287	11.0%	47	1.8%	5	0.2%	2,187	84.6%	346	13.4%	41	1.6%	10	0.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 10	Madison (100 %)	1,872	78.5%	463	19.4%	39	1.6%	10	0.4%	1,880	78.0%	459	19.0%	62	2.6%	10	0.4%	1,864	77.8%
	Polk (100 %)	7,496	73.6%	2,282	22.4%	319	3.1%	83	0.8%	7,904	76.5%	2,041	19.7%	300	2.9%	93	0.9%	7,509	73.8%
	San Jacinto (100 %)	4,305	69.4%	1,655	26.7%	193	3.1%	52	0.8%	4,547	72.5%	1,501	23.9%	183	2.9%	41	0.7%	4,262	68.7%
	Travis (21 %)	33,917	51.3%	28,260	42.8%	3,199	4.8%	717	1.1%	35,919	54.2%	26,436	39.9%	2,819	4.3%	1,056	1.6%	33,512	51.4%
	Trinity (100 %)	2,641	70.6%	978	26.1%	90	2.4%	33	0.9%	2,765	72.7%	927	24.4%	73	1.9%	38	1.0%	2,612	69.7%
	Walker (62 %)	5,178	69.4%	1,992	26.7%	236	3.2%	54	0.7%	5,429	72.1%	1,793	23.8%	219	2.9%	94	1.2%	5,173	69.7%
		84,684	70.7%	30,021	25.0%	4,231	3.5%	915	0.8%	86,975	72.3%	27,873	23.2%	4,317	3.6%	1,161	1.0%	84,673	70.9%
DISTRICT 11	Brown (100 %)	6,768	84.1%	1,036	12.9%	202	2.5%	41	0.5%	6,935	85.5%	905	11.2%	223	2.7%	52	0.6%	6,802	84.2%
	Burnet (15 %)	1,523	73.9%	443	21.5%	79	3.8%	17	0.8%	1,573	76.2%	391	18.9%	80	3.9%	20	1.0%	1,537	74.6%
	Coke (100 %)	633	84.4%	89	11.9%	24	3.2%	4	0.5%	668	88.1%	65	8.6%	21	2.8%	4	0.5%	658	87.7%
	Coleman (100 %)	1,862	85.3%	259	11.9%	53	2.4%	9	0.4%	1,895	85.8%	227	10.3%	70	3.2%	16	0.7%	1,851	85.2%
	Concho (100 %)	480	82.3%	81	13.9%	16	2.7%	6	1.0%	489	82.9%	81	13.7%	17	2.9%	3	0.5%	479	82.6%
	Ector (100 %)	11,601	78.6%	2,663	18.0%	410	2.8%	89	0.6%	11,751	79.6%	2,441	16.5%	459	3.1%	105	0.7%	11,532	78.5%
	Glasscock (100 %)	354	92.7%	20	5.2%	7	1.8%	1	0.3%	364	94.1%	12	3.1%	10	2.6%	1	0.3%	365	94.6%
	Irion (100 %)	360	84.1%	51	11.9%	15	3.5%	2	0.5%	368	85.4%	44	10.2%	16	3.7%	3	0.7%	368	86.4%
	Kimble (100 %)	1,033	87.4%	115	9.7%	30	2.5%	4	0.3%	1,075	89.6%	99	8.3%	20	1.7%	6	0.5%	1,045	88.2%
	Llano (100 %)	5,442	77.9%	1,275	18.3%	215	3.1%	53	0.8%	5,599	79.9%	1,124	16.0%	225	3.2%	56	0.8%	5,472	78.3%
	McCulloch (100 %)	1,386	81.2%	267	15.6%	47	2.8%	7	0.4%	1,417	81.8%	240	13.8%	67	3.9%	9	0.5%	1,419	82.5%
	Mason (100 %)	1,044	77.9%	234	17.4%	55	4.1%	8	0.6%	1,083	79.9%	211	15.6%	52	3.8%	9	0.7%	1,053	78.2%
	Menard (100 %)	390	84.6%	55	11.9%	14	3.0%	2	0.4%	407	86.0%	53	11.2%	11	2.3%	2	0.4%	389	83.3%
	Midland (100 %)	19,375	83.2%	3,100	13.3%	713	3.1%	91	0.4%	19,709	84.2%	2,763	11.8%	827	3.5%	114	0.5%	19,417	83.7%
	Runnels (100 %)	1,866	82.9%	295	13.1%	77	3.4%	13	0.6%	1,986	86.5%	241	10.5%	58	2.5%	11	0.5%	1,910	84.4%
	San Saba (100 %)	1,198	85.0%	168	11.9%	35	2.5%	8	0.6%	1,227	86.7%	146	10.3%	39	2.8%	4	0.3%	1,216	86.1%
	Sterling (100 %)	369	88.9%	34	8.2%	8	1.9%	4	1.0%	392	91.6%	31	7.2%	3	0.7%	2	0.5%	376	89.1%
	Tom Green (100 %)	13,983	76.3%	3,593	19.6%	602	3.3%	149	0.8%	14,469	78.7%	3,196	17.4%	589	3.2%	140	0.8%	14,050	76.9%
	Travis (12 %)	12,737	45.5%	13,606	48.6%	1,344	4.8%	306	1.1%	13,206	47.2%	13,024	46.6%	1,263	4.5%	481	1.7%	12,512	45.2%
	Williamson (6 %)	2,280	43.0%	2,637	49.7%	285	5.4%	101	1.9%	2,362	44.3%	2,579	48.4%	267	5.0%	123	2.3%	2,222	42.2%
		76,402	63.5%	38,902	32.3%	3,757	3.1%	1,203	1.0%	80,078	66.0%	36,263	29.9%	3,518	2.9%	1,465	1.2%	76,297	63.6%
DISTRICT 12	Parker (79 %)	20,310	80.5%	3,962	15.7%	778	3.1%	185	0.7%	20,823	81.8%	3,627	14.3%	788	3.1%	207	0.8%	20,310	80.4%
	Tarrant (31 %)	56,092	59.0%	34,940	36.8%	2,979	3.1%	1,018	1.1%	59,255	61.8%	32,636	34.0%	2,730	2.8%	1,258	1.3%	55,987	59.1%
DISTRICT 13		103,420	75.2%	27,993	20.4%	4,614	3.4%	1,463	1.1%	106,169	76.5%	26,342	19.0%	4,505	3.2%	1,746	1.3%	103,109	75.0%
	Archer (100 %)	2,150	84.5%	334	13.1%	55	2.2%	6	0.2%	2,219	86.0%	284	11.0%	61	2.4%	15	0.6%	2,136	83.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D	
DISTRICT 10	Madison (100 %)	481	20.1%	40	1.7%	12	0.5%	1,858	77.7%	478	20.0%	41	1.7%	13	0.5%	1,875	78.4%	465	19.4%
	Polk (100 %)	2,138	21.0%	284	2.8%	245	2.4%	7,607	74.7%	2,122	20.9%	326	3.2%	122	1.2%	7,583	74.8%	2,208	21.8%
	San Jacinto (100 %)	1,654	26.7%	180	2.9%	108	1.7%	4,314	69.5%	1,613	26.0%	204	3.3%	72	1.2%	4,294	69.5%	1,686	27.3%
	Travis (21 %)	26,845	41.2%	3,215	4.9%	1,565	2.4%	33,412	51.1%	27,208	41.6%	3,639	5.6%	1,144	1.7%	34,790	52.9%	27,613	42.0%
	Trinity (100 %)	1,001	26.7%	77	2.1%	59	1.6%	2,627	70.2%	964	25.8%	110	2.9%	41	1.1%	2,660	71.0%	986	26.3%
	Walker (62 %)	1,920	25.9%	206	2.8%	120	1.6%	5,183	69.9%	1,909	25.7%	231	3.1%	96	1.3%	5,294	71.3%	1,891	25.5%
DISTRICT 11		28,837	24.2%	4,194	3.5%	1,680	1.4%	84,096	70.4%	28,973	24.3%	4,679	3.9%	1,669	1.4%	85,876	71.9%	29,074	24.4%
	Brown (100 %)	985	12.2%	197	2.4%	91	1.1%	6,753	84.0%	967	12.0%	242	3.0%	78	1.0%	6,850	85.5%	943	11.8%
	Burnet (15 %)	411	19.9%	83	4.0%	30	1.5%	1,526	74.8%	402	19.7%	88	4.3%	23	1.1%	1,547	75.1%	422	20.5%
	Coke (100 %)	72	9.6%	15	2.0%	5	0.7%	637	86.2%	74	10.0%	22	3.0%	6	0.8%	646	87.3%	65	8.8%
	Coleman (100 %)	247	11.4%	53	2.4%	22	1.0%	1,816	83.6%	253	11.6%	79	3.6%	24	1.1%	1,818	84.2%	271	12.6%
	Concho (100 %)	81	14.0%	15	2.6%	5	0.9%	470	80.5%	86	14.7%	22	3.8%	6	1.0%	478	82.0%	87	14.9%
	Ector (100 %)	2,619	17.8%	391	2.7%	156	1.1%	11,489	78.1%	2,626	17.9%	406	2.8%	190	1.3%	11,650	79.2%	2,601	17.7%
	Glasscock (100 %)	14	3.6%	7	1.8%	0	0.0%	336	89.4%	26	6.9%	12	3.2%	2	0.5%	358	94.2%	17	4.5%
	Irion (100 %)	43	10.1%	13	3.1%	2	0.5%	366	85.3%	43	10.0%	15	3.5%	5	1.2%	363	85.0%	46	10.8%
	Kimble (100 %)	110	9.3%	20	1.7%	10	0.8%	1,029	87.4%	113	9.6%	22	1.9%	13	1.1%	1,038	87.6%	108	9.1%
	Llano (100 %)	1,220	17.5%	218	3.1%	80	1.1%	5,438	78.2%	1,213	17.5%	244	3.5%	56	0.8%	5,523	79.3%	1,209	17.4%
	McCulloch (100 %)	236	13.7%	50	2.9%	16	0.9%	1,365	80.1%	258	15.1%	70	4.1%	12	0.7%	1,385	81.6%	261	15.4%
	Mason (100 %)	226	16.8%	55	4.1%	13	1.0%	1,036	77.1%	226	16.8%	72	5.4%	9	0.7%	1,054	79.4%	214	16.1%
	Menard (100 %)	62	13.3%	12	2.6%	4	0.9%	389	82.9%	62	13.2%	14	3.0%	4	0.9%	395	85.5%	54	11.7%
	Midland (100 %)	2,917	12.6%	689	3.0%	188	0.8%	19,441	83.5%	2,879	12.4%	764	3.3%	209	0.9%	19,596	84.2%	2,938	12.6%
	Runnels (100 %)	278	12.3%	48	2.1%	28	1.2%	1,861	82.7%	288	12.8%	83	3.7%	19	0.8%	1,930	85.9%	243	10.8%
	San Saba (100 %)	152	10.8%	35	2.5%	9	0.6%	1,186	84.7%	150	10.7%	49	3.5%	16	1.1%	1,196	85.8%	164	11.8%
	Sterling (100 %)	33	7.8%	7	1.7%	6	1.4%	367	87.8%	32	7.7%	10	2.4%	9	2.2%	382	91.4%	29	6.9%
	Tom Green (100 %)	3,470	19.0%	551	3.0%	195	1.1%	13,930	76.2%	3,504	19.2%	583	3.2%	266	1.5%	14,260	78.1%	3,415	18.7%
	Travis (12 %)	13,080	47.3%	1,424	5.1%	664	2.4%	12,435	44.8%	13,211	47.6%	1,556	5.6%	561	2.0%	13,089	47.1%	13,310	47.9%
	Williamson (6 %)	2,581	49.0%	311	5.9%	156	3.0%	2,226	42.2%	2,560	48.5%	326	6.2%	161	3.1%	2,318	43.7%	2,677	50.5%
DISTRICT 12		38,179	31.8%	3,573	3.0%	1,922	1.6%	76,152	63.4%	37,713	31.4%	4,023	3.3%	2,211	1.8%	77,680	64.6%	38,440	32.0%
	Parker (79 %)	3,911	15.5%	725	2.9%	311	1.2%	20,204	80.0%	3,885	15.4%	886	3.5%	278	1.1%	20,555	81.3%	3,886	15.4%
	Tarrant (31 %)	34,268	36.2%	2,848	3.0%	1,611	1.7%	55,948	59.0%	33,828	35.7%	3,137	3.3%	1,933	2.0%	57,125	60.2%	34,554	36.4%
DISTRICT 13		27,752	20.2%	4,135	3.0%	2,412	1.8%	102,675	74.8%	27,334	19.9%	5,105	3.7%	2,237	1.6%	104,666	76.2%	27,815	20.3%
	Archer (100 %)	343	13.5%	50	2.0%	19	0.7%	2,144	84.4%	311	12.2%	72	2.8%	13	0.5%	2,149	84.7%	316	12.5%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

SUP CT CHIEF			SUP CT 6						SUP CT 7						SUP CT 8				
Oxford-L			Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R		
DISTRICT 10	Madison (100 %)	53	2.2%	1,912	80.1%	439	18.4%	36	1.5%	1,900	79.6%	439	18.4%	41	1.7%	7	0.3%	1,964	93.0%
	Polk (100 %)	348	3.4%	7,737	76.5%	2,045	20.2%	328	3.2%	7,606	75.3%	2,068	20.5%	340	3.4%	83	0.8%	8,023	87.7%
	San Jacinto (100 %)	201	3.3%	4,455	72.3%	1,503	24.4%	201	3.3%	4,386	71.3%	1,504	24.5%	198	3.2%	62	1.0%	4,711	86.7%
	Travis (21 %)	3,353	5.1%	34,664	53.0%	27,241	41.6%	3,523	5.4%	33,750	51.6%	28,003	42.8%	3,082	4.7%	525	0.8%	37,237	67.2%
	Trinity (100 %)	99	2.6%	2,686	72.1%	920	24.7%	121	3.2%	2,664	71.8%	918	24.7%	91	2.5%	39	1.1%	2,851	87.9%
	Walker (62 %)	237	3.2%	5,279	71.7%	1,831	24.9%	253	3.4%	5,196	70.7%	1,862	25.3%	237	3.2%	57	0.8%	5,543	84.0%
DISTRICT 11		4,445	3.7%	86,233	72.5%	28,166	23.7%	4,594	3.9%	85,089	71.5%	29,031	24.4%	4,204	3.5%	736	0.6%	89,718	83.3%
	Brown (100 %)	218	2.7%	6,870	86.2%	873	11.0%	229	2.9%	6,809	85.4%	878	11.0%	227	2.8%	56	0.7%	6,971	92.2%
	Burnet (15 %)	90	4.4%	1,565	76.3%	392	19.1%	93	4.5%	1,551	75.5%	409	19.9%	82	4.0%	11	0.5%	1,612	85.9%
	Coke (100 %)	29	3.9%	653	88.6%	60	8.1%	24	3.3%	649	88.8%	53	7.3%	26	3.6%	3	0.4%	672	94.8%
	Coleman (100 %)	69	3.2%	1,857	86.2%	231	10.7%	66	3.1%	1,845	85.4%	238	11.0%	65	3.0%	12	0.6%	1,887	89.8%
	Concho (100 %)	18	3.1%	485	84.1%	79	13.7%	13	2.3%	485	83.9%	76	13.1%	14	2.4%	3	0.5%	494	92.0%
	Ector (100 %)	456	3.1%	11,721	79.9%	2,501	17.1%	444	3.0%	11,617	79.2%	2,562	17.5%	416	2.8%	73	0.5%	12,146	89.9%
	Glasscock (100 %)	5	1.3%	355	95.2%	9	2.4%	9	2.4%	347	93.5%	13	3.5%	11	3.0%	0	0.0%	352	95.1%
	Irion (100 %)	18	4.2%	366	85.9%	42	9.9%	18	4.2%	362	85.2%	43	10.1%	17	4.0%	3	0.7%	376	92.6%
	Kimble (100 %)	39	3.3%	1,042	89.1%	96	8.2%	32	2.7%	1,036	88.6%	98	8.4%	27	2.3%	8	0.7%	1,055	93.3%
	Llano (100 %)	230	3.3%	5,564	80.2%	1,146	16.5%	226	3.3%	5,531	79.6%	1,155	16.6%	221	3.2%	44	0.6%	5,713	89.4%
	McCulloch (100 %)	52	3.1%	1,385	82.2%	237	14.1%	63	3.7%	1,384	82.0%	241	14.3%	57	3.4%	6	0.4%	1,447	90.7%
	Mason (100 %)	59	4.4%	1,054	79.7%	207	15.6%	62	4.7%	1,046	79.1%	216	16.3%	58	4.4%	2	0.2%	1,074	89.4%
	Menard (100 %)	13	2.8%	389	86.1%	50	11.1%	13	2.9%	390	85.9%	47	10.4%	14	3.1%	3	0.7%	397	93.9%
	Midland (100 %)	733	3.2%	19,597	84.5%	2,856	12.3%	739	3.2%	19,390	83.6%	3,015	13.0%	703	3.0%	94	0.4%	20,099	91.7%
	Runnels (100 %)	75	3.3%	1,934	86.8%	228	10.2%	65	2.9%	1,914	85.9%	227	10.2%	74	3.3%	12	0.5%	1,973	92.6%
	San Saba (100 %)	34	2.4%	1,216	86.5%	147	10.5%	42	3.0%	1,224	87.2%	139	9.9%	34	2.4%	7	0.5%	1,238	92.9%
	Sterling (100 %)	7	1.7%	386	91.9%	25	6.0%	9	2.1%	377	89.8%	27	6.4%	9	2.1%	7	1.7%	391	94.9%
	Tom Green (100 %)	589	3.2%	14,329	78.5%	3,322	18.2%	591	3.2%	14,213	77.9%	3,388	18.6%	538	2.9%	108	0.6%	14,861	88.3%
	Travis (12 %)	1,406	5.1%	13,144	47.4%	13,052	47.1%	1,512	5.5%	12,660	45.6%	13,535	48.8%	1,320	4.8%	221	0.8%	14,397	62.8%
DISTRICT 12	Williamson (6 %)	305	5.8%	2,321	44.0%	2,613	49.5%	344	6.5%	2,259	42.8%	2,671	50.5%	291	5.5%	63	1.2%	2,563	58.0%
		4,049	3.4%	77,569	64.8%	38,009	31.7%	4,171	3.5%	76,879	64.2%	38,255	31.9%	3,647	3.0%	1,013	0.8%	82,022	82.2%
	Parker (79 %)	855	3.4%	20,609	81.9%	3,734	14.8%	821	3.3%	20,466	81.3%	3,730	14.8%	778	3.1%	190	0.8%	21,289	90.2%
	Tarrant (31 %)	3,194	3.4%	56,960	60.2%	34,275	36.2%	3,350	3.5%	56,413	59.6%	34,525	36.5%	2,869	3.0%	823	0.9%	60,733	79.7%
DISTRICT 13		4,853	3.5%	105,248	77.0%	26,576	19.4%	4,933	3.6%	104,459	76.3%	26,723	19.5%	4,381	3.2%	1,295	0.9%	108,784	86.3%
	Archer (100 %)	71	2.8%	2,196	86.9%	270	10.7%	62	2.5%	2,168	86.3%	271	10.8%	58	2.3%	16	0.6%	2,239	91.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3				CCA 4				CCA 9					
		Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R	
DISTRICT 10	Madison (100 %)	94	4.5%	54	2.6%	1,865	78.1%	431	18.0%	92	3.9%	1,931	91.2%	139	6.6%	47	2.2%	1,946	92.0%
	Polk (100 %)	674	7.4%	454	5.0%	7,586	75.4%	2,061	20.5%	414	4.1%	7,873	85.8%	876	9.5%	424	4.6%	7,989	87.5%
	San Jacinto (100 %)	458	8.4%	264	4.9%	4,339	70.5%	1,532	24.9%	280	4.6%	4,644	85.0%	604	11.1%	217	4.0%	4,659	85.9%
	Travis (21 %)	9,298	16.8%	8,886	16.0%	34,160	52.7%	27,154	41.9%	3,512	5.4%	36,556	65.7%	9,802	17.6%	9,269	16.7%	37,057	67.2%
	Trinity (100 %)	243	7.5%	150	4.6%	2,656	71.9%	899	24.3%	141	3.8%	2,792	85.9%	341	10.5%	118	3.6%	2,834	87.6%
	Walker (62 %)	678	10.3%	377	5.7%	5,214	71.2%	1,807	24.7%	297	4.1%	5,445	82.2%	804	12.1%	372	5.6%	5,486	83.6%
DISTRICT 11		10,619	9.9%	7,421	6.9%	85,176	71.9%	28,480	24.0%	4,885	4.1%	87,434	80.8%	13,025	12.0%	7,812	7.2%	89,402	83.0%
	Brown (100 %)	354	4.7%	233	3.1%	6,771	85.3%	899	11.3%	268	3.4%	6,704	88.3%	738	9.7%	149	2.0%	6,973	92.3%
	Burnet (15 %)	141	7.5%	124	6.6%	1,543	75.0%	417	20.3%	96	4.7%	1,588	83.6%	193	10.2%	119	6.3%	1,613	85.7%
	Coke (100 %)	28	3.9%	9	1.3%	639	87.4%	64	8.8%	28	3.8%	645	91.2%	54	7.6%	8	1.1%	666	93.7%
	Coleman (100 %)	147	7.0%	67	3.2%	1,840	85.4%	240	11.1%	74	3.4%	1,809	85.0%	270	12.7%	48	2.3%	1,888	90.2%
	Concho (100 %)	26	4.8%	17	3.2%	476	83.4%	75	13.1%	20	3.5%	470	87.7%	53	9.9%	13	2.4%	494	91.7%
	Ector (100 %)	892	6.6%	475	3.5%	11,655	79.4%	2,494	17.0%	521	3.6%	11,877	87.3%	1,202	8.8%	527	3.9%	12,123	89.4%
	Glasscock (100 %)	17	4.6%	1	0.3%	347	94.3%	11	3.0%	10	2.7%	345	94.3%	20	5.5%	1	0.3%	349	95.1%
	Irion (100 %)	21	5.2%	9	2.2%	366	86.1%	40	9.4%	19	4.5%	360	89.1%	36	8.9%	8	2.0%	375	92.8%
	Kimble (100 %)	43	3.8%	33	2.9%	1,028	88.7%	100	8.6%	31	2.7%	1,029	91.5%	70	6.2%	25	2.2%	1,047	93.1%
	Llano (100 %)	421	6.6%	253	4.0%	5,521	79.5%	1,178	17.0%	243	3.5%	5,618	87.5%	561	8.7%	239	3.7%	5,701	89.1%
	McCulloch (100 %)	103	6.5%	45	2.8%	1,382	82.3%	241	14.3%	57	3.4%	1,394	87.5%	157	9.8%	43	2.7%	1,443	90.6%
	Mason (100 %)	91	7.6%	37	3.1%	1,041	79.2%	210	16.0%	64	4.9%	1,060	88.0%	117	9.7%	28	2.3%	1,058	88.8%
	Menard (100 %)	16	3.8%	10	2.4%	389	86.3%	48	10.6%	14	3.1%	383	89.3%	39	9.1%	7	1.6%	396	93.4%
	Midland (100 %)	1,272	5.8%	548	2.5%	19,399	84.0%	2,882	12.5%	812	3.5%	19,624	89.2%	1,768	8.0%	604	2.7%	19,905	90.8%
	Runnels (100 %)	89	4.2%	68	3.2%	1,889	85.5%	237	10.7%	83	3.8%	1,854	86.9%	227	10.6%	52	2.4%	1,958	91.8%
	San Saba (100 %)	56	4.2%	39	2.9%	1,203	86.1%	152	10.9%	42	3.0%	1,198	89.9%	109	8.2%	26	2.0%	1,235	92.9%
	Sterling (100 %)	9	2.2%	12	2.9%	377	91.1%	21	5.1%	16	3.9%	377	91.7%	24	5.8%	10	2.4%	393	96.1%
	Tom Green (100 %)	1,231	7.3%	736	4.4%	14,208	78.1%	3,366	18.5%	622	3.4%	14,425	85.4%	1,674	9.9%	785	4.6%	14,837	88.2%
	Travis (12 %)	4,693	20.5%	3,819	16.7%	12,825	46.6%	13,180	47.9%	1,531	5.6%	14,159	61.4%	4,725	20.5%	4,163	18.1%	14,382	62.8%
	Williamson (6 %)	969	21.9%	886	20.1%	2,277	43.5%	2,625	50.1%	334	6.4%	2,515	56.4%	988	22.2%	957	21.5%	2,566	58.1%
DISTRICT 12		10,134	10.2%	7,656	7.7%	76,996	64.7%	37,411	31.4%	4,591	3.9%	79,338	79.1%	13,276	13.2%	7,655	7.6%	81,464	81.8%
	Parker (79 %)	1,347	5.7%	955	4.0%	20,453	81.5%	3,723	14.8%	926	3.7%	20,619	87.1%	2,280	9.6%	761	3.2%	21,235	89.9%
	Tarrant (31 %)	8,787	11.5%	6,701	8.8%	56,543	60.2%	33,688	35.9%	3,665	3.9%	58,719	76.6%	10,996	14.4%	6,894	9.0%	60,229	79.3%
DISTRICT 13		9,417	7.5%	7,917	6.3%	103,965	76.4%	26,787	19.7%	5,359	3.9%	105,025	82.9%	14,945	11.8%	6,778	5.3%	108,491	86.0%
	Archer (100 %)	137	5.6%	84	3.4%	2,152	85.5%	280	11.1%	86	3.4%	2,147	87.2%	261	10.6%	55	2.2%	2,231	90.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L		Altgelt-G	
DISTRICT 10	Madison (100 %)	134	6.3%	35	1.7%
	Polk (100 %)	808	8.9%	329	3.6%
	San Jacinto (100 %)	566	10.4%	199	3.7%
	Travis (21 %)	9,610	17.4%	8,512	15.4%
	Trinity (100 %)	305	9.4%	97	3.0%
	Walker (62 %)	750	11.4%	328	5.0%
		11,605	10.8%	6,751	6.3%
DISTRICT 11	Brown (100 %)	435	5.8%	146	1.9%
	Burnet (15 %)	158	8.4%	112	5.9%
	Coke (100 %)	34	4.8%	11	1.5%
	Coleman (100 %)	151	7.2%	53	2.5%
	Concho (100 %)	33	6.1%	12	2.2%
	Ector (100 %)	1,044	7.7%	395	2.9%
	Glasscock (100 %)	17	4.6%	1	0.3%
	Irion (100 %)	22	5.4%	7	1.7%
	Kimble (100 %)	50	4.4%	28	2.5%
	Llano (100 %)	466	7.3%	230	3.6%
	McCulloch (100 %)	111	7.0%	39	2.4%
	Mason (100 %)	102	8.6%	32	2.7%
	Menard (100 %)	19	4.5%	9	2.1%
	Midland (100 %)	1,555	7.1%	469	2.1%
	Runnels (100 %)	120	5.6%	54	2.5%
	San Saba (100 %)	72	5.4%	23	1.7%
	Sterling (100 %)	10	2.4%	6	1.5%
	Tom Green (100 %)	1,350	8.0%	628	3.7%
	Travis (12 %)	4,851	21.2%	3,651	16.0%
	Williamson (6 %)	1,005	22.8%	845	19.1%
		11,184	11.2%	6,887	6.9%
	Parker (79 %)	1,587	6.7%	809	3.4%
	Tarrant (31 %)	9,597	12.6%	6,078	8.0%
		11,182	8.9%	6,484	5.1%
	Archer (100 %)	161	6.6%	64	2.6%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 13	Armstrong (100 %)	497	88.3%	44	7.8%	17	3.0%	4	0.7%	1	0.2%	502	87.9%	59	10.3%	9	1.6%	1	0.2%
	Baylor (100 %)	688	84.1%	107	13.1%	21	2.6%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	700	82.9%	134	15.9%	6	0.7%	4	0.5%
	Briscoe (100 %)	432	86.2%	59	11.8%	6	1.2%	4	0.8%	0	0.0%	431	84.5%	75	14.7%	1	0.2%	3	0.6%
	Carson (100 %)	1,627	88.7%	163	8.9%	36	2.0%	8	0.4%	0	0.0%	1,634	87.9%	193	10.4%	19	1.0%	11	0.6%
	Childress (100 %)	959	88.4%	107	9.9%	12	1.1%	7	0.6%	0	0.0%	944	86.1%	136	12.4%	11	1.0%	6	0.5%
	Clay (100 %)	2,375	85.0%	319	11.4%	80	2.9%	19	0.7%	0	0.0%	2,436	85.7%	363	12.8%	31	1.1%	12	0.4%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	649	83.2%	101	12.9%	21	2.7%	9	1.2%	0	0.0%	651	81.2%	132	16.5%	13	1.6%	6	0.7%
	Cottle (100 %)	295	83.6%	45	12.7%	9	2.5%	3	0.8%	1	0.3%	310	85.6%	48	13.3%	4	1.1%	0	0.0%
	Dallam (100 %)	718	86.1%	77	9.2%	24	2.9%	14	1.7%	1	0.1%	727	86.1%	100	11.8%	10	1.2%	7	0.8%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	2,161	80.5%	412	15.4%	50	1.9%	61	2.3%	0	0.0%	2,182	80.0%	503	18.4%	28	1.0%	14	0.5%
	Denton (17 %)	14,324	54.3%	9,958	37.8%	1,290	4.9%	784	3.0%	0	0.0%	13,770	51.4%	12,137	45.3%	635	2.4%	251	0.9%
	Dickens (100 %)	434	87.0%	49	9.8%	8	1.6%	8	1.6%	0	0.0%	452	87.4%	60	11.6%	4	0.8%	1	0.2%
	Donley (100 %)	801	86.4%	94	10.1%	24	2.6%	8	0.9%	0	0.0%	803	85.2%	120	12.7%	17	1.8%	3	0.3%
	Foard (100 %)	196	66.4%	90	30.5%	9	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	205	66.1%	102	32.9%	3	1.0%	0	0.0%
	Gray (100 %)	3,314	90.8%	243	6.7%	75	2.1%	18	0.5%	0	0.0%	3,358	90.1%	302	8.1%	51	1.4%	14	0.4%
	Hall (100 %)	486	86.0%	70	12.4%	2	0.4%	7	1.2%	0	0.0%	485	85.1%	74	13.0%	6	1.1%	5	0.9%
	Hansford (100 %)	1,124	93.6%	42	3.5%	27	2.2%	8	0.7%	0	0.0%	1,105	94.0%	55	4.7%	10	0.9%	6	0.5%
	Hardeman (100 %)	536	80.6%	112	16.8%	15	2.3%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	523	78.5%	130	19.5%	11	1.7%	2	0.3%
	Hartley (100 %)	1,004	90.9%	75	6.8%	24	2.2%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	1,006	90.8%	87	7.9%	12	1.1%	3	0.3%
	Hemphill (100 %)	717	87.2%	70	8.5%	24	2.9%	11	1.3%	0	0.0%	715	86.1%	100	12.0%	10	1.2%	5	0.6%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	3,978	88.9%	376	8.4%	104	2.3%	17	0.4%	1	0.0%	3,956	88.0%	460	10.2%	61	1.4%	12	0.3%
	King (100 %)	87	96.7%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	90	96.8%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	0	0.0%
	Knox (100 %)	703	81.1%	141	16.3%	19	2.2%	4	0.5%	0	0.0%	726	80.8%	159	17.7%	10	1.1%	4	0.4%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	743	88.2%	73	8.7%	20	2.4%	6	0.7%	0	0.0%	740	86.8%	93	10.9%	14	1.6%	5	0.6%
	Montague (100 %)	5,700	85.7%	716	10.8%	196	2.9%	29	0.4%	7	0.1%	5,691	84.4%	916	13.6%	106	1.6%	29	0.4%
	Moore (100 %)	2,414	87.8%	249	9.1%	67	2.4%	18	0.7%	0	0.0%	2,421	87.5%	301	10.9%	33	1.2%	10	0.4%
	Motley (100 %)	346	95.3%	12	3.3%	3	0.8%	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	344	92.5%	20	5.4%	7	1.9%	1	0.3%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	1,483	93.8%	53	3.4%	37	2.3%	7	0.4%	1	0.1%	1,500	94.3%	70	4.4%	12	0.8%	6	0.4%
	Oldham (100 %)	518	93.0%	24	4.3%	11	2.0%	4	0.7%	0	0.0%	519	92.8%	33	5.9%	6	1.1%	1	0.2%
	Potter (100 %)	10,583	77.6%	2,495	18.3%	435	3.2%	130	1.0%	3	0.0%	10,576	77.0%	2,921	21.3%	191	1.4%	51	0.4%
	Randall (100 %)	23,990	86.2%	2,885	10.4%	782	2.8%	181	0.7%	0	0.0%	24,134	85.5%	3,650	12.9%	355	1.3%	75	0.3%
	Roberts (100 %)	318	94.1%	12	3.6%	7	2.1%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	324	93.9%	15	4.3%	5	1.4%	1	0.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

GOVERNOR			LT. GOVERNOR								ATTORNEY GEN								
Pavitt-W			Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G		
DISTRICT 13	Armstrong (100 %)	0	0.0%	507	89.6%	40	7.1%	15	2.7%	4	0.7%	498	87.8%	58	10.2%	8	1.4%	3	0.5%
	Baylor (100 %)	0	0.0%	667	81.1%	128	15.6%	25	3.0%	2	0.2%	634	78.4%	154	19.0%	19	2.3%	2	0.2%
	Briscoe (100 %)	0	0.0%	430	84.6%	65	12.8%	12	2.4%	1	0.2%	418	83.9%	76	15.3%	2	0.4%	2	0.4%
	Carson (100 %)	2	0.1%	1,613	88.0%	167	9.1%	48	2.6%	5	0.3%	1,567	86.0%	223	12.2%	25	1.4%	8	0.4%
	Childress (100 %)	0	0.0%	942	86.5%	129	11.8%	15	1.4%	3	0.3%	932	86.3%	133	12.3%	11	1.0%	4	0.4%
	Clay (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,393	85.6%	339	12.1%	60	2.1%	5	0.2%	2,281	82.3%	425	15.3%	55	2.0%	10	0.4%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	0	0.0%	651	84.3%	98	12.7%	20	2.6%	3	0.4%	612	80.0%	138	18.0%	10	1.3%	5	0.7%
	Cottle (100 %)	0	0.0%	295	85.3%	46	13.3%	5	1.4%	0	0.0%	279	79.9%	60	17.2%	7	2.0%	3	0.9%
	Dallam (100 %)	0	0.0%	720	87.0%	81	9.8%	22	2.7%	5	0.6%	700	84.0%	104	12.5%	23	2.8%	6	0.7%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	1	0.0%	2,147	79.3%	497	18.4%	49	1.8%	13	0.5%	2,111	79.0%	506	18.9%	44	1.6%	12	0.4%
	Denton (17 %)	0	0.0%	13,625	51.4%	11,294	42.6%	1,147	4.3%	449	1.7%	13,712	52.2%	10,955	41.7%	1,152	4.4%	471	1.8%
	Dickens (100 %)	0	0.0%	440	86.4%	57	11.2%	10	2.0%	2	0.4%	419	82.6%	75	14.8%	9	1.8%	4	0.8%
	Donley (100 %)	0	0.0%	797	85.9%	102	11.0%	26	2.8%	3	0.3%	786	84.9%	117	12.6%	19	2.1%	4	0.4%
	Foard (100 %)	0	0.0%	213	71.0%	81	27.0%	3	1.0%	3	1.0%	176	59.1%	116	38.9%	6	2.0%	0	0.0%
	Gray (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,375	90.9%	248	6.7%	74	2.0%	14	0.4%	3,349	89.8%	303	8.1%	60	1.6%	16	0.4%
	Hall (100 %)	0	0.0%	493	86.8%	68	12.0%	5	0.9%	2	0.4%	463	81.8%	96	17.0%	3	0.5%	4	0.7%
	Hansford (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,124	93.7%	55	4.6%	15	1.3%	6	0.5%	1,094	92.6%	64	5.4%	17	1.4%	6	0.5%
	Hardeman (100 %)	0	0.0%	514	78.0%	121	18.4%	21	3.2%	3	0.5%	511	77.8%	137	20.9%	8	1.2%	1	0.2%
	Hartley (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,004	90.9%	75	6.8%	23	2.1%	2	0.2%	996	90.3%	92	8.3%	12	1.1%	3	0.3%
	Hemphill (100 %)	0	0.0%	708	86.3%	88	10.7%	19	2.3%	5	0.6%	691	85.1%	91	11.2%	25	3.1%	5	0.6%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	4	0.1%	3,967	88.4%	409	9.1%	96	2.1%	14	0.3%	3,892	87.0%	492	11.0%	77	1.7%	11	0.2%
	King (100 %)	0	0.0%	84	94.4%	1	1.1%	4	4.5%	0	0.0%	84	95.5%	3	3.4%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
	Knox (100 %)	0	0.0%	687	79.1%	155	17.9%	23	2.6%	3	0.3%	655	76.3%	189	22.0%	11	1.3%	3	0.3%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	1	0.1%	749	88.3%	83	9.8%	13	1.5%	3	0.4%	744	87.8%	86	10.2%	11	1.3%	6	0.7%
	Montague (100 %)	1	0.0%	5,699	85.1%	806	12.0%	177	2.6%	16	0.2%	5,604	84.2%	907	13.6%	136	2.0%	12	0.2%
	Moore (100 %)	1	0.0%	2,424	87.9%	275	10.0%	52	1.9%	7	0.3%	2,368	86.0%	316	11.5%	60	2.2%	9	0.3%
	Motley (100 %)	0	0.0%	336	92.3%	18	4.9%	10	2.7%	0	0.0%	331	92.5%	19	5.3%	7	2.0%	1	0.3%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	2	0.1%	1,467	92.9%	87	5.5%	22	1.4%	3	0.2%	1,460	92.9%	87	5.5%	21	1.3%	4	0.3%
	Oldham (100 %)	0	0.0%	516	92.6%	31	5.6%	9	1.6%	1	0.2%	502	90.1%	50	9.0%	4	0.7%	1	0.2%
	Potter (100 %)	3	0.0%	10,582	77.1%	2,760	20.1%	320	2.3%	60	0.4%	10,421	76.1%	2,913	21.3%	295	2.2%	59	0.4%
	Randall (100 %)	3	0.0%	24,059	85.5%	3,318	11.8%	659	2.3%	98	0.3%	23,865	84.8%	3,613	12.8%	568	2.0%	93	0.3%
	Roberts (100 %)	0	0.0%	320	93.3%	12	3.5%	10	2.9%	1	0.3%	312	92.6%	18	5.3%	5	1.5%	2	0.6%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 13	Armstrong (100 %)	477	86.3%	53	9.6%	19	3.4%	4	0.7%	485	86.0%	53	9.4%	21	3.7%	5	0.9%	484	86.6%
	Baylor (100 %)	611	77.5%	152	19.3%	21	2.7%	4	0.5%	650	80.2%	125	15.4%	26	3.2%	9	1.1%	623	78.2%
	Briscoe (100 %)	400	81.0%	80	16.2%	12	2.4%	2	0.4%	417	83.1%	78	15.5%	6	1.2%	1	0.2%	400	80.5%
	Carson (100 %)	1,515	84.3%	219	12.2%	50	2.8%	14	0.8%	1,555	85.5%	207	11.4%	45	2.5%	11	0.6%	1,509	83.9%
	Childress (100 %)	911	85.1%	131	12.2%	22	2.1%	7	0.7%	933	86.2%	126	11.6%	19	1.8%	4	0.4%	912	84.6%
	Clay (100 %)	2,241	81.8%	393	14.4%	85	3.1%	19	0.7%	2,296	82.6%	371	13.4%	99	3.6%	12	0.4%	2,219	81.0%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	575	76.8%	143	19.1%	26	3.5%	5	0.7%	619	80.9%	123	16.1%	17	2.2%	6	0.8%	551	74.3%
	Cottle (100 %)	276	81.7%	50	14.8%	7	2.1%	5	1.5%	282	81.5%	50	14.5%	13	3.8%	1	0.3%	275	81.4%
	Dallam (100 %)	682	83.1%	100	12.2%	30	3.7%	9	1.1%	687	82.5%	97	11.6%	36	4.3%	13	1.6%	665	80.7%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	2,049	77.0%	536	20.1%	59	2.2%	18	0.7%	2,105	78.5%	484	18.1%	64	2.4%	28	1.0%	2,064	77.6%
	Denton (17 %)	13,549	52.1%	10,461	40.2%	1,308	5.0%	677	2.6%	14,006	53.6%	10,049	38.4%	1,222	4.7%	876	3.3%	13,434	51.9%
	Dickens (100 %)	405	80.7%	76	15.1%	15	3.0%	6	1.2%	423	83.8%	65	12.9%	14	2.8%	3	0.6%	411	81.4%
	Donley (100 %)	748	82.0%	118	12.9%	36	3.9%	10	1.1%	786	84.9%	101	10.9%	34	3.7%	5	0.5%	736	81.0%
	Foard (100 %)	173	59.0%	116	39.6%	4	1.4%	0	0.0%	190	62.9%	106	35.1%	6	2.0%	0	0.0%	168	57.1%
	Gray (100 %)	3,280	89.1%	277	7.5%	102	2.8%	24	0.7%	3,305	89.2%	268	7.2%	112	3.0%	22	0.6%	3,266	88.8%
	Hall (100 %)	453	81.5%	91	16.4%	9	1.6%	3	0.5%	473	82.3%	88	15.3%	12	2.1%	2	0.3%	453	79.9%
	Hansford (100 %)	1,074	92.0%	57	4.9%	30	2.6%	7	0.6%	1,081	91.8%	57	4.8%	32	2.7%	7	0.6%	1,080	92.1%
	Hardeman (100 %)	483	75.0%	146	22.7%	11	1.7%	4	0.6%	502	77.1%	129	19.8%	13	2.0%	7	1.1%	479	74.8%
	Hartley (100 %)	961	88.6%	95	8.8%	25	2.3%	4	0.4%	973	88.6%	91	8.3%	28	2.6%	6	0.5%	957	87.9%
	Hemphill (100 %)	681	86.5%	75	9.5%	24	3.0%	7	0.9%	701	87.0%	72	8.9%	21	2.6%	12	1.5%	670	85.2%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	3,829	86.1%	462	10.4%	141	3.2%	15	0.3%	3,871	86.6%	441	9.9%	127	2.8%	29	0.6%	3,788	85.6%
	King (100 %)	80	92.0%	3	3.4%	3	3.4%	1	1.1%	83	92.2%	3	3.3%	4	4.4%	0	0.0%	85	95.5%
	Knox (100 %)	625	73.4%	193	22.7%	29	3.4%	4	0.5%	685	77.9%	167	19.0%	24	2.7%	3	0.3%	622	72.5%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	704	85.4%	95	11.5%	18	2.2%	7	0.8%	733	87.1%	84	10.0%	18	2.1%	7	0.8%	715	86.6%
	Montague (100 %)	5,432	82.5%	908	13.8%	205	3.1%	37	0.6%	5,629	84.1%	800	12.0%	220	3.3%	43	0.6%	5,443	82.4%
	Moore (100 %)	2,336	85.5%	302	11.1%	82	3.0%	13	0.5%	2,397	86.7%	279	10.1%	73	2.6%	15	0.5%	2,314	84.5%
	Motley (100 %)	314	90.5%	23	6.6%	8	2.3%	2	0.6%	327	91.9%	17	4.8%	11	3.1%	1	0.3%	320	91.2%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	1,427	92.2%	82	5.3%	36	2.3%	2	0.1%	1,455	92.9%	64	4.1%	41	2.6%	6	0.4%	1,428	92.1%
	Oldham (100 %)	486	89.0%	43	7.9%	10	1.8%	7	1.3%	499	90.2%	39	7.1%	14	2.5%	1	0.2%	491	89.8%
	Potter (100 %)	10,200	74.9%	2,780	20.4%	478	3.5%	152	1.1%	10,358	75.7%	2,684	19.6%	475	3.5%	161	1.2%	10,152	74.7%
	Randall (100 %)	23,367	83.9%	3,448	12.4%	864	3.1%	186	0.7%	23,712	84.6%	3,234	11.5%	859	3.1%	211	0.8%	23,443	84.1%
	Roberts (100 %)	297	90.3%	21	6.4%	10	3.0%	1	0.3%	311	92.6%	18	5.4%	6	1.8%	1	0.3%	301	90.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D	
DISTRICT 13	Armstrong (100 %)	52	9.3%	14	2.5%	9	1.6%	477	86.1%	55	9.9%	16	2.9%	6	1.1%	484	87.2%	54	9.7%
	Baylor (100 %)	149	18.7%	13	1.6%	12	1.5%	616	78.4%	135	17.2%	31	3.9%	4	0.5%	614	79.2%	139	17.9%
	Briscoe (100 %)	85	17.1%	5	1.0%	7	1.4%	397	79.9%	84	16.9%	12	2.4%	4	0.8%	394	81.1%	82	16.9%
	Carson (100 %)	222	12.3%	38	2.1%	29	1.6%	1,500	83.4%	217	12.1%	61	3.4%	21	1.2%	1,539	85.6%	204	11.3%
	Childress (100 %)	139	12.9%	15	1.4%	12	1.1%	905	84.2%	135	12.6%	25	2.3%	10	0.9%	909	85.3%	140	13.1%
	Clay (100 %)	425	15.5%	71	2.6%	25	0.9%	2,211	81.0%	402	14.7%	96	3.5%	22	0.8%	2,246	82.8%	383	14.1%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	158	21.3%	15	2.0%	18	2.4%	553	73.9%	152	20.3%	30	4.0%	13	1.7%	576	76.9%	148	19.8%
	Cottle (100 %)	53	15.7%	7	2.1%	3	0.9%	272	79.1%	58	16.9%	12	3.5%	2	0.6%	273	82.5%	48	14.5%
	Dallam (100 %)	114	13.8%	29	3.5%	16	1.9%	671	81.7%	105	12.8%	27	3.3%	18	2.2%	686	83.7%	105	12.8%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	517	19.4%	51	1.9%	28	1.1%	2,013	76.2%	472	17.9%	71	2.7%	87	3.3%	2,047	77.5%	518	19.6%
	Denton (17 %)	10,112	39.1%	1,253	4.8%	1,075	4.2%	13,407	51.8%	10,177	39.3%	1,316	5.1%	970	3.7%	13,700	52.9%	10,735	41.4%
	Dickens (100 %)	81	16.0%	7	1.4%	6	1.2%	407	81.4%	74	14.8%	13	2.6%	6	1.2%	410	82.2%	77	15.4%
	Donley (100 %)	122	13.4%	30	3.3%	21	2.3%	741	81.3%	117	12.8%	42	4.6%	11	1.2%	757	83.0%	112	12.3%
	Foard (100 %)	116	39.5%	7	2.4%	3	1.0%	166	57.0%	117	40.2%	8	2.7%	0	0.0%	165	56.5%	117	40.1%
	Gray (100 %)	287	7.8%	91	2.5%	33	0.9%	3,249	88.3%	293	8.0%	112	3.0%	25	0.7%	3,307	89.9%	278	7.6%
	Hall (100 %)	94	16.6%	9	1.6%	11	1.9%	444	79.7%	98	17.6%	11	2.0%	4	0.7%	454	81.7%	95	17.1%
	Hansford (100 %)	54	4.6%	20	1.7%	19	1.6%	1,062	91.4%	53	4.6%	31	2.7%	16	1.4%	1,069	92.2%	66	5.7%
	Hardeman (100 %)	144	22.5%	9	1.4%	8	1.3%	477	74.4%	138	21.5%	19	3.0%	7	1.1%	486	75.7%	144	22.4%
	Hartley (100 %)	102	9.4%	22	2.0%	8	0.7%	945	87.5%	92	8.5%	39	3.6%	4	0.4%	962	89.2%	85	7.9%
	Hemphill (100 %)	83	10.6%	20	2.5%	13	1.7%	675	85.7%	73	9.3%	23	2.9%	17	2.2%	673	86.6%	74	9.5%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	474	10.7%	123	2.8%	39	0.9%	3,752	84.4%	460	10.3%	190	4.3%	43	1.0%	3,850	86.6%	463	10.4%
	King (100 %)	3	3.4%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	81	91.0%	3	3.4%	5	5.6%	0	0.0%	81	95.3%	2	2.4%
	Knox (100 %)	209	24.4%	16	1.9%	11	1.3%	612	72.2%	195	23.0%	27	3.2%	14	1.7%	616	73.8%	186	22.3%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	84	10.2%	18	2.2%	9	1.1%	713	86.4%	78	9.5%	26	3.2%	8	1.0%	710	86.7%	88	10.7%
	Montague (100 %)	902	13.7%	172	2.6%	87	1.3%	5,382	81.7%	878	13.3%	260	3.9%	70	1.1%	5,500	83.7%	847	12.9%
	Moore (100 %)	322	11.8%	62	2.3%	39	1.4%	2,315	84.7%	306	11.2%	79	2.9%	34	1.2%	2,357	86.0%	301	11.0%
	Motley (100 %)	22	6.3%	9	2.6%	0	0.0%	326	91.6%	20	5.6%	8	2.2%	2	0.6%	320	91.4%	22	6.3%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	74	4.8%	33	2.1%	16	1.0%	1,430	92.6%	73	4.7%	34	2.2%	7	0.5%	1,443	93.3%	71	4.6%
	Oldham (100 %)	41	7.5%	14	2.6%	1	0.2%	482	87.6%	47	8.5%	20	3.6%	1	0.2%	510	92.2%	34	6.1%
	Potter (100 %)	2,783	20.5%	438	3.2%	218	1.6%	10,033	73.9%	2,738	20.2%	554	4.1%	258	1.9%	10,359	76.1%	2,790	20.5%
	Randall (100 %)	3,369	12.1%	764	2.7%	290	1.0%	23,362	83.7%	3,366	12.1%	941	3.4%	259	0.9%	23,734	85.0%	3,343	12.0%
	Roberts (100 %)	21	6.3%	8	2.4%	3	0.9%	300	90.6%	18	5.4%	11	3.3%	2	0.6%	300	91.5%	17	5.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

SUP CT CHIEF			SUP CT 6						SUP CT 7						SUP CT 8				
Oxford-L			Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R		
DISTRICT 13	Armstrong (100 %)	17	3.1%	490	88.9%	42	7.6%	19	3.4%	489	88.1%	42	7.6%	19	3.4%	5	0.9%	496	91.2%
	Baylor (100 %)	22	2.8%	619	80.9%	120	15.7%	26	3.4%	618	81.1%	109	14.3%	30	3.9%	5	0.7%	643	90.9%
	Briscoe (100 %)	10	2.1%	408	83.8%	71	14.6%	8	1.6%	407	83.4%	65	13.3%	10	2.0%	6	1.2%	422	91.7%
	Carson (100 %)	55	3.1%	1,547	86.8%	175	9.8%	60	3.4%	1,546	87.2%	167	9.4%	47	2.7%	13	0.7%	1,576	92.4%
	Childress (100 %)	17	1.6%	918	86.3%	129	12.1%	17	1.6%	926	86.5%	120	11.2%	13	1.2%	11	1.0%	933	92.8%
	Clay (100 %)	85	3.1%	2,250	83.5%	344	12.8%	100	3.7%	2,249	83.5%	341	12.7%	93	3.5%	10	0.4%	2,314	91.3%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	25	3.3%	579	78.8%	126	17.1%	30	4.1%	591	80.4%	116	15.8%	22	3.0%	6	0.8%	591	87.7%
	Cottle (100 %)	10	3.0%	268	82.0%	47	14.4%	12	3.7%	265	80.5%	51	15.5%	11	3.3%	2	0.6%	271	90.6%
	Dallam (100 %)	29	3.5%	705	86.3%	82	10.0%	30	3.7%	695	85.2%	89	10.9%	23	2.8%	9	1.1%	711	89.7%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	76	2.9%	2,095	79.7%	474	18.0%	58	2.2%	2,059	77.9%	511	19.3%	56	2.1%	16	0.6%	2,185	90.8%
	Denton (17 %)	1,471	5.7%	13,723	53.3%	10,516	40.8%	1,528	5.9%	13,504	52.3%	10,547	40.8%	1,245	4.8%	544	2.1%	14,633	65.8%
	Dickens (100 %)	12	2.4%	407	83.9%	63	13.0%	15	3.1%	409	84.0%	61	12.5%	12	2.5%	5	1.0%	425	93.8%
	Donley (100 %)	43	4.7%	764	84.0%	105	11.5%	41	4.5%	764	84.0%	102	11.2%	33	3.6%	11	1.2%	781	89.6%
	Foard (100 %)	10	3.4%	180	62.5%	102	35.4%	6	2.1%	183	63.5%	100	34.7%	5	1.7%	0	0.0%	199	86.1%
	Gray (100 %)	94	2.6%	3,306	90.2%	263	7.2%	98	2.7%	3,303	89.9%	259	7.1%	92	2.5%	19	0.5%	3,354	94.2%
	Hall (100 %)	7	1.3%	462	83.4%	87	15.7%	5	0.9%	470	84.4%	79	14.2%	6	1.1%	2	0.4%	464	91.0%
	Hansford (100 %)	24	2.1%	1,074	93.4%	51	4.4%	25	2.2%	1,060	92.3%	54	4.7%	27	2.3%	8	0.7%	1,074	94.7%
	Hardeman (100 %)	12	1.9%	494	76.9%	132	20.6%	16	2.5%	496	77.4%	123	19.2%	17	2.7%	5	0.8%	516	90.7%
	Hartley (100 %)	31	2.9%	972	90.3%	76	7.1%	28	2.6%	961	89.4%	79	7.3%	31	2.9%	4	0.4%	979	93.9%
	Hemphill (100 %)	30	3.9%	677	87.7%	67	8.7%	28	3.6%	676	87.3%	71	9.2%	19	2.5%	8	1.0%	674	91.0%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	135	3.0%	3,871	87.3%	424	9.6%	138	3.1%	3,848	86.9%	421	9.5%	134	3.0%	27	0.6%	3,929	92.6%
	King (100 %)	2	2.4%	80	96.4%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%	78	94.0%	1	1.2%	4	4.8%	0	0.0%	79	94.0%
	Knox (100 %)	33	4.0%	640	77.4%	163	19.7%	24	2.9%	630	76.0%	171	20.6%	20	2.4%	8	1.0%	667	89.2%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	21	2.6%	717	88.3%	73	9.0%	22	2.7%	706	87.1%	75	9.2%	24	3.0%	6	0.7%	723	92.0%
	Montague (100 %)	222	3.4%	5,538	84.5%	801	12.2%	213	3.3%	5,524	84.3%	769	11.7%	184	2.8%	72	1.1%	5,665	91.8%
	Moore (100 %)	82	3.0%	2,376	87.0%	280	10.3%	75	2.7%	2,355	86.2%	295	10.8%	67	2.5%	16	0.6%	2,411	92.8%
	Motley (100 %)	8	2.3%	320	93.0%	16	4.7%	8	2.3%	320	92.8%	16	4.6%	8	2.3%	1	0.3%	324	96.4%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	33	2.1%	1,453	94.2%	62	4.0%	27	1.8%	1,430	92.8%	67	4.3%	30	1.9%	14	0.9%	1,446	95.2%
	Oldham (100 %)	9	1.6%	508	92.4%	31	5.6%	11	2.0%	498	90.9%	29	5.3%	17	3.1%	4	0.7%	508	94.6%
	Potter (100 %)	466	3.4%	10,351	76.2%	2,723	20.1%	504	3.7%	10,250	75.4%	2,767	20.4%	452	3.3%	119	0.9%	10,724	86.5%
	Randall (100 %)	861	3.1%	23,794	85.4%	3,195	11.5%	886	3.2%	23,658	84.8%	3,261	11.7%	835	3.0%	144	0.5%	24,521	91.2%
	Roberts (100 %)	11	3.4%	301	92.9%	16	4.9%	7	2.2%	300	92.9%	13	4.0%	8	2.5%	2	0.6%	297	94.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3						CCA 4						CCA 9	
		Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R	
DISTRICT 13	Armstrong (100 %)	18	3.3%	30	5.5%	482	87.0%	51	9.2%	21	3.8%	484	89.1%	49	9.0%	10	1.8%	500	92.8%
	Baylor (100 %)	40	5.7%	24	3.4%	592	78.0%	125	16.5%	42	5.5%	621	86.7%	87	12.2%	8	1.1%	641	90.0%
	Briscoe (100 %)	16	3.5%	22	4.8%	398	82.2%	72	14.9%	14	2.9%	412	90.5%	35	7.7%	8	1.8%	412	89.6%
	Carson (100 %)	72	4.2%	58	3.4%	1,518	86.3%	178	10.1%	64	3.6%	1,524	89.5%	155	9.1%	24	1.4%	1,574	92.8%
	Childress (100 %)	39	3.9%	33	3.3%	915	86.3%	126	11.9%	19	1.8%	914	90.8%	78	7.7%	15	1.5%	931	92.7%
	Clay (100 %)	129	5.1%	91	3.6%	2,221	82.9%	357	13.3%	100	3.7%	2,218	87.2%	275	10.8%	52	2.0%	2,310	91.4%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	38	5.6%	45	6.7%	580	80.0%	122	16.8%	23	3.2%	576	82.2%	107	15.3%	18	2.6%	610	89.2%
	Cottle (100 %)	17	5.7%	11	3.7%	264	81.2%	46	14.2%	15	4.6%	264	87.4%	34	11.3%	4	1.3%	278	93.0%
	Dallam (100 %)	35	4.4%	47	5.9%	686	84.6%	94	11.6%	31	3.8%	689	87.0%	81	10.2%	22	2.8%	722	90.8%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	143	5.9%	79	3.3%	2,055	78.8%	491	18.8%	63	2.4%	2,092	86.2%	222	9.2%	112	4.6%	2,162	90.3%
	Denton (17 %)	3,866	17.4%	3,725	16.8%	13,584	53.1%	10,432	40.8%	1,546	6.0%	14,316	63.4%	4,538	20.1%	3,713	16.5%	14,601	65.1%
	Dickens (100 %)	13	2.9%	15	3.3%	403	82.9%	66	13.6%	17	3.5%	407	89.6%	38	8.4%	9	2.0%	428	94.5%
	Donley (100 %)	43	4.9%	48	5.5%	747	82.8%	106	11.8%	49	5.4%	752	86.4%	98	11.3%	20	2.3%	777	89.3%
	Foard (100 %)	16	6.9%	16	6.9%	170	59.0%	110	38.2%	8	2.8%	180	75.6%	48	20.2%	10	4.2%	200	85.1%
	Gray (100 %)	122	3.4%	84	2.4%	3,285	89.8%	269	7.4%	105	2.9%	3,285	92.3%	235	6.6%	40	1.1%	3,347	94.0%
	Hall (100 %)	14	2.7%	32	6.3%	454	81.7%	90	16.2%	12	2.2%	461	89.7%	41	8.0%	12	2.3%	476	94.3%
	Hansford (100 %)	27	2.4%	33	2.9%	1,055	92.5%	52	4.6%	33	2.9%	1,065	93.5%	61	5.4%	13	1.1%	1,084	95.8%
	Hardeman (100 %)	26	4.6%	27	4.7%	487	76.2%	136	21.3%	16	2.5%	481	84.2%	83	14.5%	7	1.2%	523	91.8%
	Hartley (100 %)	31	3.0%	33	3.2%	946	88.8%	86	8.1%	33	3.1%	950	91.1%	77	7.4%	16	1.5%	978	94.0%
	Hemphill (100 %)	40	5.4%	27	3.6%	669	87.0%	71	9.2%	29	3.8%	665	89.3%	63	8.5%	17	2.3%	680	91.8%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	176	4.1%	138	3.3%	3,828	86.4%	440	9.9%	160	3.6%	3,813	89.7%	372	8.7%	67	1.6%	3,946	93.2%
	King (100 %)	2	2.4%	3	3.6%	81	96.4%	1	1.2%	2	2.4%	78	89.7%	8	9.2%	1	1.1%	81	96.4%
	Knox (100 %)	53	7.1%	28	3.7%	620	75.8%	170	20.8%	28	3.4%	608	80.4%	125	16.5%	23	3.0%	668	89.1%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	30	3.8%	33	4.2%	700	87.0%	76	9.4%	29	3.6%	709	90.2%	61	7.8%	16	2.0%	732	93.2%
	Montague (100 %)	278	4.5%	228	3.7%	5,462	84.0%	798	12.3%	241	3.7%	5,420	87.7%	635	10.3%	123	2.0%	5,642	91.6%
	Moore (100 %)	106	4.1%	82	3.2%	2,341	86.0%	291	10.7%	91	3.3%	2,334	89.7%	207	8.0%	61	2.3%	2,425	93.5%
	Motley (100 %)	9	2.7%	3	0.9%	320	92.5%	16	4.6%	10	2.9%	318	94.1%	18	5.3%	2	0.6%	326	96.7%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	46	3.0%	27	1.8%	1,430	93.0%	64	4.2%	44	2.9%	1,433	94.4%	71	4.7%	14	0.9%	1,443	95.4%
	Oldham (100 %)	12	2.2%	17	3.2%	493	90.1%	39	7.1%	15	2.7%	494	92.2%	39	7.3%	3	0.6%	511	96.1%
	Potter (100 %)	914	7.4%	756	6.1%	10,229	75.5%	2,742	20.2%	573	4.2%	10,298	82.7%	1,577	12.7%	579	4.6%	10,684	86.3%
	Randall (100 %)	1,447	5.4%	928	3.5%	23,623	85.0%	3,229	11.6%	930	3.3%	23,589	87.7%	2,491	9.3%	827	3.1%	24,305	90.7%
	Roberts (100 %)	8	2.5%	10	3.2%	296	91.9%	16	5.0%	10	3.1%	293	92.7%	21	6.6%	2	0.6%	302	95.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L		Altgelt-G	
DISTRICT 13	Armstrong (100 %)	27	5.0%	12	2.2%
	Baylor (100 %)	55	7.7%	16	2.2%
	Briscoe (100 %)	38	8.3%	10	2.2%
	Carson (100 %)	101	6.0%	22	1.3%
	Childress (100 %)	60	6.0%	13	1.3%
	Clay (100 %)	162	6.4%	54	2.1%
	Collingsworth (100 %)	57	8.3%	17	2.5%
	Cottle (100 %)	15	5.0%	6	2.0%
	Dallam (100 %)	50	6.3%	23	2.9%
	Deaf Smith (100 %)	162	6.8%	69	2.9%
	Denton (17 %)	4,251	19.0%	3,566	15.9%
	Dickens (100 %)	18	4.0%	7	1.5%
	Donley (100 %)	73	8.4%	20	2.3%
	Foard (100 %)	24	10.2%	11	4.7%
	Gray (100 %)	162	4.6%	50	1.4%
	Hall (100 %)	21	4.2%	8	1.6%
	Hansford (100 %)	35	3.1%	13	1.1%
	Hardeman (100 %)	37	6.5%	10	1.8%
	Hartley (100 %)	50	4.8%	12	1.2%
	Hemphill (100 %)	44	5.9%	17	2.3%
	Hutchinson (100 %)	229	5.4%	61	1.4%
	King (100 %)	1	1.2%	2	2.4%
	Knox (100 %)	69	9.2%	13	1.7%
	Lipscomb (100 %)	34	4.3%	19	2.4%
	Montague (100 %)	381	6.2%	139	2.3%
	Moore (100 %)	136	5.2%	33	1.3%
	Motley (100 %)	10	3.0%	1	0.3%
	Ochiltree (100 %)	56	3.7%	13	0.9%
	Oldham (100 %)	17	3.2%	4	0.8%
	Potter (100 %)	1,185	9.6%	506	4.1%
	Randall (100 %)	1,705	6.4%	782	2.9%
	Roberts (100 %)	11	3.5%	4	1.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 13	Sherman (100 %)	545	92.1%	30	5.1%	12	2.0%	5	0.8%	0	0.0%	538	89.7%	52	8.7%	5	0.8%	5	0.8%
	Wheeler (100 %)	1,168	90.1%	97	7.5%	26	2.0%	6	0.5%	0	0.0%	1,185	89.6%	120	9.1%	10	0.8%	6	0.5%
	Wichita (100 %)	16,039	76.9%	4,133	19.8%	539	2.6%	146	0.7%	0	0.0%	16,130	75.9%	4,822	22.7%	255	1.2%	48	0.2%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	2,098	79.1%	481	18.1%	54	2.0%	18	0.7%	0	0.0%	2,141	77.8%	574	20.9%	25	0.9%	11	0.4%
	Wise (15 %)	1,859	84.0%	286	12.9%	54	2.4%	13	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,846	82.7%	356	16.0%	25	1.1%	4	0.2%
DISTRICT 14		89,105	64.6%	43,675	31.7%	3,747	2.7%	1,281	0.9%	27	0.0%	88,401	63.2%	49,112	35.1%	1,851	1.3%	480	0.3%
	Brazoria (49 %)	19,468	66.2%	8,751	29.7%	883	3.0%	318	1.1%	2	0.0%	19,323	64.5%	10,086	33.7%	414	1.4%	131	0.4%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Galveston (100 %)	41,407	64.6%	19,857	31.0%	2,029	3.2%	764	1.2%	0	0.0%	40,422	62.3%	23,201	35.8%	991	1.5%	240	0.4%
	Jefferson (58 %)	15,038	55.1%	11,689	42.8%	418	1.5%	122	0.4%	12	0.0%	15,256	54.9%	12,225	44.0%	226	0.8%	68	0.2%
DISTRICT 15	Orange (100 %)	13,192	77.3%	3,378	19.8%	417	2.4%	77	0.5%	13	0.1%	13,400	77.6%	3,600	20.8%	220	1.3%	41	0.2%
		44,178	47.6%	44,088	47.5%	2,433	2.6%	2,104	2.3%	74	0.1%	44,835	46.8%	48,835	50.9%	1,502	1.6%	612	0.6%
	Aransas (82 %)	3,466	75.5%	947	20.6%	136	3.0%	42	0.9%	1	0.0%	3,405	72.1%	1,202	25.4%	80	1.7%	33	0.7%
	Bee (100 %)	2,981	59.6%	1,827	36.5%	106	2.1%	90	1.8%	0	0.0%	2,972	56.9%	2,128	40.7%	97	1.9%	29	0.6%
	Brooks (100 %)	455	23.0%	1,319	66.6%	67	3.4%	138	7.0%	1	0.1%	588	27.4%	1,478	68.9%	47	2.2%	29	1.4%
DISTRICT 16	DeWitt (100 %)	3,270	83.6%	517	13.2%	101	2.6%	22	0.6%	0	0.0%	3,269	81.4%	695	17.3%	42	1.0%	12	0.3%
	Goliad (100 %)	1,865	69.1%	741	27.5%	60	2.2%	29	1.1%	3	0.1%	1,873	67.2%	873	31.3%	28	1.0%	11	0.4%
	Gonzales (100 %)	2,840	77.6%	689	18.8%	88	2.4%	40	1.1%	1	0.0%	2,789	75.1%	840	22.6%	69	1.9%	11	0.3%
	Hidalgo (64 %)	17,527	33.7%	31,499	60.6%	1,476	2.8%	1,441	2.8%	48	0.1%	18,034	33.7%	34,189	63.8%	887	1.7%	390	0.7%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	2,481	45.4%	2,762	50.5%	97	1.8%	120	2.2%	6	0.1%	2,589	45.3%	3,016	52.8%	72	1.3%	31	0.5%
DISTRICT 17	Lavaca (100 %)	4,242	85.6%	595	12.0%	99	2.0%	20	0.4%	0	0.0%	4,180	83.2%	784	15.6%	43	0.9%	16	0.3%
	Refugio (90 %)	1,102	62.5%	584	33.1%	37	2.1%	40	2.3%	1	0.1%	1,110	60.9%	664	36.4%	35	1.9%	13	0.7%
	San Patricio (64 %)	3,949	57.6%	2,608	38.0%	166	2.4%	122	1.8%	13	0.2%	4,026	56.4%	2,966	41.6%	102	1.4%	37	0.5%
		29,871	40.2%	39,937	53.7%	2,591	3.5%	1,877	2.5%	57	0.1%	28,126	37.5%	45,063	60.1%	1,277	1.7%	467	0.6%
	El Paso (89 %)	29,871	40.2%	39,937	53.7%	2,591	3.5%	1,877	2.5%	57	0.1%	28,126	37.5%	45,063	60.1%	1,277	1.7%	467	0.6%
DISTRICT 17		91,044	67.1%	38,735	28.5%	4,662	3.4%	1,189	0.9%	57	0.0%	89,485	65.1%	44,848	32.7%	2,395	1.7%	536	0.4%
	Bell (9 %)	3,164	69.0%	1,249	27.2%	134	2.9%	37	0.8%	1	0.0%	3,193	68.8%	1,340	28.9%	80	1.7%	22	0.5%
	Bosque (100 %)	3,908	80.8%	734	15.2%	160	3.3%	31	0.6%	3	0.1%	3,881	79.1%	933	19.0%	66	1.3%	25	0.5%
	Falls (100 %)	2,125	71.5%	770	25.9%	59	2.0%	16	0.5%	0	0.0%	2,147	70.1%	877	28.6%	31	1.0%	9	0.3%
	Freestone (100 %)	3,519	80.1%	775	17.6%	80	1.8%	18	0.4%	1	0.0%	3,473	78.0%	902	20.3%	56	1.3%	16	0.4%
	Hill (100 %)	7,023	79.4%	1,533	17.3%	234	2.6%	41	0.5%	9	0.1%	6,855	76.9%	1,887	21.2%	123	1.4%	40	0.4%
	Limestone (100 %)	3,257	76.6%	903	21.2%	70	1.6%	23	0.5%	0	0.0%	3,278	76.2%	979	22.7%	31	0.7%	16	0.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

GOVERNOR			LT. GOVERNOR								ATTORNEY GEN								
Pavitt-W			Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G		
DISTRICT 13	Sherman (100 %)	0	0.0%	518	88.4%	50	8.5%	13	2.2%	5	0.9%	510	87.6%	58	10.0%	10	1.7%	4	0.7%
	Wheeler (100 %)	1	0.1%	1,179	90.1%	101	7.7%	25	1.9%	3	0.2%	1,147	87.9%	142	10.9%	14	1.1%	2	0.2%
	Wichita (100 %)	2	0.0%	16,070	76.0%	4,517	21.4%	476	2.3%	88	0.4%	15,706	74.3%	4,899	23.2%	430	2.0%	92	0.4%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,099	78.4%	508	19.0%	54	2.0%	15	0.6%	1,962	74.4%	622	23.6%	36	1.4%	17	0.6%
	Wise (15 %)	0	0.0%	1,843	82.7%	322	14.4%	63	2.8%	1	0.0%	1,847	83.5%	327	14.8%	36	1.6%	3	0.1%
DISTRICT 14		27	0.0%	86,835	62.5%	47,968	34.5%	3,346	2.4%	724	0.5%	87,118	62.9%	48,102	34.7%	2,624	1.9%	703	0.5%
	Brazoria (49 %)	3	0.0%	19,033	63.8%	9,834	33.0%	748	2.5%	203	0.7%	19,324	64.8%	9,691	32.5%	607	2.0%	215	0.7%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Galveston (100 %)	0	0.0%	39,864	61.7%	22,577	34.9%	1,811	2.8%	375	0.6%	40,476	62.9%	22,079	34.3%	1,410	2.2%	358	0.6%
	Jefferson (58 %)	12	0.0%	14,827	54.2%	12,016	43.9%	426	1.6%	101	0.4%	14,532	53.1%	12,420	45.4%	327	1.2%	85	0.3%
DISTRICT 15	Orange (100 %)	12	0.1%	13,111	76.9%	3,541	20.8%	361	2.1%	45	0.3%	12,786	75.1%	3,912	23.0%	280	1.6%	45	0.3%
		77	0.1%	40,535	43.1%	51,082	54.3%	1,821	1.9%	558	0.6%	40,786	43.9%	48,708	52.4%	2,619	2.8%	777	0.8%
	Aransas (82 %)	4	0.1%	3,343	71.5%	1,173	25.1%	136	2.9%	25	0.5%	3,368	72.2%	1,145	24.5%	121	2.6%	30	0.6%
	Bee (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,719	53.1%	2,267	44.3%	113	2.2%	22	0.4%	2,614	51.7%	2,286	45.2%	134	2.7%	21	0.4%
	Brooks (100 %)	4	0.2%	427	20.7%	1,556	75.6%	58	2.8%	17	0.8%	396	19.8%	1,458	72.8%	107	5.3%	41	2.0%
DISTRICT 16	DeWitt (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,208	80.4%	704	17.6%	70	1.8%	10	0.3%	3,227	81.8%	652	16.5%	58	1.5%	9	0.2%
	Goliad (100 %)	3	0.1%	1,791	65.9%	865	31.8%	52	1.9%	8	0.3%	1,758	65.8%	867	32.5%	42	1.6%	4	0.1%
	Gonzales (100 %)	3	0.1%	2,698	73.6%	883	24.1%	77	2.1%	10	0.3%	2,737	75.6%	803	22.2%	65	1.8%	15	0.4%
	Hidalgo (64 %)	49	0.1%	14,952	28.6%	36,032	68.9%	949	1.8%	394	0.8%	15,440	29.9%	33,989	65.8%	1,646	3.2%	554	1.1%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	3	0.1%	2,398	42.8%	3,084	55.0%	105	1.9%	19	0.3%	2,345	42.1%	3,029	54.4%	156	2.8%	37	0.7%
DISTRICT 17	Lavaca (100 %)	3	0.1%	4,109	82.4%	791	15.9%	77	1.5%	8	0.2%	4,071	82.6%	783	15.9%	59	1.2%	17	0.3%
	Refugio (90 %)	1	0.1%	1,053	59.2%	674	37.9%	41	2.3%	11	0.6%	1,030	57.8%	689	38.7%	48	2.7%	14	0.8%
	San Patricio (64 %)	7	0.1%	3,837	54.3%	3,053	43.2%	143	2.0%	34	0.5%	3,800	54.1%	3,007	42.8%	183	2.6%	35	0.5%
		43	0.1%	27,289	36.7%	44,280	59.5%	1,972	2.7%	817	1.1%	27,149	36.9%	42,693	58.0%	2,848	3.9%	914	1.2%
	El Paso (89 %)	43	0.1%	27,289	36.7%	44,280	59.5%	1,972	2.7%	817	1.1%	27,149	36.9%	42,693	58.0%	2,848	3.9%	914	1.2%
DISTRICT 18		92	0.1%	88,161	64.7%	42,828	31.4%	4,484	3.3%	865	0.6%	86,750	64.0%	43,706	32.2%	4,371	3.2%	775	0.6%
	Bell (9 %)	4	0.1%	3,162	68.6%	1,303	28.3%	113	2.5%	30	0.7%	3,069	67.1%	1,365	29.8%	101	2.2%	39	0.9%
	Bosque (100 %)	3	0.1%	3,840	79.1%	862	17.8%	139	2.9%	13	0.3%	3,786	78.4%	929	19.2%	94	1.9%	19	0.4%
	Falls (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,106	69.5%	842	27.8%	70	2.3%	13	0.4%	2,034	67.8%	910	30.4%	35	1.2%	19	0.6%
	Freestone (100 %)	3	0.1%	3,427	77.5%	898	20.3%	87	2.0%	12	0.3%	3,412	77.5%	920	20.9%	59	1.3%	13	0.3%
	Hill (100 %)	14	0.2%	6,880	77.6%	1,763	19.9%	201	2.3%	26	0.3%	6,777	76.7%	1,917	21.7%	114	1.3%	29	0.3%
	Limestone (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,255	75.9%	943	22.0%	77	1.8%	15	0.3%	3,193	74.6%	1,032	24.1%	44	1.0%	10	0.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 13	Sherman (100 %)	479	85.5%	56	10.0%	16	2.9%	9	1.6%	516	89.4%	39	6.8%	15	2.6%	7	1.2%	473	83.9%
	Wheeler (100 %)	1,092	85.4%	142	11.1%	39	3.1%	5	0.4%	1,138	87.8%	121	9.3%	32	2.5%	5	0.4%	1,108	86.4%
	Wichita (100 %)	15,375	73.6%	4,759	22.8%	606	2.9%	159	0.8%	15,839	75.2%	4,487	21.3%	556	2.6%	183	0.9%	15,201	72.8%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	1,905	73.2%	619	23.8%	62	2.4%	18	0.7%	2,083	77.1%	532	19.7%	69	2.6%	16	0.6%	1,936	74.2%
	Wise (15 %)	1,778	80.9%	354	16.1%	57	2.6%	10	0.5%	1,845	82.9%	309	13.9%	60	2.7%	12	0.5%	1,797	81.6%
DISTRICT 14		84,891	61.7%	48,111	35.0%	3,476	2.5%	1,140	0.8%	88,190	63.7%	45,559	32.9%	3,363	2.4%	1,356	1.0%	84,742	61.8%
	Brazoria (49 %)	18,917	63.9%	9,637	32.6%	773	2.6%	271	0.9%	19,511	65.7%	9,095	30.6%	782	2.6%	321	1.1%	18,940	64.1%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Galveston (100 %)	39,511	61.7%	22,111	34.5%	1,789	2.8%	634	1.0%	41,169	64.0%	20,573	32.0%	1,771	2.8%	779	1.2%	39,501	62.1%
	Jefferson (58 %)	14,153	52.1%	12,404	45.7%	454	1.7%	144	0.5%	14,666	53.4%	12,235	44.6%	393	1.4%	161	0.6%	13,978	51.5%
DISTRICT 15	Orange (100 %)	12,310	73.2%	3,959	23.5%	460	2.7%	91	0.5%	12,844	75.5%	3,656	21.5%	417	2.5%	95	0.6%	12,323	73.1%
		41,126	44.5%	47,466	51.4%	2,645	2.9%	1,083	1.2%	44,971	48.0%	45,082	48.2%	2,024	2.2%	1,516	1.6%	39,724	43.1%
	Aransas (82 %)	3,397	73.2%	1,069	23.0%	128	2.8%	49	1.1%	3,488	74.4%	987	21.1%	142	3.0%	70	1.5%	3,309	71.7%
	Bee (100 %)	2,708	54.3%	2,088	41.8%	144	2.9%	51	1.0%	2,997	58.8%	1,877	36.8%	164	3.2%	62	1.2%	2,647	53.1%
	Brooks (100 %)	400	20.0%	1,450	72.5%	109	5.5%	41	2.1%	527	25.7%	1,393	68.1%	52	2.5%	75	3.7%	363	18.2%
	DeWitt (100 %)	3,308	83.5%	569	14.4%	72	1.8%	15	0.4%	3,303	83.0%	577	14.5%	81	2.0%	18	0.5%	3,166	80.5%
	Goliad (100 %)	1,823	68.0%	789	29.5%	55	2.1%	12	0.4%	1,870	68.9%	769	28.3%	62	2.3%	15	0.6%	1,691	63.9%
	Gonzales (100 %)	2,738	76.0%	764	21.2%	76	2.1%	26	0.7%	2,761	75.7%	783	21.5%	78	2.1%	23	0.6%	2,565	71.4%
	Hidalgo (64 %)	15,440	30.1%	33,524	65.4%	1,563	3.0%	739	1.4%	18,183	35.0%	31,740	61.0%	1,078	2.1%	1,023	2.0%	15,006	29.3%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	2,335	42.4%	2,954	53.7%	174	3.2%	41	0.7%	2,596	46.4%	2,812	50.3%	97	1.7%	85	1.5%	2,304	41.9%
	Lavaca (100 %)	4,199	84.6%	669	13.5%	78	1.6%	19	0.4%	4,146	83.2%	728	14.6%	87	1.7%	25	0.5%	3,958	80.7%
	Refugio (90 %)	1,040	59.1%	655	37.2%	50	2.8%	16	0.9%	1,111	62.0%	625	34.9%	30	1.7%	26	1.5%	981	56.3%
	San Patricio (64 %)	3,738	53.8%	2,935	42.3%	196	2.8%	74	1.1%	3,989	56.8%	2,791	39.7%	153	2.2%	94	1.3%	3,734	53.9%
DISTRICT 16		26,467	36.4%	41,717	57.4%	3,207	4.4%	1,310	1.8%	27,365	36.8%	43,067	57.9%	2,110	2.8%	1,881	2.5%	25,856	35.6%
	El Paso (89 %)	26,467	36.4%	41,717	57.4%	3,207	4.4%	1,310	1.8%	27,365	36.8%	43,067	57.9%	2,110	2.8%	1,881	2.5%	25,856	35.6%
DISTRICT 17		85,106	63.3%	43,040	32.0%	5,000	3.7%	1,239	0.9%	87,921	64.9%	41,199	30.4%	4,658	3.4%	1,622	1.2%	84,775	63.2%
	Bell (9 %)	3,003	66.2%	1,371	30.2%	130	2.9%	33	0.7%	3,023	66.5%	1,333	29.3%	148	3.3%	45	1.0%	3,011	66.5%
	Bosque (100 %)	3,720	77.7%	877	18.3%	157	3.3%	33	0.7%	3,803	78.9%	823	17.1%	155	3.2%	42	0.9%	3,737	77.6%
	Falls (100 %)	1,937	65.6%	933	31.6%	54	1.8%	27	0.9%	2,003	67.1%	880	29.5%	76	2.5%	26	0.9%	1,931	65.7%
	Freestone (100 %)	3,345	76.4%	898	20.5%	105	2.4%	28	0.6%	3,423	77.7%	866	19.6%	93	2.1%	26	0.6%	3,329	76.0%
	Hill (100 %)	6,652	76.3%	1,803	20.7%	209	2.4%	58	0.7%	6,884	77.9%	1,670	18.9%	234	2.6%	49	0.6%	6,629	75.7%
	Limestone (100 %)	3,122	73.4%	1,021	24.0%	84	2.0%	25	0.6%	3,144	73.6%	1,017	23.8%	82	1.9%	26	0.6%	3,073	72.5%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D	
DISTRICT 13	Sherman (100 %)	50	8.9%	15	2.7%	26	4.6%	468	83.1%	53	9.4%	36	6.4%	6	1.1%	490	87.8%	50	9.0%
	Wheeler (100 %)	133	10.4%	27	2.1%	15	1.2%	1,101	85.9%	134	10.5%	38	3.0%	9	0.7%	1,123	87.7%	124	9.7%
	Wichita (100 %)	4,897	23.5%	551	2.6%	231	1.1%	15,343	73.6%	4,615	22.1%	679	3.3%	220	1.1%	15,674	74.9%	4,617	22.1%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	591	22.7%	60	2.3%	21	0.8%	1,854	71.1%	669	25.6%	61	2.3%	25	1.0%	1,894	73.6%	613	23.8%
	Wise (15 %)	325	14.8%	48	2.2%	31	1.4%	1,789	81.3%	323	14.7%	69	3.1%	19	0.9%	1,805	82.1%	327	14.9%
DISTRICT 14		47,202	34.4%	3,424	2.5%	1,804	1.3%	85,310	62.1%	46,593	33.9%	3,680	2.7%	1,776	1.3%	85,913	62.5%	47,658	34.6%
	Brazoria (49 %)	9,367	31.7%	777	2.6%	448	1.5%	19,022	64.3%	9,276	31.4%	828	2.8%	435	1.5%	19,306	65.2%	9,505	32.1%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Galveston (100 %)	21,369	33.6%	1,815	2.9%	963	1.5%	39,788	62.4%	21,114	33.1%	1,905	3.0%	967	1.5%	39,915	62.6%	21,963	34.4%
	Jefferson (58 %)	12,478	46.0%	440	1.6%	227	0.8%	14,086	51.9%	12,334	45.4%	488	1.8%	242	0.9%	14,146	51.9%	12,328	45.3%
DISTRICT 15	Orange (100 %)	3,988	23.6%	392	2.3%	166	1.0%	12,414	73.6%	3,869	22.9%	459	2.7%	132	0.8%	12,546	74.2%	3,862	22.9%
		48,167	52.3%	2,603	2.8%	1,576	1.7%	39,562	42.8%	46,194	50.0%	2,363	2.6%	4,254	4.6%	40,840	44.4%	48,635	52.8%
	Aransas (82 %)	1,060	23.0%	148	3.2%	97	2.1%	3,291	71.4%	1,043	22.6%	177	3.8%	101	2.2%	3,407	73.6%	1,064	23.0%
	Bee (100 %)	2,169	43.5%	107	2.1%	60	1.2%	2,635	52.8%	1,997	40.0%	146	2.9%	215	4.3%	2,714	54.2%	2,172	43.3%
	Brooks (100 %)	1,499	75.1%	74	3.7%	59	3.0%	350	17.5%	1,391	69.6%	50	2.5%	208	10.4%	385	19.3%	1,534	77.1%
	DeWitt (100 %)	655	16.6%	78	2.0%	35	0.9%	3,100	79.0%	687	17.5%	91	2.3%	44	1.1%	3,193	81.4%	637	16.2%
	Goliad (100 %)	853	32.2%	66	2.5%	35	1.3%	1,702	64.2%	839	31.7%	64	2.4%	45	1.7%	1,689	65.0%	854	32.9%
	Gonzales (100 %)	833	23.2%	84	2.3%	111	3.1%	2,574	71.9%	842	23.5%	110	3.1%	54	1.5%	2,638	73.8%	838	23.4%
	Hidalgo (64 %)	33,648	65.7%	1,634	3.2%	945	1.8%	14,986	29.1%	32,268	62.7%	1,222	2.4%	3,021	5.9%	15,636	30.5%	34,074	66.5%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	3,003	54.7%	126	2.3%	60	1.1%	2,281	41.4%	2,853	51.8%	125	2.3%	245	4.5%	2,360	42.9%	3,031	55.1%
	Lavaca (100 %)	822	16.8%	76	1.5%	48	1.0%	3,947	80.3%	829	16.9%	109	2.2%	29	0.6%	4,013	82.3%	771	15.8%
	Refugio (90 %)	693	39.8%	41	2.4%	28	1.6%	986	56.6%	662	38.0%	49	2.8%	46	2.6%	998	57.1%	698	39.9%
	San Patricio (64 %)	2,932	42.3%	169	2.4%	98	1.4%	3,710	53.3%	2,783	40.0%	220	3.2%	246	3.5%	3,807	54.8%	2,962	42.6%
		41,479	57.2%	3,014	4.2%	2,205	3.0%	25,744	35.4%	40,095	55.1%	2,609	3.6%	4,344	6.0%	25,088	34.0%	46,324	62.7%
	El Paso (89 %)	41,479	57.2%	3,014	4.2%	2,205	3.0%	25,744	35.4%	40,095	55.1%	2,609	3.6%	4,344	6.0%	25,088	34.0%	46,324	62.7%
DISTRICT 17		42,561	31.7%	4,635	3.5%	2,198	1.6%	84,298	63.0%	42,041	31.4%	5,472	4.1%	2,034	1.5%	86,276	64.3%	42,821	31.9%
	Bell (9 %)	1,349	29.8%	112	2.5%	56	1.2%	2,969	65.7%	1,333	29.5%	152	3.4%	66	1.5%	3,015	66.8%	1,355	30.0%
	Bosque (100 %)	906	18.8%	112	2.3%	60	1.2%	3,664	76.5%	895	18.7%	183	3.8%	47	1.0%	3,727	78.3%	874	18.4%
	Falls (100 %)	939	31.9%	34	1.2%	37	1.3%	1,914	65.3%	910	31.0%	68	2.3%	40	1.4%	1,948	66.6%	928	31.7%
	Freestone (100 %)	910	20.8%	103	2.4%	37	0.8%	3,344	76.3%	892	20.4%	107	2.4%	38	0.9%	3,379	77.3%	900	20.6%
	Hill (100 %)	1,854	21.2%	168	1.9%	105	1.2%	6,632	75.9%	1,744	20.0%	267	3.1%	93	1.1%	6,741	77.3%	1,758	20.2%
	Limestone (100 %)	1,045	24.7%	72	1.7%	46	1.1%	3,091	73.0%	1,024	24.2%	93	2.2%	27	0.6%	3,120	73.8%	1,018	24.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

SUP CT CHIEF			SUP CT 6						SUP CT 7						SUP CT 8				
Oxford-L			Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R		
DISTRICT 13	Sherman (100 %)	18	3.2%	492	89.1%	41	7.4%	19	3.4%	491	88.8%	37	6.7%	17	3.1%	8	1.4%	479	90.5%
	Wheeler (100 %)	33	2.6%	1,126	88.2%	115	9.0%	35	2.7%	1,131	88.7%	103	8.1%	33	2.6%	8	0.6%	1,149	93.5%
	Wichita (100 %)	634	3.0%	15,756	75.7%	4,436	21.3%	632	3.0%	15,620	75.0%	4,508	21.6%	563	2.7%	134	0.6%	16,387	88.9%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	67	2.6%	1,965	76.3%	555	21.5%	57	2.2%	1,966	76.3%	537	20.9%	60	2.3%	12	0.5%	2,115	91.3%
	Wise (15 %)	67	3.0%	1,826	83.4%	302	13.8%	61	2.8%	1,815	83.2%	296	13.6%	56	2.6%	15	0.7%	1,880	91.4%
DISTRICT 14		3,975	2.9%	87,286	63.7%	46,121	33.6%	3,721	2.7%	85,958	62.7%	46,859	34.2%	3,341	2.4%	897	0.7%	91,545	83.0%
	Brazoria (49 %)	804	2.7%	19,408	65.9%	9,249	31.4%	811	2.8%	19,052	64.6%	9,499	32.2%	716	2.4%	227	0.8%	20,330	82.6%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	Galveston (100 %)	1,922	3.0%	40,610	63.8%	21,048	33.1%	2,027	3.2%	39,680	62.5%	21,599	34.0%	1,788	2.8%	458	0.7%	42,320	81.0%
	Jefferson (58 %)	758	2.8%	14,517	53.4%	12,213	45.0%	435	1.6%	14,491	53.3%	12,154	44.7%	414	1.5%	119	0.4%	15,498	84.2%
DISTRICT 15	Orange (100 %)	491	2.9%	12,751	75.9%	3,611	21.5%	448	2.7%	12,735	75.5%	3,607	21.4%	423	2.5%	93	0.6%	13,397	89.1%
		2,595	2.8%	41,723	45.5%	47,295	51.6%	2,681	2.9%	39,651	42.9%	50,090	54.2%	1,932	2.1%	778	0.8%	48,902	74.7%
	Aransas (82 %)	161	3.5%	3,416	74.1%	1,037	22.5%	156	3.4%	3,355	72.7%	1,053	22.8%	163	3.5%	43	0.9%	3,540	85.5%
	Bee (100 %)	125	2.5%	2,775	55.7%	2,061	41.4%	146	2.9%	2,650	52.7%	2,232	44.4%	112	2.2%	36	0.7%	3,157	81.1%
	Brooks (100 %)	71	3.6%	445	22.4%	1,465	73.7%	77	3.9%	372	18.5%	1,589	78.9%	38	1.9%	16	0.8%	704	56.0%
DISTRICT 16	DeWitt (100 %)	91	2.3%	3,222	82.4%	594	15.2%	93	2.4%	3,199	81.8%	614	15.7%	80	2.0%	17	0.4%	3,316	91.7%
	Goliad (100 %)	55	2.1%	1,704	66.0%	805	31.2%	72	2.8%	1,696	65.3%	837	32.2%	55	2.1%	11	0.4%	1,836	86.6%
	Gonzales (100 %)	99	2.8%	2,699	75.9%	770	21.7%	87	2.4%	2,666	74.8%	790	22.2%	96	2.7%	10	0.3%	2,839	89.3%
	Hidalgo (64 %)	1,561	3.0%	16,116	31.5%	33,407	65.4%	1,565	3.1%	14,667	28.4%	35,492	68.7%	990	1.9%	524	1.0%	21,140	65.3%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	109	2.0%	2,397	43.7%	2,950	53.8%	140	2.6%	2,309	42.0%	3,075	55.9%	89	1.6%	28	0.5%	2,798	73.5%
DISTRICT 17	Lavaca (100 %)	92	1.9%	4,071	83.6%	710	14.6%	89	1.8%	4,037	83.3%	698	14.4%	92	1.9%	22	0.5%	4,175	92.1%
	Refugio (90 %)	53	3.0%	1,020	59.3%	660	38.4%	40	2.3%	980	56.2%	699	40.1%	47	2.7%	17	1.0%	1,142	83.8%
	San Patricio (64 %)	178	2.6%	3,858	55.8%	2,836	41.0%	216	3.1%	3,720	53.5%	3,011	43.3%	170	2.4%	54	0.8%	4,255	81.8%
		2,462	3.3%	27,798	38.3%	41,873	57.7%	2,913	4.0%	26,232	36.0%	43,546	59.8%	2,121	2.9%	960	1.3%	33,326	62.0%
	El Paso (89 %)	2,462	3.3%	27,798	38.3%	41,873	57.7%	2,913	4.0%	26,232	36.0%	43,546	59.8%	2,121	2.9%	960	1.3%	33,326	62.0%
DISTRICT 18		5,079	3.8%	86,868	65.0%	41,437	31.0%	5,389	4.0%	86,189	64.4%	41,569	31.1%	4,969	3.7%	1,033	0.8%	92,116	79.9%
	Bell (9 %)	145	3.2%	3,023	67.3%	1,308	29.1%	162	3.6%	3,006	66.8%	1,316	29.2%	143	3.2%	36	0.8%	3,148	86.4%
	Bosque (100 %)	158	3.3%	3,769	79.3%	817	17.2%	169	3.6%	3,754	79.2%	786	16.6%	161	3.4%	40	0.8%	3,940	89.2%
	Falls (100 %)	49	1.7%	1,958	67.2%	883	30.3%	73	2.5%	1,970	67.5%	850	29.1%	75	2.6%	22	0.8%	2,066	87.0%
	Freestone (100 %)	93	2.1%	3,402	78.0%	860	19.7%	101	2.3%	3,396	77.7%	859	19.7%	93	2.1%	22	0.5%	3,519	90.6%
	Hill (100 %)	220	2.5%	6,788	78.4%	1,631	18.8%	239	2.8%	6,738	77.7%	1,627	18.8%	263	3.0%	48	0.6%	7,080	89.2%
	Limestone (100 %)	88	2.1%	3,167	75.1%	969	23.0%	82	1.9%	3,153	74.7%	965	22.9%	80	1.9%	21	0.5%	3,286	90.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9		
		Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R
DISTRICT 13	Sherman (100 %)	14	2.6%	36	6.8%	480	88.7%	39	7.2%	22	4.1%	481	89.9%	43	8.0%	11	2.1%	490
	Wheeler (100 %)	44	3.6%	36	2.9%	1,117	87.5%	120	9.4%	39	3.1%	1,122	91.4%	92	7.5%	14	1.1%	1,165
	Wichita (100 %)	1,174	6.4%	870	4.7%	15,540	74.8%	4,532	21.8%	694	3.3%	15,768	85.1%	2,007	10.8%	746	4.0%	16,346
	Wilbarger (100 %)	122	5.3%	80	3.5%	1,933	75.5%	551	21.5%	75	2.9%	1,965	84.6%	311	13.4%	47	2.0%	2,103
	Wise (15 %)	100	4.9%	78	3.8%	1,809	82.9%	303	13.9%	70	3.2%	1,799	87.5%	201	9.8%	57	2.8%	1,857
DISTRICT 14		10,611	9.6%	8,145	7.4%	85,966	62.9%	46,185	33.8%	4,485	3.3%	90,746	81.9%	11,360	10.3%	8,660	7.8%	91,277
	Brazoria (49 %)	2,401	9.8%	1,890	7.7%	19,127	65.1%	9,264	31.5%	990	3.4%	20,161	81.3%	2,573	10.4%	2,056	8.3%	20,299
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	Galveston (100 %)	5,468	10.5%	4,458	8.5%	39,905	63.1%	21,087	33.3%	2,286	3.6%	41,964	80.0%	5,604	10.7%	4,864	9.3%	42,239
	Jefferson (58 %)	1,713	9.3%	1,195	6.5%	14,294	52.8%	12,130	44.8%	663	2.4%	15,335	83.1%	1,927	10.4%	1,196	6.5%	15,362
DISTRICT 15	Orange (100 %)	1,029	6.8%	602	4.0%	12,640	74.8%	3,704	21.9%	546	3.2%	13,286	88.1%	1,256	8.3%	544	3.6%	13,377
		10,683	16.3%	5,902	9.0%	41,170	45.1%	46,892	51.4%	3,250	3.6%	46,689	70.2%	9,192	13.8%	10,604	15.9%	48,845
	Aransas (82 %)	347	8.4%	251	6.1%	3,354	73.2%	1,033	22.6%	192	4.2%	3,467	83.7%	403	9.7%	274	6.6%	3,541
	Bee (100 %)	468	12.0%	266	6.8%	2,706	54.7%	2,061	41.7%	178	3.6%	2,998	76.5%	464	11.8%	457	11.7%	3,157
	Brooks (100 %)	357	28.4%	197	15.7%	434	22.1%	1,431	72.7%	103	5.2%	616	48.7%	319	25.2%	329	26.0%	667
DISTRICT 16	DeWitt (100 %)	182	5.0%	119	3.3%	3,177	81.7%	604	15.5%	107	2.8%	3,269	90.0%	246	6.8%	116	3.2%	3,308
	Goliad (100 %)	176	8.3%	107	5.0%	1,680	65.5%	804	31.3%	81	3.2%	1,811	83.8%	212	9.8%	139	6.4%	1,833
	Gonzales (100 %)	241	7.6%	100	3.1%	2,636	74.5%	762	21.5%	138	3.9%	2,767	86.9%	280	8.8%	136	4.3%	2,843
	Hidalgo (64 %)	7,253	22.4%	3,984	12.3%	16,036	31.5%	33,067	64.9%	1,834	3.6%	19,717	59.4%	5,628	17.0%	7,848	23.6%	21,045
	Jim Wells (100 %)	662	17.4%	348	9.1%	2,357	43.2%	2,938	53.8%	161	3.0%	2,697	70.1%	581	15.1%	571	14.8%	2,869
DISTRICT 17	Lavaca (100 %)	225	5.0%	132	2.9%	3,987	82.4%	722	14.9%	132	2.7%	4,138	91.3%	289	6.4%	107	2.4%	4,174
	Refugio (90 %)	137	10.1%	84	6.2%	995	58.1%	654	38.2%	63	3.7%	1,100	80.9%	159	11.7%	100	7.4%	1,143
	San Patricio (64 %)	635	12.2%	314	6.0%	3,808	55.3%	2,816	40.9%	261	3.8%	4,109	78.3%	611	11.6%	527	10.0%	4,265
		12,736	23.7%	7,693	14.3%	27,605	38.1%	41,198	56.9%	3,583	4.9%	31,443	56.9%	10,947	19.8%	12,860	23.3%	33,153
	El Paso (89 %)	12,736	23.7%	7,693	14.3%	27,605	38.1%	41,198	56.9%	3,583	4.9%	31,443	56.9%	10,947	19.8%	12,860	23.3%	33,153
DISTRICT 17		13,175	11.4%	10,070	8.7%	85,732	64.5%	41,375	31.1%	5,840	4.4%	89,796	77.5%	15,902	13.7%	10,172	8.8%	91,446
	Bell (9 %)	307	8.4%	190	5.2%	2,985	66.6%	1,321	29.5%	178	4.0%	3,069	84.2%	422	11.6%	156	4.3%	3,134
	Bosque (100 %)	282	6.4%	194	4.4%	3,694	78.4%	837	17.8%	182	3.9%	3,789	86.1%	469	10.7%	145	3.3%	3,914
	Falls (100 %)	189	8.0%	121	5.1%	1,935	66.9%	886	30.6%	70	2.4%	2,003	84.2%	288	12.1%	89	3.7%	2,060
	Freestone (100 %)	214	5.5%	149	3.8%	3,398	78.0%	843	19.3%	118	2.7%	3,430	88.2%	363	9.3%	95	2.4%	3,486
	Hill (100 %)	500	6.3%	358	4.5%	6,675	77.5%	1,646	19.1%	294	3.4%	6,890	86.8%	756	9.5%	296	3.7%	7,038
	Limestone (100 %)	201	5.5%	149	4.1%	3,129	74.3%	957	22.7%	127	3.0%	3,152	85.7%	424	11.5%	100	2.7%	3,286

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

CCA 9				
		Strange-L		Altgelt-G
DISTRICT 13	Sherman (100 %)	23	4.4%	15 2.8%
	Wheeler (100 %)	49	4.0%	15 1.2%
	Wichita (100 %)	1,400	7.6%	738 4.0%
	Wilbarger (100 %)	147	6.4%	54 2.3%
	Wise (15 %)	126	6.2%	65 3.2%
DISTRICT 14		11,901	10.8%	7,121 6.5%
	Brazoria (49 %)	2,616	10.6%	1,810 7.3%
	Chambers (0 %)	0	0.0%	0 0.0%
	Galveston (100 %)	5,906	11.3%	4,077 7.8%
	Jefferson (58 %)	2,112	11.5%	831 4.5%
DISTRICT 15	Orange (100 %)	1,267	8.4%	403 2.7%
		10,863	16.6%	5,717 8.7%
	Aransas (82 %)	370	9.0%	222 5.4%
	Bee (100 %)	490	12.7%	226 5.8%
	Brooks (100 %)	393	31.6%	183 14.7%
	DeWitt (100 %)	208	5.8%	93 2.6%
	Goliad (100 %)	199	9.4%	93 4.4%
	Gonzales (100 %)	262	8.2%	82 2.6%
	Hidalgo (64 %)	7,242	22.4%	4,037 12.5%
	Jim Wells (100 %)	644	16.8%	323 8.4%
	Lavaca (100 %)	257	5.7%	90 2.0%
	Refugio (90 %)	134	9.9%	83 6.1%
	San Patricio (64 %)	664	12.7%	285 5.5%
DISTRICT 16		13,420	24.7%	7,771 14.3%
	El Paso (89 %)	13,420	24.7%	7,771 14.3%
DISTRICT 17		14,896	12.9%	8,868 7.7%
	Bell (9 %)	379	10.4%	133 3.6%
	Bosque (100 %)	342	7.8%	137 3.1%
	Falls (100 %)	222	9.4%	83 3.5%
	Freestone (100 %)	294	7.6%	86 2.2%
	Hill (100 %)	624	7.9%	244 3.1%
	Limestone (100 %)	285	7.8%	80 2.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 17	McLennan (100 %)	31,117	71.1%	11,276	25.8%	1,056	2.4%	338	0.8%	0	0.0%	30,507	68.9%	13,092	29.6%	549	1.2%	137	0.3%
	Milam (100 %)	3,584	73.9%	1,098	22.6%	135	2.8%	33	0.7%	1	0.0%	3,576	72.0%	1,293	26.0%	79	1.6%	13	0.3%
	Navarro (31 %)	2,834	79.8%	611	17.2%	87	2.4%	18	0.5%	2	0.1%	2,785	77.1%	756	20.9%	46	1.3%	21	0.6%
	Robertson (100 %)	3,165	63.8%	1,643	33.1%	106	2.1%	42	0.8%	3	0.1%	3,270	64.1%	1,768	34.6%	46	0.9%	16	0.3%
	Williamson (49 %)	27,348	56.2%	18,143	37.3%	2,541	5.2%	592	1.2%	37	0.1%	26,520	54.0%	21,021	42.8%	1,288	2.6%	221	0.5%
DISTRICT 18		24,780	20.1%	95,350	77.3%	1,889	1.5%	1,402	1.1%	4	0.0%	22,753	18.1%	101,656	80.8%	915	0.7%	417	0.3%
	Brazoria (14 %)	2,173	38.5%	3,277	58.0%	134	2.4%	61	1.1%	1	0.0%	2,081	36.3%	3,566	62.2%	64	1.1%	19	0.3%
	Fort Bend (19 %)	6,964	24.7%	20,635	73.1%	403	1.4%	224	0.8%	1	0.0%	6,607	23.1%	21,649	75.7%	229	0.8%	96	0.3%
	Harris (12 %)	15,643	17.5%	71,438	79.8%	1,352	1.5%	1,117	1.2%	2	0.0%	14,065	15.4%	76,441	83.6%	622	0.7%	302	0.3%
DISTRICT 19		96,267	80.6%	18,784	15.7%	3,120	2.6%	1,186	1.0%	9	0.0%	97,607	80.7%	21,465	17.7%	1,536	1.3%	363	0.3%
	Andrews (100 %)	1,943	88.6%	178	8.1%	50	2.3%	22	1.0%	0	0.0%	1,988	89.5%	203	9.1%	25	1.1%	5	0.2%
	Bailey (100 %)	853	86.4%	115	11.7%	13	1.3%	6	0.6%	0	0.0%	868	86.5%	130	12.9%	4	0.4%	2	0.2%
	Borden (100 %)	236	93.3%	12	4.7%	5	2.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	240	92.3%	18	6.9%	2	0.8%	0	0.0%
	Callahan (11 %)	259	86.9%	29	9.7%	6	2.0%	4	1.3%	0	0.0%	262	87.3%	34	11.3%	3	1.0%	1	0.3%
	Castro (100 %)	903	79.0%	200	17.5%	23	2.0%	17	1.5%	0	0.0%	917	79.6%	217	18.8%	13	1.1%	4	0.3%
	Cochran (100 %)	411	84.7%	57	11.8%	10	2.1%	7	1.4%	0	0.0%	423	85.5%	65	13.1%	6	1.2%	0	0.0%
	Crosby (100 %)	802	76.7%	198	18.9%	27	2.6%	18	1.7%	0	0.0%	813	75.8%	239	22.3%	13	1.2%	7	0.7%
	Dawson (100 %)	1,626	81.9%	290	14.6%	32	1.6%	38	1.9%	0	0.0%	1,687	82.5%	335	16.4%	16	0.8%	6	0.3%
	Fisher (100 %)	808	67.2%	359	29.8%	24	2.0%	12	1.0%	0	0.0%	891	71.6%	334	26.8%	14	1.1%	6	0.5%
	Floyd (100 %)	939	87.5%	110	10.3%	13	1.2%	11	1.0%	0	0.0%	947	87.1%	133	12.2%	2	0.2%	5	0.5%
	Gaines (100 %)	2,137	87.6%	224	9.2%	54	2.2%	25	1.0%	0	0.0%	2,235	89.0%	235	9.4%	31	1.2%	11	0.4%
	Garza (100 %)	742	89.8%	66	8.0%	15	1.8%	3	0.4%	0	0.0%	752	89.5%	80	9.5%	7	0.8%	1	0.1%
	Hale (100 %)	3,675	85.9%	492	11.5%	67	1.6%	43	1.0%	0	0.0%	3,703	85.7%	567	13.1%	38	0.9%	12	0.3%
	Haskell (100 %)	787	75.4%	234	22.4%	21	2.0%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	821	77.1%	230	21.6%	8	0.8%	5	0.5%
	Hockley (100 %)	3,192	87.0%	370	10.1%	79	2.2%	27	0.7%	3	0.1%	3,220	87.1%	425	11.5%	40	1.1%	10	0.3%
	Howard (100 %)	3,433	81.1%	644	15.2%	117	2.8%	37	0.9%	3	0.1%	3,492	81.6%	664	15.5%	111	2.6%	9	0.2%
	Jones (100 %)	2,644	80.8%	491	15.0%	112	3.4%	23	0.7%	1	0.0%	2,745	82.2%	534	16.0%	42	1.3%	16	0.5%
	Kent (100 %)	242	82.3%	41	13.9%	8	2.7%	3	1.0%	0	0.0%	243	80.7%	48	15.9%	7	2.3%	2	0.7%
	Lamb (100 %)	1,781	85.7%	244	11.7%	31	1.5%	22	1.1%	0	0.0%	1,837	87.1%	251	11.9%	17	0.8%	2	0.1%
	Lubbock (100 %)	35,927	76.9%	8,956	19.2%	1,354	2.9%	481	1.0%	1	0.0%	36,038	76.4%	10,438	22.1%	605	1.3%	109	0.2%
	Lynn (100 %)	979	83.0%	164	13.9%	27	2.3%	9	0.8%	0	0.0%	1,013	83.9%	180	14.9%	11	0.9%	3	0.2%
	Martin (100 %)	959	85.6%	111	9.9%	29	2.6%	21	1.9%	0	0.0%	1,016	88.0%	116	10.0%	21	1.8%	2	0.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

GOVERNOR			LT. GOVERNOR								ATTORNEY GEN								
Pavitt-W			Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G		
DISTRICT 17	McLennan (100 %)	13	0.0%	30,090	68.3%	12,679	28.8%	1,037	2.4%	252	0.6%	29,662	67.8%	13,107	30.0%	793	1.8%	195	0.4%
	Milam (100 %)	3	0.1%	3,535	72.0%	1,206	24.6%	144	2.9%	23	0.5%	3,390	69.6%	1,319	27.1%	134	2.8%	29	0.6%
	Navarro (31 %)	5	0.1%	2,782	77.6%	697	19.5%	90	2.5%	14	0.4%	2,790	78.1%	717	20.1%	58	1.6%	9	0.3%
	Robertson (100 %)	5	0.1%	3,137	63.1%	1,707	34.3%	116	2.3%	13	0.3%	2,956	59.8%	1,874	37.9%	89	1.8%	21	0.4%
	Williamson (49 %)	42	0.1%	25,947	53.2%	19,928	40.9%	2,410	4.9%	454	0.9%	25,681	52.9%	19,616	40.4%	2,850	5.9%	392	0.8%
DISTRICT 18		4	0.0%	22,325	17.8%	100,304	80.0%	1,798	1.4%	876	0.7%	22,621	18.1%	99,753	79.8%	1,611	1.3%	1,037	0.8%
	Brazoria (14 %)	1	0.0%	2,075	36.4%	3,453	60.5%	122	2.1%	58	1.0%	2,089	36.6%	3,452	60.5%	115	2.0%	54	0.9%
	Fort Bend (19 %)	2	0.0%	6,546	23.0%	21,402	75.1%	382	1.3%	164	0.6%	6,590	23.2%	21,340	75.1%	331	1.2%	168	0.6%
	Harris (12 %)	1	0.0%	13,704	15.0%	75,449	82.8%	1,294	1.4%	654	0.7%	13,942	15.3%	74,961	82.5%	1,165	1.3%	815	0.9%
DISTRICT 19		28	0.0%	95,219	79.4%	21,301	17.8%	2,962	2.5%	484	0.4%	92,918	77.7%	23,770	19.9%	2,478	2.1%	488	0.4%
	Andrews (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,950	88.7%	205	9.3%	38	1.7%	6	0.3%	1,898	86.7%	245	11.2%	39	1.8%	6	0.3%
	Bailey (100 %)	0	0.0%	834	83.7%	151	15.1%	11	1.1%	1	0.1%	827	84.3%	142	14.5%	11	1.1%	1	0.1%
	Borden (100 %)	0	0.0%	229	90.5%	16	6.3%	8	3.2%	0	0.0%	229	90.5%	22	8.7%	2	0.8%	0	0.0%
	Callahan (11 %)	0	0.0%	256	85.3%	37	12.3%	6	2.0%	1	0.3%	248	82.7%	44	14.7%	6	2.0%	2	0.7%
	Castro (100 %)	1	0.1%	901	79.2%	204	17.9%	27	2.4%	5	0.4%	855	75.7%	251	22.2%	19	1.7%	5	0.4%
	Cochran (100 %)	1	0.2%	407	83.4%	65	13.3%	15	3.1%	1	0.2%	392	81.0%	77	15.9%	10	2.1%	5	1.0%
	Crosby (100 %)	0	0.0%	773	74.5%	231	22.3%	30	2.9%	4	0.4%	719	68.5%	316	30.1%	10	1.0%	5	0.5%
	Dawson (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,589	79.6%	363	18.2%	39	2.0%	6	0.3%	1,569	78.6%	385	19.3%	31	1.6%	11	0.6%
	Fisher (100 %)	0	0.0%	798	66.8%	349	29.2%	37	3.1%	10	0.8%	742	62.3%	429	36.0%	14	1.2%	6	0.5%
	Floyd (100 %)	0	0.0%	917	85.2%	135	12.5%	21	2.0%	3	0.3%	909	83.8%	166	15.3%	7	0.6%	3	0.3%
	Gaines (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,161	86.9%	254	10.2%	61	2.5%	12	0.5%	2,125	85.5%	294	11.8%	54	2.2%	11	0.4%
	Garza (100 %)	0	0.0%	729	87.7%	86	10.3%	16	1.9%	0	0.0%	707	85.3%	105	12.7%	12	1.4%	5	0.6%
	Hale (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,643	84.5%	593	13.8%	58	1.3%	18	0.4%	3,560	83.0%	649	15.1%	67	1.6%	12	0.3%
	Haskell (100 %)	1	0.1%	787	75.8%	223	21.5%	23	2.2%	5	0.5%	755	72.3%	277	26.5%	9	0.9%	3	0.3%
	Hockley (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,135	85.4%	450	12.3%	74	2.0%	10	0.3%	3,086	84.5%	504	13.8%	56	1.5%	8	0.2%
	Howard (100 %)	3	0.1%	3,422	80.7%	691	16.3%	115	2.7%	15	0.4%	3,343	79.0%	763	18.0%	114	2.7%	12	0.3%
	Jones (100 %)	4	0.1%	2,651	80.2%	556	16.8%	85	2.6%	12	0.4%	2,550	77.8%	643	19.6%	67	2.0%	16	0.5%
	Kent (100 %)	1	0.3%	235	81.6%	44	15.3%	9	3.1%	0	0.0%	210	73.7%	66	23.2%	5	1.8%	4	1.4%
	Lamb (100 %)	1	0.0%	1,776	85.0%	272	13.0%	40	1.9%	2	0.1%	1,730	83.1%	319	15.3%	31	1.5%	1	0.0%
	Lubbock (100 %)	3	0.0%	35,357	75.2%	10,187	21.7%	1,239	2.6%	217	0.5%	34,805	74.3%	10,739	22.9%	1,107	2.4%	213	0.5%
	Lynn (100 %)	1	0.1%	962	81.3%	193	16.3%	25	2.1%	3	0.3%	918	78.0%	240	20.4%	18	1.5%	1	0.1%
	Martin (100 %)	0	0.0%	932	82.8%	155	13.8%	36	3.2%	3	0.3%	941	83.1%	157	13.9%	32	2.8%	3	0.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 17	McLennan (100 %)	28,919	66.6%	13,023	30.0%	1,162	2.7%	290	0.7%	29,934	68.4%	12,330	28.2%	1,116	2.6%	374	0.9%	28,954	66.7%
	Milam (100 %)	3,283	68.2%	1,354	28.1%	144	3.0%	34	0.7%	3,437	70.2%	1,278	26.1%	139	2.8%	39	0.8%	3,304	68.1%
	Navarro (31 %)	2,728	76.8%	714	20.1%	93	2.6%	15	0.4%	2,779	77.5%	690	19.2%	98	2.7%	20	0.6%	2,722	76.8%
	Robertson (100 %)	2,814	57.7%	1,904	39.0%	137	2.8%	25	0.5%	3,006	60.8%	1,793	36.2%	106	2.1%	43	0.9%	2,781	56.9%
	Williamson (49 %)	25,583	53.2%	19,142	39.8%	2,725	5.7%	671	1.4%	26,485	54.8%	18,519	38.3%	2,411	5.0%	932	1.9%	25,304	52.9%
DISTRICT 18		22,202	17.8%	99,616	80.0%	1,714	1.4%	983	0.8%	24,447	19.6%	97,384	78.0%	1,562	1.3%	1,438	1.2%	22,918	18.5%
	Brazoria (14 %)	2,057	36.3%	3,433	60.6%	127	2.2%	45	0.8%	2,136	37.6%	3,364	59.2%	115	2.0%	70	1.2%	2,059	36.4%
	Fort Bend (19 %)	6,522	23.0%	21,338	75.2%	374	1.3%	140	0.5%	6,779	23.8%	21,072	74.0%	372	1.3%	263	0.9%	6,543	23.1%
	Harris (12 %)	13,623	15.1%	74,845	82.7%	1,213	1.3%	798	0.9%	15,532	17.1%	72,948	80.5%	1,075	1.2%	1,105	1.2%	14,316	15.9%
DISTRICT 19		91,291	77.1%	22,875	19.3%	3,485	2.9%	824	0.7%	94,628	79.1%	20,694	17.3%	3,477	2.9%	887	0.7%	91,106	76.9%
	Andrews (100 %)	1,847	85.1%	244	11.2%	65	3.0%	14	0.6%	1,899	86.6%	214	9.8%	55	2.5%	24	1.1%	1,830	84.5%
	Bailey (100 %)	805	82.6%	151	15.5%	15	1.5%	4	0.4%	833	84.6%	132	13.4%	16	1.6%	4	0.4%	814	83.6%
	Borden (100 %)	222	89.2%	21	8.4%	5	2.0%	1	0.4%	226	91.5%	16	6.5%	5	2.0%	0	0.0%	222	90.6%
	Callahan (11 %)	245	81.7%	41	13.7%	13	4.3%	1	0.3%	251	83.7%	36	12.0%	10	3.3%	3	1.0%	247	82.3%
	Castro (100 %)	832	74.1%	248	22.1%	34	3.0%	9	0.8%	858	75.9%	228	20.2%	37	3.3%	8	0.7%	821	73.1%
	Cochran (100 %)	384	80.0%	77	16.0%	14	2.9%	5	1.0%	391	80.8%	72	14.9%	14	2.9%	7	1.4%	375	78.9%
	Crosby (100 %)	680	67.4%	299	29.6%	20	2.0%	10	1.0%	756	72.8%	252	24.3%	21	2.0%	9	0.9%	677	66.1%
	Dawson (100 %)	1,505	77.1%	395	20.2%	40	2.0%	13	0.7%	1,597	79.8%	362	18.1%	36	1.8%	6	0.3%	1,507	77.1%
	Fisher (100 %)	697	60.3%	417	36.1%	30	2.6%	11	1.0%	745	62.6%	401	33.7%	35	2.9%	10	0.8%	728	61.9%
	Floyd (100 %)	875	82.9%	158	15.0%	17	1.6%	5	0.5%	924	86.4%	128	12.0%	12	1.1%	6	0.6%	880	83.9%
	Gaines (100 %)	2,083	85.3%	288	11.8%	54	2.2%	18	0.7%	2,165	87.3%	234	9.4%	64	2.6%	18	0.7%	2,082	85.2%
	Garza (100 %)	676	82.6%	114	13.9%	26	3.2%	2	0.2%	711	85.9%	90	10.9%	25	3.0%	2	0.2%	681	83.7%
	Hale (100 %)	3,500	81.9%	656	15.4%	94	2.2%	22	0.5%	3,621	84.4%	559	13.0%	89	2.1%	23	0.5%	3,511	81.9%
	Haskell (100 %)	695	68.5%	292	28.8%	25	2.5%	3	0.3%	745	71.8%	267	25.7%	20	1.9%	5	0.5%	722	70.3%
	Hockley (100 %)	3,033	83.6%	485	13.4%	89	2.5%	22	0.6%	3,128	85.4%	420	11.5%	93	2.5%	20	0.5%	3,003	82.9%
	Howard (100 %)	3,260	77.7%	784	18.7%	124	3.0%	27	0.6%	3,361	79.3%	702	16.6%	145	3.4%	31	0.7%	3,241	77.3%
	Jones (100 %)	2,518	77.4%	611	18.8%	111	3.4%	15	0.5%	2,581	78.4%	577	17.5%	113	3.4%	22	0.7%	2,499	76.5%
	Kent (100 %)	202	72.4%	65	23.3%	10	3.6%	2	0.7%	228	79.2%	47	16.3%	12	4.2%	1	0.3%	199	70.6%
	Lamb (100 %)	1,692	82.5%	311	15.2%	35	1.7%	13	0.6%	1,745	84.0%	285	13.7%	40	1.9%	8	0.4%	1,694	82.2%
	Lubbock (100 %)	34,474	73.9%	10,266	22.0%	1,527	3.3%	358	0.8%	35,412	75.6%	9,516	20.3%	1,478	3.2%	408	0.9%	34,311	73.8%
	Lynn (100 %)	886	77.3%	235	20.5%	18	1.6%	7	0.6%	952	80.3%	198	16.7%	25	2.1%	11	0.9%	883	76.3%
	Martin (100 %)	883	80.7%	175	16.0%	29	2.7%	7	0.6%	960	84.7%	135	11.9%	31	2.7%	8	0.7%	903	81.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D	
DISTRICT 17	McLennan (100 %)	12,823	29.5%	1,081	2.5%	552	1.3%	28,731	66.5%	12,656	29.3%	1,264	2.9%	534	1.2%	29,440	67.9%	12,776	29.5%
	Milam (100 %)	1,354	27.9%	131	2.7%	60	1.2%	3,275	68.1%	1,329	27.7%	151	3.1%	51	1.1%	3,316	69.0%	1,349	28.1%
	Navarro (31 %)	727	20.5%	63	1.8%	31	0.9%	2,705	76.4%	701	19.8%	98	2.8%	36	1.0%	2,734	77.5%	702	19.9%
	Robertson (100 %)	1,963	40.2%	84	1.7%	60	1.2%	2,807	57.4%	1,906	39.0%	117	2.4%	61	1.2%	2,893	59.0%	1,902	38.8%
	Williamson (49 %)	18,691	39.1%	2,675	5.6%	1,154	2.4%	25,166	52.6%	18,651	39.0%	2,972	6.2%	1,041	2.2%	25,963	54.0%	19,259	40.1%
DISTRICT 18		97,551	78.7%	1,708	1.4%	1,847	1.5%	22,594	18.2%	98,356	79.1%	1,665	1.3%	1,749	1.4%	23,787	19.1%	98,819	79.4%
	Brazoria (14 %)	3,382	59.8%	126	2.2%	88	1.6%	2,050	36.2%	3,407	60.1%	126	2.2%	84	1.5%	2,092	36.8%	3,447	60.6%
	Fort Bend (19 %)	21,074	74.5%	371	1.3%	316	1.1%	6,474	22.8%	21,261	74.9%	342	1.2%	298	1.1%	6,681	23.5%	21,345	75.2%
	Harris (12 %)	73,095	81.2%	1,211	1.3%	1,443	1.6%	14,070	15.6%	73,688	81.6%	1,197	1.3%	1,367	1.5%	15,014	16.6%	74,027	81.9%
DISTRICT 19		22,828	19.3%	3,044	2.6%	1,483	1.3%	90,649	76.6%	21,569	18.2%	4,291	3.6%	1,854	1.6%	92,352	78.1%	22,306	18.9%
	Andrews (100 %)	251	11.6%	51	2.4%	33	1.5%	1,831	84.7%	226	10.4%	64	3.0%	42	1.9%	1,860	86.0%	247	11.4%
	Bailey (100 %)	144	14.8%	7	0.7%	9	0.9%	792	81.4%	152	15.6%	16	1.6%	13	1.3%	811	83.8%	142	14.7%
	Borden (100 %)	22	9.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	225	91.8%	16	6.5%	4	1.6%	0	0.0%	221	90.9%	19	7.8%
	Callahan (11 %)	38	12.7%	9	3.0%	6	2.0%	246	82.0%	38	12.7%	12	4.0%	4	1.3%	253	84.3%	40	13.3%
	Castro (100 %)	268	23.9%	21	1.9%	13	1.2%	826	73.5%	245	21.8%	37	3.3%	16	1.4%	831	74.9%	241	21.7%
	Cochran (100 %)	80	16.8%	10	2.1%	10	2.1%	381	79.2%	74	15.4%	15	3.1%	11	2.3%	379	79.5%	76	15.9%
	Crosby (100 %)	325	31.7%	11	1.1%	11	1.1%	673	66.0%	290	28.4%	25	2.5%	32	3.1%	683	68.0%	301	30.0%
	Dawson (100 %)	393	20.1%	33	1.7%	22	1.1%	1,499	76.6%	383	19.6%	44	2.2%	30	1.5%	1,523	77.9%	387	19.8%
	Fisher (100 %)	410	34.8%	21	1.8%	18	1.5%	681	58.7%	413	35.6%	50	4.3%	17	1.5%	699	61.0%	410	35.8%
	Floyd (100 %)	152	14.5%	8	0.8%	9	0.9%	866	82.4%	149	14.2%	24	2.3%	12	1.1%	876	84.1%	152	14.6%
	Gaines (100 %)	281	11.5%	59	2.4%	23	0.9%	2,092	85.3%	263	10.7%	62	2.5%	36	1.5%	2,107	85.8%	286	11.6%
	Garza (100 %)	106	13.0%	17	2.1%	10	1.2%	690	83.9%	94	11.4%	33	4.0%	5	0.6%	686	84.4%	99	12.2%
	Hale (100 %)	666	15.5%	73	1.7%	39	0.9%	3,491	81.9%	585	13.7%	114	2.7%	74	1.7%	3,560	83.7%	612	14.4%
	Haskell (100 %)	280	27.3%	18	1.8%	7	0.7%	693	68.4%	288	28.4%	25	2.5%	7	0.7%	711	70.5%	283	28.1%
	Hockley (100 %)	502	13.9%	82	2.3%	35	1.0%	3,004	82.8%	450	12.4%	116	3.2%	59	1.6%	3,038	84.1%	497	13.8%
	Howard (100 %)	748	17.8%	149	3.6%	57	1.4%	3,234	77.2%	707	16.9%	170	4.1%	80	1.9%	3,297	78.9%	743	17.8%
	Jones (100 %)	623	19.1%	86	2.6%	58	1.8%	2,436	75.0%	620	19.1%	145	4.5%	46	1.4%	2,548	78.4%	598	18.4%
	Kent (100 %)	71	25.2%	8	2.8%	4	1.4%	192	70.8%	58	21.4%	12	4.4%	9	3.3%	206	74.4%	63	22.7%
	Lamb (100 %)	321	15.6%	32	1.6%	15	0.7%	1,691	82.2%	282	13.7%	49	2.4%	36	1.7%	1,704	83.3%	301	14.7%
	Lubbock (100 %)	10,281	22.1%	1,310	2.8%	579	1.2%	34,263	73.7%	9,661	20.8%	1,858	4.0%	724	1.6%	34,932	74.9%	10,097	21.7%
	Lynn (100 %)	235	20.3%	19	1.6%	20	1.7%	883	76.4%	224	19.4%	27	2.3%	22	1.9%	882	77.1%	235	20.5%
	Martin (100 %)	166	15.0%	21	1.9%	19	1.7%	887	80.4%	149	13.5%	30	2.7%	37	3.4%	915	82.4%	160	14.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

SUP CT CHIEF				SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8							
Oxford-L				Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R	
DISTRICT 17	McLennan (100 %)	1,155	2.7%	29,584	68.4%	12,458	28.8%	1,188	2.7%	29,505	68.3%	12,332	28.5%	1,132	2.6%	248	0.6%	31,497	84.5%
	Milam (100 %)	138	2.9%	3,380	70.6%	1,262	26.4%	144	3.0%	3,384	70.8%	1,219	25.5%	147	3.1%	32	0.7%	3,585	86.8%
	Navarro (31 %)	91	2.6%	2,748	78.1%	675	19.2%	95	2.7%	2,758	78.3%	654	18.6%	89	2.5%	21	0.6%	2,884	90.2%
	Robertson (100 %)	112	2.3%	2,996	61.3%	1,785	36.5%	110	2.2%	2,910	59.8%	1,776	36.5%	149	3.1%	32	0.7%	3,197	84.0%
	Williamson (49 %)	2,830	5.9%	26,053	54.4%	18,789	39.3%	3,026	6.3%	25,615	53.4%	19,185	40.0%	2,637	5.5%	511	1.1%	27,914	67.9%
DISTRICT 18		1,868	1.5%	23,961	19.3%	98,276	79.2%	1,841	1.5%	22,409	18.1%	99,225	80.0%	1,599	1.3%	832	0.7%	28,482	51.1%
	Brazoria (14 %)	147	2.6%	2,126	37.6%	3,397	60.0%	134	2.4%	2,052	36.3%	3,444	60.9%	133	2.4%	29	0.5%	2,367	60.0%
	Fort Bend (19 %)	358	1.3%	6,697	23.6%	21,316	75.1%	368	1.3%	6,538	23.1%	21,322	75.3%	333	1.2%	138	0.5%	7,975	56.4%
	Harris (12 %)	1,363	1.5%	15,138	16.8%	73,563	81.7%	1,339	1.5%	13,819	15.3%	74,459	82.7%	1,133	1.3%	665	0.7%	18,140	48.1%
DISTRICT 19		3,645	3.1%	93,064	79.0%	21,140	17.9%	3,630	3.1%	91,996	78.1%	21,632	18.4%	3,463	2.9%	695	0.6%	96,820	89.1%
	Andrews (100 %)	56	2.6%	1,877	86.8%	217	10.0%	68	3.1%	1,854	85.9%	233	10.8%	61	2.8%	10	0.5%	1,925	92.5%
	Bailey (100 %)	15	1.5%	798	83.6%	137	14.4%	19	2.0%	805	83.9%	141	14.7%	9	0.9%	5	0.5%	834	93.4%
	Borden (100 %)	3	1.2%	230	93.1%	12	4.9%	5	2.0%	226	92.2%	15	6.1%	4	1.6%	0	0.0%	230	96.2%
	Callahan (11 %)	7	2.3%	252	84.3%	36	12.0%	11	3.7%	249	83.3%	36	12.0%	11	3.7%	3	1.0%	259	90.6%
	Castro (100 %)	38	3.4%	854	77.1%	222	20.0%	32	2.9%	845	76.6%	224	20.3%	28	2.5%	6	0.5%	884	90.3%
	Cochran (100 %)	22	4.6%	390	82.3%	71	15.0%	13	2.7%	380	80.3%	73	15.4%	14	3.0%	6	1.3%	403	90.0%
	Crosby (100 %)	21	2.1%	715	72.3%	257	26.0%	17	1.7%	702	70.3%	269	27.0%	20	2.0%	7	0.7%	784	88.9%
	Dawson (100 %)	45	2.3%	1,562	80.4%	332	17.1%	49	2.5%	1,519	77.9%	376	19.3%	48	2.5%	7	0.4%	1,620	93.0%
	Fisher (100 %)	37	3.2%	710	63.1%	383	34.0%	33	2.9%	716	63.9%	360	32.1%	38	3.4%	7	0.6%	765	83.8%
	Floyd (100 %)	13	1.2%	886	86.0%	124	12.0%	20	1.9%	876	85.1%	128	12.4%	20	1.9%	5	0.5%	907	93.9%
	Gaines (100 %)	63	2.6%	2,115	87.0%	258	10.6%	59	2.4%	2,100	86.1%	267	10.9%	52	2.1%	20	0.8%	2,187	94.2%
	Garza (100 %)	28	3.4%	692	85.5%	86	10.6%	31	3.8%	686	85.2%	90	11.2%	27	3.4%	2	0.2%	725	93.7%
	Hale (100 %)	83	2.0%	3,578	84.1%	586	13.8%	89	2.1%	3,551	83.4%	603	14.2%	77	1.8%	29	0.7%	3,722	93.1%
	Haskell (100 %)	14	1.4%	727	72.8%	251	25.1%	21	2.1%	715	73.6%	221	22.7%	25	2.6%	11	1.1%	782	89.2%
	Hockley (100 %)	77	2.1%	3,082	85.5%	429	11.9%	95	2.6%	3,029	84.0%	472	13.1%	90	2.5%	17	0.5%	3,178	92.3%
	Howard (100 %)	139	3.3%	3,332	79.9%	690	16.5%	148	3.5%	3,273	78.6%	728	17.5%	143	3.4%	20	0.5%	3,427	89.0%
	Jones (100 %)	104	3.2%	2,543	78.4%	581	17.9%	120	3.7%	2,527	78.0%	563	17.4%	121	3.7%	29	0.9%	2,641	87.7%
	Kent (100 %)	8	2.9%	209	76.8%	52	19.1%	11	4.0%	211	77.3%	48	17.6%	12	4.4%	2	0.7%	228	89.8%
	Lamb (100 %)	40	2.0%	1,724	84.7%	275	13.5%	37	1.8%	1,694	83.4%	287	14.1%	41	2.0%	9	0.4%	1,766	92.9%
	Lubbock (100 %)	1,598	3.4%	35,010	75.4%	9,845	21.2%	1,548	3.3%	34,586	74.5%	10,127	21.8%	1,467	3.2%	254	0.5%	36,589	86.7%
	Lynn (100 %)	27	2.4%	895	78.7%	217	19.1%	25	2.2%	892	78.3%	202	17.7%	35	3.1%	10	0.9%	962	90.7%
	Martin (100 %)	35	3.2%	922	84.1%	144	13.1%	30	2.7%	895	81.9%	168	15.4%	23	2.1%	7	0.6%	962	93.0%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9			
		Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R	
DISTRICT 17	McLennan (100 %)	3,335	9.0%	2,422	6.5%	29,330	68.2%	12,340	28.7%	1,342	3.1%	30,541	81.9%	4,429	11.9%	2,342	6.3%	31,183	84.0%
	Milam (100 %)	328	7.9%	215	5.2%	3,337	70.2%	1,249	26.3%	168	3.5%	3,494	84.2%	443	10.7%	215	5.2%	3,550	86.0%
	Navarro (31 %)	191	6.0%	123	3.8%	2,731	77.7%	669	19.0%	117	3.3%	2,822	88.0%	273	8.5%	111	3.5%	2,874	89.0%
	Robertson (100 %)	403	10.6%	207	5.4%	2,840	59.0%	1,814	37.7%	161	3.3%	3,114	81.4%	506	13.2%	207	5.4%	3,136	82.5%
	Williamson (49 %)	7,225	17.6%	5,942	14.5%	25,678	54.0%	18,813	39.5%	3,083	6.5%	27,492	66.3%	7,529	18.2%	6,416	15.5%	27,785	67.6%
DISTRICT 18		14,159	25.4%	13,131	23.5%	23,039	18.6%	98,196	79.4%	2,384	1.9%	27,453	47.4%	16,709	28.9%	13,736	23.7%	28,166	49.4%
	Brazoria (14 %)	903	22.9%	676	17.1%	2,070	36.7%	3,416	60.6%	152	2.7%	2,334	57.7%	983	24.3%	728	18.0%	2,377	59.2%
	Fort Bend (19 %)	3,475	24.6%	2,695	19.1%	6,612	23.4%	21,225	75.1%	444	1.6%	7,781	52.0%	4,265	28.5%	2,918	19.5%	7,901	54.1%
	Harris (12 %)	9,781	26.0%	9,760	25.9%	14,357	16.0%	73,555	82.0%	1,788	2.0%	17,338	44.6%	11,461	29.5%	10,090	25.9%	17,888	46.6%
DISTRICT 19		6,940	6.4%	4,898	4.5%	91,626	78.1%	21,440	18.3%	4,276	3.6%	92,510	84.5%	12,315	11.2%	4,683	4.3%	96,195	88.6%
	Andrews (100 %)	98	4.7%	58	2.8%	1,846	85.8%	220	10.2%	85	4.0%	1,864	89.5%	159	7.6%	59	2.8%	1,913	92.2%
	Bailey (100 %)	34	3.8%	25	2.8%	792	83.6%	134	14.1%	21	2.2%	814	91.4%	61	6.8%	16	1.8%	828	94.2%
	Borden (100 %)	6	2.5%	3	1.3%	220	90.2%	18	7.4%	6	2.5%	223	94.1%	13	5.5%	1	0.4%	226	95.8%
	Callahan (11 %)	13	4.5%	14	4.9%	250	83.6%	36	12.0%	13	4.3%	255	87.9%	27	9.3%	8	2.8%	259	90.2%
	Castro (100 %)	52	5.3%	43	4.4%	820	75.3%	223	20.5%	46	4.2%	849	86.1%	103	10.4%	34	3.4%	890	91.2%
	Cochran (100 %)	28	6.3%	17	3.8%	377	80.2%	76	16.2%	17	3.6%	390	87.6%	39	8.8%	16	3.6%	402	90.5%
	Crosby (100 %)	51	5.8%	47	5.3%	691	70.4%	265	27.0%	25	2.5%	713	80.4%	146	16.5%	28	3.2%	785	89.3%
	Dawson (100 %)	82	4.7%	39	2.2%	1,519	78.7%	364	18.9%	47	2.4%	1,554	88.9%	148	8.5%	47	2.7%	1,611	92.5%
	Fisher (100 %)	83	9.1%	65	7.1%	669	60.4%	386	34.9%	52	4.7%	686	74.2%	199	21.5%	40	4.3%	758	82.8%
	Floyd (100 %)	30	3.1%	29	3.0%	871	85.1%	134	13.1%	19	1.9%	893	91.2%	67	6.8%	19	1.9%	914	94.2%
	Gaines (100 %)	86	3.7%	48	2.1%	2,100	86.5%	253	10.4%	75	3.1%	2,100	90.1%	181	7.8%	51	2.2%	2,175	93.0%
	Garza (100 %)	33	4.3%	16	2.1%	683	85.2%	87	10.8%	32	4.0%	689	89.0%	73	9.4%	12	1.6%	707	92.2%
	Hale (100 %)	165	4.1%	112	2.8%	3,555	83.6%	582	13.7%	116	2.7%	3,589	89.6%	304	7.6%	112	2.8%	3,718	92.9%
	Haskell (100 %)	63	7.2%	32	3.6%	696	70.7%	267	27.1%	22	2.2%	726	81.0%	149	16.6%	21	2.3%	773	88.5%
	Hockley (100 %)	168	4.9%	98	2.8%	3,032	84.5%	437	12.2%	118	3.3%	3,074	89.2%	284	8.2%	89	2.6%	3,161	92.2%
	Howard (100 %)	285	7.4%	139	3.6%	3,274	78.8%	698	16.8%	181	4.4%	3,269	84.6%	451	11.7%	142	3.7%	3,416	88.5%
	Jones (100 %)	221	7.3%	151	5.0%	2,486	76.9%	587	18.2%	159	4.9%	2,395	78.0%	569	18.5%	107	3.5%	2,636	88.1%
	Kent (100 %)	10	3.9%	16	6.3%	202	75.4%	55	20.5%	11	4.1%	193	76.6%	54	21.4%	5	2.0%	227	90.8%
	Lamb (100 %)	78	4.1%	56	2.9%	1,694	83.8%	279	13.8%	49	2.4%	1,725	90.9%	130	6.8%	43	2.3%	1,757	92.8%
	Lubbock (100 %)	3,244	7.7%	2,384	5.6%	34,668	74.8%	9,926	21.4%	1,765	3.8%	35,210	82.8%	4,826	11.3%	2,510	5.9%	36,274	85.7%
	Lynn (100 %)	46	4.3%	53	5.0%	842	76.7%	218	19.9%	38	3.5%	877	85.2%	112	10.9%	40	3.9%	938	90.5%
	Martin (100 %)	46	4.4%	26	2.5%	899	82.9%	144	13.3%	41	3.8%	912	88.3%	76	7.4%	45	4.4%	948	92.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L		Altgelt-G	
DISTRICT 17	McLennan (100 %)	3,868	10.4%	2,080	5.6%
	Milam (100 %)	371	9.0%	207	5.0%
	Navarro (31 %)	259	8.0%	97	3.0%
	Robertson (100 %)	514	13.5%	152	4.0%
	Williamson (49 %)	7,738	18.8%	5,569	13.6%
DISTRICT 18		16,707	29.3%	12,138	21.3%
	Brazoria (14 %)	1,029	25.6%	609	15.2%
	Fort Bend (19 %)	4,230	28.9%	2,486	17.0%
	Harris (12 %)	11,448	29.8%	9,043	23.6%
DISTRICT 19		8,574	7.9%	3,858	3.6%
	Andrews (100 %)	120	5.8%	42	2.0%
	Bailey (100 %)	31	3.5%	20	2.3%
	Borden (100 %)	10	4.2%	0	0.0%
	Callahan (11 %)	20	7.0%	8	2.8%
	Castro (100 %)	59	6.0%	27	2.8%
	Cochran (100 %)	32	7.2%	10	2.3%
	Crosby (100 %)	62	7.1%	32	3.6%
	Dawson (100 %)	100	5.7%	31	1.8%
	Fisher (100 %)	121	13.2%	37	4.0%
	Floyd (100 %)	44	4.5%	12	1.2%
	Gaines (100 %)	126	5.4%	38	1.6%
	Garza (100 %)	51	6.6%	9	1.2%
	Hale (100 %)	195	4.9%	88	2.2%
	Haskell (100 %)	82	9.4%	18	2.1%
	Hockley (100 %)	192	5.6%	76	2.2%
	Howard (100 %)	344	8.9%	99	2.6%
	Jones (100 %)	286	9.6%	69	2.3%
	Kent (100 %)	19	7.6%	4	1.6%
	Lamb (100 %)	104	5.5%	32	1.7%
	Lubbock (100 %)	3,910	9.2%	2,137	5.0%
	Lynn (100 %)	77	7.4%	21	2.0%
	Martin (100 %)	63	6.1%	17	1.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 19	Mitchell (100 %)	964	81.8%	166	14.1%	30	2.5%	18	1.5%	0	0.0%	1,020	84.2%	176	14.5%	9	0.7%	5	0.4%
	Nolan (100 %)	2,072	79.8%	419	16.1%	78	3.0%	26	1.0%	0	0.0%	2,117	80.0%	474	17.9%	38	1.4%	15	0.6%
	Parmer (100 %)	1,234	89.0%	120	8.7%	24	1.7%	8	0.6%	0	0.0%	1,226	88.1%	152	10.9%	8	0.6%	5	0.4%
	Scurry (100 %)	2,471	87.5%	278	9.8%	51	1.8%	24	0.8%	0	0.0%	2,518	88.0%	298	10.4%	38	1.3%	6	0.2%
	Shackelford (100 %)	735	92.1%	45	5.6%	12	1.5%	6	0.8%	0	0.0%	751	92.7%	50	6.2%	6	0.7%	3	0.4%
	Stonewall (100 %)	284	77.0%	72	19.5%	8	2.2%	4	1.1%	1	0.3%	297	78.0%	76	19.9%	7	1.8%	1	0.3%
	Swisher (100 %)	1,033	75.0%	288	20.9%	39	2.8%	17	1.2%	0	0.0%	1,029	72.3%	362	25.4%	18	1.3%	8	0.6%
	Taylor (100 %)	18,916	81.7%	3,376	14.6%	681	2.9%	183	0.8%	0	0.0%	19,100	81.6%	3,876	16.6%	343	1.5%	82	0.4%
	Terry (100 %)	1,818	81.7%	307	13.8%	47	2.1%	52	2.3%	0	0.0%	1,896	82.7%	366	16.0%	20	0.9%	11	0.5%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	445	86.2%	53	10.3%	12	2.3%	6	1.2%	0	0.0%	456	85.2%	66	12.3%	7	1.3%	6	1.1%
	Yoakum (100 %)	1,017	90.5%	75	6.7%	21	1.9%	11	1.0%	0	0.0%	1,046	91.0%	93	8.1%	6	0.5%	3	0.3%
DISTRICT 20		33,981	37.1%	53,287	58.1%	2,580	2.8%	1,733	1.9%	73	0.1%	31,051	33.4%	59,967	64.6%	1,326	1.4%	493	0.5%
	Bexar (38 %)	33,981	37.1%	53,287	58.1%	2,580	2.8%	1,733	1.9%	73	0.1%	31,051	33.4%	59,967	64.6%	1,326	1.4%	493	0.5%
DISTRICT 21		121,645	69.7%	44,837	25.7%	5,961	3.4%	1,934	1.1%	62	0.0%	116,974	66.3%	55,896	31.7%	2,702	1.5%	655	0.4%
	Bandera (100 %)	4,992	79.5%	920	14.7%	283	4.5%	81	1.3%	0	0.0%	4,911	77.4%	1,257	19.8%	146	2.3%	32	0.5%
	Bexar (17 %)	45,331	62.6%	24,089	33.3%	2,038	2.8%	859	1.2%	47	0.1%	41,974	57.3%	30,028	41.0%	930	1.3%	272	0.4%
	Blanco (100 %)	2,671	74.6%	699	19.5%	170	4.7%	39	1.1%	2	0.1%	2,622	72.7%	874	24.2%	84	2.3%	27	0.7%
	Comal (100 %)	27,211	78.8%	5,936	17.2%	1,134	3.3%	230	0.7%	10	0.0%	26,642	76.7%	7,428	21.4%	520	1.5%	106	0.3%
	Gillespie (100 %)	7,217	82.3%	1,153	13.1%	350	4.0%	50	0.6%	0	0.0%	7,168	81.0%	1,502	17.0%	155	1.8%	22	0.2%
	Hays (45 %)	11,635	54.5%	8,194	38.4%	1,050	4.9%	468	2.2%	0	0.0%	11,342	52.3%	9,742	44.9%	479	2.2%	108	0.5%
	Kendall (100 %)	9,854	83.8%	1,477	12.6%	355	3.0%	69	0.6%	0	0.0%	9,713	81.8%	1,989	16.7%	137	1.2%	35	0.3%
	Kerr (100 %)	11,866	80.2%	2,242	15.2%	559	3.8%	123	0.8%	0	0.0%	11,740	78.6%	2,901	19.4%	237	1.6%	51	0.3%
	Real (100 %)	868	83.9%	127	12.3%	22	2.1%	15	1.4%	3	0.3%	862	81.7%	175	16.6%	14	1.3%	2	0.2%
DISTRICT 22		95,869	73.1%	30,448	23.2%	3,832	2.9%	968	0.7%	3	0.0%	93,849	70.7%	36,639	27.6%	1,830	1.4%	439	0.3%
	Brazoria (36 %)	20,111	76.9%	4,825	18.5%	967	3.7%	239	0.9%	0	0.0%	19,969	75.1%	6,051	22.8%	454	1.7%	116	0.4%
	Fort Bend (59 %)	58,912	72.0%	20,306	24.8%	2,115	2.6%	523	0.6%	3	0.0%	57,407	69.4%	24,043	29.1%	1,000	1.2%	242	0.3%
	Harris (3 %)	16,846	72.9%	5,317	23.0%	750	3.2%	206	0.9%	0	0.0%	16,473	70.2%	6,545	27.9%	376	1.6%	81	0.3%
DISTRICT 23		73,815	63.8%	36,812	31.8%	3,142	2.7%	1,883	1.6%	62	0.1%	72,194	61.4%	43,141	36.7%	1,594	1.4%	525	0.4%
	Bexar (19 %)	43,493	70.5%	16,155	26.2%	1,522	2.5%	503	0.8%	34	0.1%	41,178	66.1%	20,191	32.4%	752	1.2%	171	0.3%
	Brewster (100 %)	1,437	50.6%	1,169	41.2%	123	4.3%	109	3.8%	0	0.0%	1,499	51.5%	1,311	45.1%	75	2.6%	22	0.8%
	Crane (100 %)	664	80.8%	120	14.6%	21	2.6%	15	1.8%	2	0.2%	713	83.8%	127	14.9%	6	0.7%	3	0.4%
	Crockett (100 %)	600	73.5%	167	20.5%	17	2.1%	32	3.9%	0	0.0%	624	74.7%	203	24.3%	4	0.5%	4	0.5%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

GOVERNOR				LT. GOVERNOR								ATTORNEY GEN							
Pavitt-W				Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G	
DISTRICT 19	Mitchell (100 %)	1	0.1%	964	81.2%	188	15.8%	27	2.3%	8	0.7%	729	60.5%	454	37.7%	19	1.6%	3	0.2%
	Nolan (100 %)	1	0.0%	2,011	77.5%	488	18.8%	90	3.5%	7	0.3%	1,903	73.7%	597	23.1%	76	2.9%	7	0.3%
	Parmer (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,230	88.7%	136	9.8%	20	1.4%	1	0.1%	1,209	87.4%	160	11.6%	12	0.9%	2	0.1%
	Scurry (100 %)	2	0.1%	2,456	86.7%	310	10.9%	59	2.1%	7	0.2%	2,380	84.0%	396	14.0%	49	1.7%	8	0.3%
	Shackelford (100 %)	0	0.0%	749	93.3%	43	5.4%	10	1.2%	1	0.1%	735	91.4%	59	7.3%	8	1.0%	2	0.2%
	Stonewall (100 %)	0	0.0%	281	77.2%	70	19.2%	11	3.0%	2	0.5%	258	70.7%	102	27.9%	5	1.4%	0	0.0%
	Swisher (100 %)	7	0.5%	1,025	73.4%	331	23.7%	37	2.7%	3	0.2%	969	69.9%	392	28.3%	19	1.4%	7	0.5%
	Taylor (100 %)	0	0.0%	18,785	80.8%	3,738	16.1%	622	2.7%	108	0.5%	18,463	79.6%	4,133	17.8%	500	2.2%	108	0.5%
	Terry (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,826	81.4%	367	16.4%	39	1.7%	12	0.5%	1,742	77.9%	436	19.5%	44	2.0%	14	0.6%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	0	0.0%	451	85.3%	65	12.3%	13	2.5%	0	0.0%	431	81.8%	85	16.1%	9	1.7%	2	0.4%
	Yoakum (100 %)	1	0.1%	997	88.7%	105	9.3%	21	1.9%	1	0.1%	981	87.4%	123	11.0%	16	1.4%	2	0.2%
DISTRICT 20		58	0.1%	28,125	30.4%	62,254	67.3%	1,622	1.8%	554	0.6%	30,161	32.9%	57,325	62.6%	3,157	3.4%	941	1.0%
	Bexar (38 %)	58	0.1%	28,125	30.4%	62,254	67.3%	1,622	1.8%	554	0.6%	30,161	32.9%	57,325	62.6%	3,157	3.4%	941	1.0%
DISTRICT 21		76	0.0%	112,134	63.9%	58,079	33.1%	4,460	2.5%	887	0.5%	115,494	66.3%	52,280	30.0%	5,340	3.1%	1,153	0.7%
	Bandera (100 %)	0	0.0%	4,853	76.5%	1,261	19.9%	193	3.0%	40	0.6%	4,899	77.9%	1,154	18.3%	191	3.0%	47	0.7%
	Bexar (17 %)	39	0.1%	38,973	53.5%	32,135	44.1%	1,455	2.0%	345	0.5%	41,247	57.2%	28,232	39.1%	2,143	3.0%	515	0.7%
	Blanco (100 %)	1	0.0%	2,606	72.5%	831	23.1%	135	3.8%	22	0.6%	2,562	71.8%	836	23.4%	135	3.8%	34	1.0%
	Comal (100 %)	24	0.1%	25,939	74.9%	7,703	22.2%	872	2.5%	122	0.4%	26,510	76.8%	6,939	20.1%	909	2.6%	164	0.5%
	Gillespie (100 %)	1	0.0%	7,078	80.4%	1,455	16.5%	239	2.7%	34	0.4%	7,109	81.1%	1,407	16.1%	209	2.4%	36	0.4%
	Hays (45 %)	4	0.0%	11,019	51.2%	9,404	43.7%	872	4.1%	223	1.0%	11,034	51.6%	9,041	42.3%	1,095	5.1%	225	1.1%
	Kendall (100 %)	1	0.0%	9,396	79.4%	2,132	18.0%	260	2.2%	44	0.4%	9,621	81.7%	1,835	15.6%	265	2.3%	49	0.4%
	Kerr (100 %)	4	0.0%	11,437	76.9%	2,976	20.0%	408	2.7%	53	0.4%	11,673	78.9%	2,665	18.0%	370	2.5%	81	0.5%
	Real (100 %)	2	0.2%	833	79.7%	182	17.4%	26	2.5%	4	0.4%	839	81.1%	171	16.5%	23	2.2%	2	0.2%
DISTRICT 22		15	0.0%	91,950	69.6%	36,045	27.3%	3,316	2.5%	720	0.5%	94,058	71.3%	34,565	26.2%	2,656	2.0%	571	0.4%
	Brazoria (36 %)	2	0.0%	19,768	74.6%	5,800	21.9%	786	3.0%	129	0.5%	20,092	76.0%	5,655	21.4%	587	2.2%	115	0.4%
	Fort Bend (59 %)	10	0.0%	56,064	68.2%	23,813	29.0%	1,874	2.3%	437	0.5%	57,421	70.0%	22,758	27.7%	1,506	1.8%	326	0.4%
	Harris (3 %)	3	0.0%	16,118	69.0%	6,432	27.5%	656	2.8%	154	0.7%	16,545	70.7%	6,152	26.3%	563	2.4%	130	0.6%
DISTRICT 23		67	0.1%	67,952	58.4%	45,515	39.1%	2,380	2.0%	566	0.5%	69,301	60.0%	42,190	36.5%	3,227	2.8%	717	0.6%
	Bexar (19 %)	34	0.1%	39,019	62.9%	21,702	35.0%	1,116	1.8%	234	0.4%	40,856	66.3%	18,905	30.7%	1,578	2.6%	299	0.5%
	Brewster (100 %)	1	0.0%	1,395	49.0%	1,279	44.9%	125	4.4%	49	1.7%	1,339	46.9%	1,363	47.8%	108	3.8%	42	1.5%
	Crane (100 %)	2	0.2%	673	81.3%	127	15.3%	26	3.1%	2	0.2%	650	78.4%	153	18.5%	23	2.8%	3	0.4%
	Crockett (100 %)	0	0.0%	565	70.9%	208	26.1%	19	2.4%	5	0.6%	543	69.0%	214	27.2%	20	2.5%	10	1.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 19	Mitchell (100 %)	882	76.3%	243	21.0%	23	2.0%	8	0.7%	928	78.5%	210	17.8%	38	3.2%	6	0.5%	889	76.3%
	Nolan (100 %)	1,849	73.1%	572	22.6%	94	3.7%	15	0.6%	2,015	77.5%	481	18.5%	91	3.5%	13	0.5%	1,813	71.2%
	Parmer (100 %)	1,182	86.4%	153	11.2%	29	2.1%	4	0.3%	1,199	87.1%	147	10.7%	27	2.0%	3	0.2%	1,182	85.9%
	Scurry (100 %)	2,333	83.6%	388	13.9%	64	2.3%	7	0.3%	2,440	85.9%	322	11.3%	67	2.4%	10	0.4%	2,361	83.9%
	Shackelford (100 %)	721	91.5%	50	6.3%	12	1.5%	5	0.6%	744	92.2%	50	6.2%	9	1.1%	4	0.5%	720	90.5%
	Stonewall (100 %)	242	67.0%	104	28.8%	14	3.9%	1	0.3%	260	70.3%	98	26.5%	12	3.2%	0	0.0%	243	67.1%
	Swisher (100 %)	929	67.6%	389	28.3%	44	3.2%	13	0.9%	971	70.0%	357	25.7%	47	3.4%	13	0.9%	912	66.5%
	Taylor (100 %)	18,138	78.8%	3,980	17.3%	721	3.1%	170	0.7%	18,762	80.6%	3,608	15.5%	721	3.1%	179	0.8%	18,135	78.9%
	Terry (100 %)	1,654	75.7%	463	21.2%	49	2.2%	20	0.9%	1,785	80.0%	377	16.9%	50	2.2%	19	0.9%	1,636	74.7%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	422	81.8%	76	14.7%	14	2.7%	4	0.8%	438	83.3%	74	14.1%	11	2.1%	3	0.6%	430	82.9%
	Yoakum (100 %)	945	85.7%	124	11.2%	26	2.4%	8	0.7%	997	88.5%	99	8.8%	28	2.5%	3	0.3%	955	86.4%
DISTRICT 20		28,948	32.0%	57,300	63.2%	2,868	3.2%	1,480	1.6%	32,703	35.7%	54,162	59.1%	2,536	2.8%	2,303	2.5%	28,784	31.8%
	Bexar (38 %)	28,948	32.0%	57,300	63.2%	2,868	3.2%	1,480	1.6%	32,703	35.7%	54,162	59.1%	2,536	2.8%	2,303	2.5%	28,784	31.8%
DISTRICT 21		113,539	65.8%	50,670	29.4%	6,235	3.6%	2,070	1.2%	119,456	68.6%	46,048	26.5%	5,851	3.4%	2,687	1.5%	113,362	66.1%
	Bandera (100 %)	4,816	77.0%	1,090	17.4%	265	4.2%	82	1.3%	4,926	78.2%	1,002	15.9%	286	4.5%	87	1.4%	4,845	77.2%
	Bexar (17 %)	40,442	56.9%	27,459	38.6%	2,262	3.2%	948	1.3%	44,459	61.7%	24,343	33.8%	1,992	2.8%	1,299	1.8%	40,535	57.5%
	Blanco (100 %)	2,544	71.6%	790	22.2%	154	4.3%	66	1.9%	2,621	73.5%	728	20.4%	157	4.4%	58	1.6%	2,502	71.1%
	Comal (100 %)	26,120	76.1%	6,683	19.5%	1,213	3.5%	293	0.9%	26,757	77.6%	6,208	18.0%	1,182	3.4%	341	1.0%	26,057	76.1%
	Gillespie (100 %)	6,934	79.8%	1,381	15.9%	305	3.5%	70	0.8%	7,124	81.7%	1,209	13.9%	284	3.3%	106	1.2%	7,059	81.5%
	Hays (45 %)	10,961	51.5%	8,855	41.6%	1,108	5.2%	367	1.7%	11,296	53.0%	8,513	40.0%	986	4.6%	503	2.4%	10,759	51.0%
	Kendall (100 %)	9,464	81.2%	1,730	14.8%	363	3.1%	96	0.8%	9,740	82.7%	1,549	13.2%	378	3.2%	112	1.0%	9,429	80.9%
	Kerr (100 %)	11,423	78.1%	2,532	17.3%	536	3.7%	139	1.0%	11,678	79.1%	2,359	16.0%	556	3.8%	172	1.2%	11,358	77.8%
	Real (100 %)	835	81.6%	150	14.7%	29	2.8%	9	0.9%	855	82.9%	137	13.3%	30	2.9%	9	0.9%	818	80.9%
DISTRICT 22		93,597	71.4%	33,774	25.8%	2,965	2.3%	763	0.6%	95,766	72.7%	31,571	24.0%	3,254	2.5%	1,060	0.8%	93,131	71.4%
	Brazoria (36 %)	19,462	74.5%	5,701	21.8%	777	3.0%	187	0.7%	20,174	76.5%	5,186	19.7%	798	3.0%	212	0.8%	19,441	74.6%
	Fort Bend (59 %)	57,579	70.5%	22,108	27.1%	1,621	2.0%	422	0.5%	58,737	71.6%	20,801	25.4%	1,839	2.2%	624	0.8%	57,160	70.4%
	Harris (3 %)	16,556	71.2%	5,965	25.7%	567	2.4%	154	0.7%	16,855	72.4%	5,584	24.0%	617	2.7%	224	1.0%	16,530	71.5%
DISTRICT 23		67,741	59.4%	41,277	36.2%	3,745	3.3%	1,254	1.1%	72,736	63.0%	37,889	32.8%	3,093	2.7%	1,779	1.5%	67,440	59.4%
	Bexar (19 %)	40,103	65.9%	18,353	30.2%	1,812	3.0%	564	0.9%	42,837	69.6%	16,296	26.5%	1,637	2.7%	782	1.3%	40,128	66.4%
	Brewster (100 %)	1,329	47.2%	1,261	44.8%	146	5.2%	77	2.7%	1,386	48.7%	1,238	43.5%	131	4.6%	92	3.2%	1,264	45.2%
	Crane (100 %)	618	75.9%	160	19.7%	30	3.7%	6	0.7%	663	79.9%	131	15.8%	31	3.7%	5	0.6%	616	75.9%
	Crockett (100 %)	538	68.8%	210	26.9%	24	3.1%	10	1.3%	601	74.2%	187	23.1%	12	1.5%	10	1.2%	554	71.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D	
DISTRICT 19	Mitchell (100 %)	240	20.6%	21	1.8%	15	1.3%	877	75.5%	221	19.0%	37	3.2%	26	2.2%	893	76.7%	236	20.3%
	Nolan (100 %)	575	22.6%	96	3.8%	61	2.4%	1,797	71.3%	557	22.1%	127	5.0%	38	1.5%	1,844	73.6%	553	22.1%
	Parmer (100 %)	157	11.4%	29	2.1%	8	0.6%	1,167	84.9%	151	11.0%	38	2.8%	19	1.4%	1,194	87.1%	154	11.2%
	Scurry (100 %)	379	13.5%	52	1.8%	22	0.8%	2,354	84.2%	329	11.8%	73	2.6%	39	1.4%	2,379	85.3%	353	12.7%
	Shackelford (100 %)	59	7.4%	9	1.1%	8	1.0%	703	89.2%	55	7.0%	24	3.0%	6	0.8%	712	90.1%	59	7.5%
	Stonewall (100 %)	107	29.6%	4	1.1%	8	2.2%	237	65.8%	108	30.0%	11	3.1%	4	1.1%	248	69.3%	101	28.2%
	Swisher (100 %)	411	30.0%	32	2.3%	16	1.2%	889	65.1%	396	29.0%	60	4.4%	21	1.5%	939	68.9%	380	27.9%
	Taylor (100 %)	3,869	16.8%	681	3.0%	297	1.3%	18,034	78.4%	3,784	16.5%	890	3.9%	294	1.3%	18,396	80.0%	3,828	16.6%
	Terry (100 %)	476	21.7%	40	1.8%	38	1.7%	1,650	75.1%	412	18.8%	57	2.6%	77	3.5%	1,660	76.5%	452	20.8%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	78	15.0%	11	2.1%	0	0.0%	417	81.1%	79	15.4%	13	2.5%	5	1.0%	416	80.3%	87	16.8%
	Yoakum (100 %)	114	10.3%	24	2.2%	12	1.1%	948	86.2%	110	10.0%	29	2.6%	13	1.2%	949	87.6%	114	10.5%
DISTRICT 20		56,364	62.3%	2,885	3.2%	2,395	2.6%	27,940	30.6%	56,471	61.9%	2,585	2.8%	4,254	4.7%	30,909	34.0%	57,230	63.0%
	Bexar (38 %)	56,364	62.3%	2,885	3.2%	2,395	2.6%	27,940	30.6%	56,471	61.9%	2,585	2.8%	4,254	4.7%	30,909	34.0%	57,230	63.0%
DISTRICT 21		48,411	28.2%	6,265	3.7%	3,523	2.1%	112,112	65.0%	49,878	28.9%	6,831	4.0%	3,616	2.1%	117,848	68.3%	48,477	28.1%
	Bandera (100 %)	1,050	16.7%	267	4.3%	113	1.8%	4,779	76.5%	1,063	17.0%	308	4.9%	93	1.5%	4,880	78.1%	1,080	17.3%
	Bexar (17 %)	25,962	36.8%	2,344	3.3%	1,640	2.3%	39,904	55.9%	27,225	38.2%	2,275	3.2%	1,951	2.7%	43,496	60.9%	25,781	36.1%
	Blanco (100 %)	785	22.3%	158	4.5%	75	2.1%	2,494	70.7%	766	21.7%	196	5.6%	71	2.0%	2,552	72.2%	806	22.8%
	Comal (100 %)	6,491	19.0%	1,184	3.5%	519	1.5%	25,801	75.3%	6,611	19.3%	1,404	4.1%	436	1.3%	26,654	77.8%	6,432	18.8%
	Gillespie (100 %)	1,199	13.8%	265	3.1%	141	1.6%	6,903	79.7%	1,254	14.5%	398	4.6%	108	1.2%	7,093	82.3%	1,234	14.3%
	Hays (45 %)	8,589	40.7%	1,143	5.4%	622	2.9%	10,769	51.0%	8,568	40.6%	1,170	5.5%	615	2.9%	11,084	52.4%	8,883	42.0%
	Kendall (100 %)	1,709	14.7%	352	3.0%	160	1.4%	9,353	80.2%	1,736	14.9%	439	3.8%	130	1.1%	9,651	82.7%	1,643	14.1%
	Kerr (100 %)	2,480	17.0%	523	3.6%	235	1.6%	11,297	77.3%	2,510	17.2%	604	4.1%	196	1.3%	11,629	79.6%	2,460	16.8%
	Real (100 %)	146	14.4%	29	2.9%	18	1.8%	812	80.4%	145	14.4%	37	3.7%	16	1.6%	809	80.9%	158	15.8%
DISTRICT 22		32,659	25.0%	3,156	2.4%	1,435	1.1%	93,372	71.5%	32,411	24.8%	3,306	2.5%	1,413	1.1%	94,702	72.4%	32,798	25.1%
	Brazoria (36 %)	5,536	21.2%	775	3.0%	313	1.2%	19,535	74.9%	5,402	20.7%	834	3.2%	304	1.2%	19,844	75.9%	5,465	20.9%
	Fort Bend (59 %)	21,435	26.4%	1,767	2.2%	820	1.0%	57,314	70.5%	21,286	26.2%	1,830	2.3%	833	1.0%	58,085	71.3%	21,563	26.5%
	Harris (3 %)	5,688	24.6%	614	2.7%	302	1.3%	16,523	71.3%	5,723	24.7%	642	2.8%	276	1.2%	16,773	72.3%	5,770	24.9%
DISTRICT 23		40,454	35.6%	3,446	3.0%	2,225	2.0%	66,352	58.2%	40,438	35.4%	3,621	3.2%	3,667	3.2%	69,589	60.9%	41,236	36.1%
	Bexar (19 %)	17,509	29.0%	1,836	3.0%	1,001	1.7%	39,554	64.9%	18,207	29.9%	1,969	3.2%	1,200	2.0%	42,014	68.9%	17,331	28.4%
	Brewster (100 %)	1,267	45.3%	144	5.1%	122	4.4%	1,273	45.6%	1,244	44.5%	143	5.1%	134	4.8%	1,321	47.2%	1,323	47.3%
	Crane (100 %)	162	20.0%	28	3.4%	6	0.7%	609	75.0%	147	18.1%	34	4.2%	22	2.7%	616	76.2%	164	20.3%
	Crockett (100 %)	202	25.9%	10	1.3%	13	1.7%	546	70.0%	182	23.3%	19	2.4%	33	4.2%	540	69.1%	220	28.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT CHIEF			SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8						
		Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R	
DISTRICT 19	Mitchell (100 %)	36	3.1%	914	79.3%	210	18.2%	29	2.5%	890	77.6%	216	18.8%	28	2.4%	13	1.1%	955	89.9%
	Nolan (100 %)	110	4.4%	1,886	75.8%	506	20.3%	97	3.9%	1,860	74.9%	493	19.9%	106	4.3%	23	0.9%	1,992	86.3%
	Parmer (100 %)	23	1.7%	1,214	88.7%	131	9.6%	24	1.8%	1,189	87.4%	143	10.5%	20	1.5%	9	0.7%	1,225	94.2%
	Scurry (100 %)	58	2.1%	2,395	86.0%	323	11.6%	66	2.4%	2,388	85.8%	325	11.7%	63	2.3%	6	0.2%	2,463	93.2%
	Shackelford (100 %)	19	2.4%	722	91.6%	54	6.9%	12	1.5%	726	92.5%	43	5.5%	14	1.8%	2	0.3%	735	94.2%
	Stonewall (100 %)	9	2.5%	245	69.8%	96	27.4%	10	2.8%	255	73.3%	79	22.7%	13	3.7%	1	0.3%	268	87.9%
	Swisher (100 %)	43	3.2%	980	72.1%	339	24.9%	40	2.9%	977	71.7%	331	24.3%	46	3.4%	8	0.6%	1,042	86.4%
	Taylor (100 %)	781	3.4%	18,510	80.5%	3,719	16.2%	778	3.4%	18,336	79.8%	3,792	16.5%	706	3.1%	141	0.6%	19,107	89.4%
	Terry (100 %)	58	2.7%	1,707	78.9%	408	18.9%	48	2.2%	1,668	76.9%	423	19.5%	59	2.7%	19	0.9%	1,829	91.4%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	15	2.9%	423	82.9%	65	12.7%	22	4.3%	426	83.7%	63	12.4%	18	3.5%	2	0.4%	442	93.2%
	Yoakum (100 %)	20	1.8%	965	90.0%	84	7.8%	23	2.1%	950	88.6%	93	8.7%	24	2.2%	5	0.5%	982	95.2%
DISTRICT 20		2,744	3.0%	31,232	34.6%	56,070	62.1%	3,043	3.4%	28,374	31.1%	59,884	65.6%	2,114	2.3%	922	1.0%	38,341	60.1%
	Bexar (38 %)	2,744	3.0%	31,232	34.6%	56,070	62.1%	3,043	3.4%	28,374	31.1%	59,884	65.6%	2,114	2.3%	922	1.0%	38,341	60.1%
DISTRICT 21		6,240	3.6%	117,170	68.5%	47,285	27.6%	6,656	3.9%	113,467	65.9%	51,464	29.9%	5,721	3.3%	1,440	0.8%	123,794	80.0%
	Bandera (100 %)	288	4.6%	4,858	78.1%	1,050	16.9%	313	5.0%	4,810	77.0%	1,093	17.5%	292	4.7%	52	0.8%	4,997	85.8%
	Bexar (17 %)	2,175	3.0%	43,140	60.9%	25,299	35.7%	2,348	3.3%	40,433	56.7%	28,376	39.8%	1,881	2.6%	598	0.8%	47,118	75.4%
	Blanco (100 %)	175	5.0%	2,588	73.5%	763	21.7%	170	4.8%	2,535	72.1%	774	22.0%	164	4.7%	43	1.2%	2,650	81.4%
	Comal (100 %)	1,195	3.5%	26,321	78.0%	6,193	18.4%	1,225	3.6%	26,188	76.5%	6,703	19.6%	1,109	3.2%	215	0.6%	27,346	86.2%
	Gillespie (100 %)	294	3.4%	7,045	82.3%	1,186	13.9%	327	3.8%	6,961	81.4%	1,228	14.4%	294	3.4%	64	0.7%	7,207	90.1%
	Hays (45 %)	1,185	5.6%	11,178	53.0%	8,661	41.0%	1,265	6.0%	10,891	51.6%	8,856	42.0%	1,066	5.1%	275	1.3%	11,923	66.5%
	Kendall (100 %)	376	3.2%	9,636	82.9%	1,620	13.9%	374	3.2%	9,460	81.2%	1,747	15.0%	370	3.2%	68	0.6%	9,836	88.9%
	Kerr (100 %)	519	3.6%	11,583	79.6%	2,365	16.3%	599	4.1%	11,376	78.2%	2,544	17.5%	508	3.5%	115	0.8%	11,872	87.9%
	Real (100 %)	33	3.3%	821	81.8%	148	14.7%	35	3.5%	813	81.1%	143	14.3%	37	3.7%	10	1.0%	845	89.1%
DISTRICT 22		3,320	2.5%	94,980	72.8%	32,094	24.6%	3,317	2.5%	93,273	71.6%	33,383	25.6%	3,018	2.3%	623	0.5%	98,739	86.8%
	Brazoria (36 %)	824	3.2%	20,051	77.1%	5,162	19.9%	777	3.0%	19,730	75.9%	5,382	20.7%	732	2.8%	156	0.6%	20,654	88.5%
	Fort Bend (59 %)	1,837	2.3%	58,147	71.5%	21,300	26.2%	1,908	2.3%	57,146	70.3%	22,026	27.1%	1,721	2.1%	345	0.4%	60,673	86.5%
	Harris (3 %)	659	2.8%	16,782	72.8%	5,632	24.4%	632	2.7%	16,397	71.1%	5,975	25.9%	565	2.5%	122	0.5%	17,412	86.0%
DISTRICT 23		3,369	3.0%	70,438	62.0%	39,379	34.7%	3,713	3.3%	67,335	59.0%	42,984	37.6%	2,981	2.6%	910	0.8%	76,995	79.5%
	Bexar (19 %)	1,650	2.7%	41,767	68.9%	17,029	28.1%	1,791	3.0%	39,880	65.4%	19,186	31.5%	1,536	2.5%	337	0.6%	44,593	81.4%
	Brewster (100 %)	154	5.5%	1,327	47.8%	1,271	45.8%	178	6.4%	1,311	47.1%	1,278	45.9%	136	4.9%	58	2.1%	1,475	64.0%
	Crane (100 %)	28	3.5%	634	78.6%	143	17.7%	30	3.7%	632	77.6%	145	17.8%	27	3.3%	10	1.2%	665	90.8%
	Crockett (100 %)	21	2.7%	547	70.8%	203	26.3%	23	3.0%	532	69.2%	213	27.7%	18	2.3%	6	0.8%	573	87.6%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9			
		Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R	
DISTRICT 19	Mitchell (100 %)	54	5.1%	53	5.0%	892	78.2%	217	19.0%	31	2.7%	891	82.8%	144	13.4%	41	3.8%	957	90.0%
	Nolan (100 %)	185	8.0%	131	5.7%	1,830	73.9%	512	20.7%	134	5.4%	1,837	78.2%	428	18.2%	84	3.6%	1,986	86.4%
	Parmer (100 %)	50	3.8%	25	1.9%	1,189	87.6%	144	10.6%	24	1.8%	1,199	92.1%	80	6.1%	23	1.8%	1,223	94.3%
	Scurry (100 %)	114	4.3%	67	2.5%	2,366	85.2%	339	12.2%	72	2.6%	2,331	88.1%	265	10.0%	51	1.9%	2,448	93.0%
	Shackelford (100 %)	23	2.9%	22	2.8%	719	91.5%	51	6.5%	16	2.0%	677	85.7%	96	12.2%	17	2.2%	729	93.7%
	Stonewall (100 %)	15	4.9%	22	7.2%	239	68.9%	96	27.7%	12	3.5%	242	76.3%	67	21.1%	8	2.5%	268	86.7%
	Swisher (100 %)	71	5.9%	93	7.7%	928	69.0%	351	26.1%	66	4.9%	975	80.2%	189	15.6%	51	4.2%	1,042	85.6%
	Taylor (100 %)	1,358	6.4%	907	4.2%	18,268	79.9%	3,754	16.4%	854	3.7%	18,276	84.3%	2,527	11.7%	868	4.0%	19,010	89.0%
	Terry (100 %)	104	5.2%	69	3.4%	1,655	76.9%	417	19.4%	81	3.8%	1,727	85.5%	221	10.9%	72	3.6%	1,805	90.5%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	10	2.1%	22	4.6%	416	82.1%	72	14.2%	19	3.7%	410	86.3%	57	12.0%	8	1.7%	438	92.8%
	Yoakum (100 %)	34	3.3%	16	1.6%	938	88.1%	98	9.2%	29	2.7%	945	91.7%	70	6.8%	15	1.5%	973	94.7%
DISTRICT 20		14,814	23.2%	10,593	16.6%	31,284	34.7%	55,524	61.5%	3,437	3.8%	35,473	54.7%	13,081	20.2%	16,281	25.1%	37,638	59.1%
	Bexar (38 %)	14,814	23.2%	10,593	16.6%	31,284	34.7%	55,524	61.5%	3,437	3.8%	35,473	54.7%	13,081	20.2%	16,281	25.1%	37,638	59.1%
DISTRICT 21		16,643	10.8%	14,310	9.2%	116,708	68.4%	46,585	27.3%	7,244	4.2%	121,052	77.7%	18,262	11.7%	16,423	10.5%	122,409	79.3%
	Bandera (100 %)	459	7.9%	369	6.3%	4,844	78.1%	1,042	16.8%	318	5.1%	4,878	83.4%	629	10.8%	341	5.8%	4,969	85.3%
	Bexar (17 %)	8,320	13.3%	7,079	11.3%	43,453	61.6%	24,544	34.8%	2,576	3.7%	45,898	72.9%	8,093	12.9%	8,948	14.2%	46,465	74.6%
	Blanco (100 %)	324	10.0%	282	8.7%	2,507	71.9%	788	22.6%	191	5.5%	2,584	79.2%	428	13.1%	249	7.6%	2,622	81.0%
	Comal (100 %)	2,392	7.5%	1,973	6.2%	26,086	77.4%	6,273	18.6%	1,365	4.0%	26,895	84.0%	2,924	9.1%	2,215	6.9%	27,067	85.3%
	Gillespie (100 %)	457	5.7%	336	4.2%	6,940	81.7%	1,189	14.0%	363	4.3%	7,053	87.9%	653	8.1%	316	3.9%	7,144	89.5%
	Hays (45 %)	3,050	17.0%	2,943	16.4%	11,006	52.6%	8,623	41.2%	1,312	6.3%	11,628	64.4%	3,354	18.6%	3,064	17.0%	11,831	66.1%
	Kendall (100 %)	684	6.2%	541	4.9%	9,532	82.2%	1,617	13.9%	454	3.9%	9,656	87.2%	862	7.8%	552	5.0%	9,696	88.1%
	Kerr (100 %)	906	6.7%	735	5.4%	11,529	79.4%	2,359	16.3%	627	4.3%	11,638	85.8%	1,234	9.1%	693	5.1%	11,772	87.3%
	Real (100 %)	51	5.4%	52	5.5%	811	81.2%	150	15.0%	38	3.8%	822	86.3%	85	8.9%	45	4.7%	843	88.6%
DISTRICT 22		8,700	7.7%	6,270	5.5%	94,025	72.4%	32,018	24.7%	3,770	2.9%	98,161	85.7%	9,116	8.0%	7,320	6.4%	98,845	86.6%
	Brazoria (36 %)	1,688	7.2%	992	4.3%	19,701	76.0%	5,227	20.2%	980	3.8%	20,400	87.2%	1,919	8.2%	1,066	4.6%	20,636	88.3%
	Fort Bend (59 %)	5,396	7.7%	4,071	5.8%	57,715	71.3%	21,150	26.1%	2,055	2.5%	60,434	85.3%	5,575	7.9%	4,872	6.9%	60,774	86.2%
	Harris (3 %)	1,616	8.0%	1,207	6.0%	16,609	72.3%	5,641	24.5%	735	3.2%	17,327	85.2%	1,622	8.0%	1,382	6.8%	17,435	85.8%
DISTRICT 23		11,960	12.4%	7,834	8.1%	70,037	61.9%	38,701	34.2%	4,322	3.8%	74,300	76.2%	11,906	12.2%	11,260	11.6%	76,372	79.1%
	Bexar (19 %)	6,088	11.1%	4,128	7.5%	41,948	69.4%	16,493	27.3%	1,972	3.3%	43,659	79.3%	5,900	10.7%	5,515	10.0%	44,185	80.8%
	Brewster (100 %)	367	15.9%	464	20.1%	1,305	47.7%	1,250	45.7%	182	6.6%	1,394	60.0%	461	19.8%	470	20.2%	1,455	63.1%
	Crane (100 %)	38	5.2%	29	4.0%	625	77.4%	150	18.6%	33	4.1%	646	87.3%	57	7.7%	37	5.0%	661	90.8%
	Crockett (100 %)	52	8.0%	29	4.4%	534	70.4%	205	27.0%	20	2.6%	481	81.7%	65	11.0%	43	7.3%	564	87.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L		Altgelt-G	
DISTRICT 19	Mitchell (100 %)	79	7.4%	27	2.5%
	Nolan (100 %)	241	10.5%	72	3.1%
	Parmer (100 %)	53	4.1%	21	1.6%
	Scurry (100 %)	145	5.5%	38	1.4%
	Shackelford (100 %)	30	3.9%	19	2.4%
	Stonewall (100 %)	30	9.7%	11	3.6%
	Swisher (100 %)	144	11.8%	32	2.6%
	Taylor (100 %)	1,602	7.5%	736	3.4%
	Terry (100 %)	141	7.1%	48	2.4%
	Throckmorton (100 %)	22	4.7%	12	2.5%
	Yoakum (100 %)	39	3.8%	15	1.5%
DISTRICT 20		15,426	24.2%	10,653	16.7%
	Bexar (38 %)	15,426	24.2%	10,653	16.7%
DISTRICT 21		18,415	11.9%	13,604	8.8%
	Bandera (100 %)	561	9.6%	296	5.1%
	Bexar (17 %)	8,944	14.4%	6,915	11.1%
	Blanco (100 %)	379	11.7%	236	7.3%
	Comal (100 %)	2,855	9.0%	1,796	5.7%
	Gillespie (100 %)	536	6.7%	302	3.8%
	Hays (45 %)	3,208	17.9%	2,864	16.0%
	Kendall (100 %)	838	7.6%	475	4.3%
	Kerr (100 %)	1,026	7.6%	680	5.0%
	Real (100 %)	68	7.2%	40	4.2%
DISTRICT 22		9,227	8.1%	6,084	5.3%
	Brazoria (36 %)	1,849	7.9%	889	3.8%
	Fort Bend (59 %)	5,671	8.0%	4,027	5.7%
	Harris (3 %)	1,707	8.4%	1,168	5.8%
DISTRICT 23		12,697	13.2%	7,461	7.7%
	Bexar (19 %)	6,540	12.0%	3,973	7.3%
	Brewster (100 %)	418	18.1%	433	18.8%
	Crane (100 %)	45	6.2%	22	3.0%
	Crockett (100 %)	51	7.9%	30	4.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 23	Culberson (100 %)	158	54.5%	113	39.0%	8	2.8%	11	3.8%	0	0.0%	172	52.6%	146	44.6%	8	2.4%	1	0.3%
	Edwards (100 %)	523	71.6%	154	21.1%	32	4.4%	21	2.9%	0	0.0%	540	68.7%	222	28.2%	18	2.3%	6	0.8%
	El Paso (11 %)	1,873	35.0%	3,101	57.9%	213	4.0%	167	3.1%	2	0.0%	1,827	33.6%	3,443	63.4%	105	1.9%	52	1.0%
	Frio (100 %)	880	48.4%	883	48.6%	34	1.9%	21	1.2%	0	0.0%	863	46.8%	953	51.7%	19	1.0%	8	0.4%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	265	55.2%	170	35.4%	27	5.6%	18	3.8%	0	0.0%	267	61.1%	151	34.6%	13	3.0%	6	1.4%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	571	57.9%	328	33.3%	62	6.3%	24	2.4%	1	0.1%	623	60.5%	366	35.6%	31	3.0%	9	0.9%
	Kinney (100 %)	738	66.2%	299	26.8%	53	4.8%	23	2.1%	1	0.1%	747	65.1%	375	32.7%	22	1.9%	4	0.3%
	Loving (100 %)	34	89.5%	3	7.9%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	35	85.4%	4	9.8%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%
	Maverick (79 %)	1,140	25.1%	3,029	66.7%	160	3.5%	197	4.3%	14	0.3%	1,230	26.5%	3,250	70.1%	89	1.9%	63	1.4%
	Medina (100 %)	7,405	75.8%	2,024	20.7%	236	2.4%	99	1.0%	0	0.0%	7,194	73.0%	2,506	25.4%	111	1.1%	35	0.4%
	Pecos (100 %)	1,857	63.5%	855	29.2%	93	3.2%	119	4.1%	1	0.0%	2,146	70.8%	817	27.0%	46	1.5%	20	0.7%
	Presidio (100 %)	318	34.5%	533	57.8%	33	3.6%	37	4.0%	1	0.1%	364	36.3%	613	61.1%	18	1.8%	8	0.8%
	Reagan (100 %)	421	85.2%	51	10.3%	14	2.8%	8	1.6%	0	0.0%	425	84.0%	68	13.4%	10	2.0%	3	0.6%
	Reeves (100 %)	599	50.4%	519	43.7%	21	1.8%	48	4.0%	1	0.1%	695	56.9%	510	41.7%	10	0.8%	5	0.4%
	Schleicher (100 %)	610	72.4%	181	21.5%	21	2.5%	31	3.7%	0	0.0%	635	73.9%	213	24.8%	8	0.9%	2	0.2%
	Sutton (100 %)	626	85.4%	91	12.4%	11	1.5%	4	0.5%	1	0.1%	619	83.3%	113	15.2%	9	1.2%	2	0.3%
	Terrell (100 %)	239	62.7%	117	30.7%	13	3.4%	12	3.1%	0	0.0%	272	68.7%	107	27.0%	11	2.8%	4	1.0%
	Upton (100 %)	505	80.4%	92	14.6%	13	2.1%	17	2.7%	1	0.2%	555	82.8%	106	15.8%	5	0.7%	4	0.6%
	Uvalde (100 %)	2,978	59.4%	1,853	36.9%	100	2.0%	84	1.7%	0	0.0%	2,966	57.7%	2,096	40.8%	58	1.1%	17	0.3%
	Val Verde (100 %)	3,325	47.8%	3,223	46.3%	196	2.8%	214	3.1%	3	0.0%	3,292	46.7%	3,593	51.0%	114	1.6%	49	0.7%
	Ward (100 %)	1,447	74.2%	416	21.3%	56	2.9%	30	1.5%	0	0.0%	1,535	77.6%	411	20.8%	19	1.0%	14	0.7%
	Winkler (100 %)	787	82.1%	129	13.5%	33	3.4%	10	1.0%	0	0.0%	829	84.2%	136	13.8%	15	1.5%	5	0.5%
	Zavala (100 %)	322	22.7%	1,037	73.2%	29	2.0%	29	2.0%	0	0.0%	349	23.5%	1,110	74.8%	17	1.1%	7	0.5%
DISTRICT 24		125,851	71.4%	44,057	25.0%	5,093	2.9%	1,372	0.8%	5	0.0%	121,056	67.7%	54,820	30.6%	2,508	1.4%	553	0.3%
	Dallas (9 %)	40,968	70.2%	15,668	26.9%	1,321	2.3%	366	0.6%	0	0.0%	38,277	64.6%	20,208	34.1%	616	1.0%	110	0.2%
	Tarrant (26 %)	84,883	71.9%	28,389	24.0%	3,772	3.2%	1,006	0.9%	5	0.0%	82,779	69.1%	34,612	28.9%	1,892	1.6%	443	0.4%
DISTRICT 25		82,216	60.9%	48,186	35.7%	3,367	2.5%	1,159	0.9%	20	0.0%	80,320	58.6%	54,443	39.7%	1,742	1.3%	556	0.4%
	Callahan (89 %)	2,320	88.5%	215	8.2%	73	2.8%	13	0.5%	0	0.0%	2,374	88.9%	251	9.4%	37	1.4%	7	0.3%
	Comanche (100 %)	2,453	81.8%	425	14.2%	91	3.0%	24	0.8%	4	0.1%	2,447	79.7%	544	17.7%	53	1.7%	24	0.8%
	Eastland (100 %)	3,402	88.1%	338	8.8%	97	2.5%	24	0.6%	0	0.0%	3,503	89.1%	372	9.5%	41	1.0%	15	0.4%
	Erath (100 %)	6,053	83.4%	961	13.2%	187	2.6%	53	0.7%	0	0.0%	5,953	80.5%	1,301	17.6%	97	1.3%	40	0.5%
	Hood (100 %)	11,090	81.9%	2,007	14.8%	373	2.8%	69	0.5%	8	0.1%	10,784	79.1%	2,577	18.9%	209	1.5%	61	0.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

GOVERNOR				LT. GOVERNOR							ATTORNEY GEN								
Pavitt-W				Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G	
DISTRICT 23	Culberson (100 %)	0	0.0%	154	49.7%	143	46.1%	8	2.6%	5	1.6%	147	48.2%	142	46.6%	13	4.3%	3	1.0%
	Edwards (100 %)	0	0.0%	512	68.4%	210	28.1%	24	3.2%	2	0.3%	497	68.6%	198	27.3%	28	3.9%	2	0.3%
	El Paso (11 %)	5	0.1%	1,736	32.4%	3,385	63.2%	174	3.3%	57	1.1%	1,709	32.1%	3,329	62.6%	222	4.2%	62	1.2%
	Frio (100 %)	0	0.0%	841	45.7%	970	52.7%	19	1.0%	9	0.5%	844	46.4%	931	51.2%	36	2.0%	9	0.5%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	0	0.0%	245	59.5%	142	34.5%	21	5.1%	4	1.0%	233	57.5%	146	36.0%	22	5.4%	4	1.0%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	0	0.0%	560	56.6%	375	37.9%	48	4.9%	6	0.6%	536	54.5%	387	39.4%	50	5.1%	10	1.0%
	Kinney (100 %)	0	0.0%	708	63.3%	371	33.2%	33	2.9%	7	0.6%	690	62.6%	366	33.2%	42	3.8%	4	0.4%
	Loving (100 %)	0	0.0%	32	82.1%	6	15.4%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	31	77.5%	8	20.0%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%
	Maverick (79 %)	7	0.2%	982	21.3%	3,499	75.9%	106	2.3%	23	0.5%	977	21.8%	3,254	72.6%	190	4.2%	62	1.4%
	Medina (100 %)	6	0.1%	6,955	70.7%	2,694	27.4%	152	1.5%	34	0.3%	7,200	73.5%	2,331	23.8%	212	2.2%	48	0.5%
	Pecos (100 %)	2	0.1%	1,868	63.8%	957	32.7%	82	2.8%	21	0.7%	1,685	58.2%	1,086	37.5%	104	3.6%	19	0.7%
	Presidio (100 %)	1	0.1%	306	32.9%	579	62.3%	35	3.8%	9	1.0%	259	28.0%	615	66.5%	34	3.7%	17	1.8%
	Reagan (100 %)	0	0.0%	410	83.5%	64	13.0%	16	3.3%	1	0.2%	404	82.4%	70	14.3%	11	2.2%	5	1.0%
	Reeves (100 %)	2	0.2%	599	50.5%	559	47.1%	21	1.8%	7	0.6%	537	45.7%	607	51.7%	22	1.9%	9	0.8%
	Schleicher (100 %)	1	0.1%	579	69.4%	229	27.5%	21	2.5%	5	0.6%	556	66.9%	252	30.3%	20	2.4%	3	0.4%
	Sutton (100 %)	0	0.0%	610	82.7%	113	15.3%	14	1.9%	1	0.1%	602	81.8%	125	17.0%	8	1.1%	1	0.1%
	Terrell (100 %)	2	0.5%	246	64.1%	122	31.8%	13	3.4%	3	0.8%	212	55.9%	140	36.9%	22	5.8%	5	1.3%
	Upton (100 %)	0	0.0%	515	80.7%	102	16.0%	16	2.5%	5	0.8%	500	78.4%	110	17.2%	23	3.6%	5	0.8%
	Uvalde (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,861	56.0%	2,149	42.1%	75	1.5%	20	0.4%	2,865	56.7%	2,066	40.9%	99	2.0%	23	0.5%
	Val Verde (100 %)	4	0.1%	3,038	43.2%	3,804	54.1%	140	2.0%	45	0.6%	3,017	43.6%	3,621	52.4%	224	3.2%	54	0.8%
	Ward (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,447	74.4%	452	23.3%	37	1.9%	8	0.4%	1,378	71.1%	510	26.3%	41	2.1%	8	0.4%
	Winkler (100 %)	0	0.0%	786	81.9%	151	15.7%	23	2.4%	0	0.0%	747	78.1%	184	19.2%	23	2.4%	3	0.3%
	Zavala (100 %)	0	0.0%	310	21.3%	1,123	77.3%	15	1.0%	4	0.3%	287	20.2%	1,077	75.7%	51	3.6%	7	0.5%
DISTRICT 24		7	0.0%	117,747	66.3%	53,831	30.3%	5,115	2.9%	979	0.6%	120,205	68.0%	51,422	29.1%	4,306	2.4%	804	0.5%
	Dallas (9 %)	0	0.0%	35,691	60.9%	21,262	36.3%	1,402	2.4%	249	0.4%	36,926	63.5%	19,768	34.0%	1,276	2.2%	203	0.3%
	Tarrant (26 %)	7	0.0%	82,056	68.9%	32,569	27.4%	3,713	3.1%	730	0.6%	83,279	70.2%	31,654	26.7%	3,030	2.6%	601	0.5%
DISTRICT 25		30	0.0%	79,923	58.6%	52,322	38.4%	3,364	2.5%	760	0.6%	80,362	59.1%	52,125	38.3%	2,697	2.0%	785	0.6%
	Callahan (89 %)	0	0.0%	2,326	87.3%	254	9.5%	74	2.8%	9	0.3%	2,299	86.3%	301	11.3%	54	2.0%	10	0.4%
	Comanche (100 %)	1	0.0%	2,415	78.8%	523	17.1%	102	3.3%	23	0.8%	2,452	80.3%	518	17.0%	57	1.9%	27	0.9%
	Eastland (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,439	87.7%	359	9.2%	107	2.7%	16	0.4%	3,388	86.5%	441	11.3%	72	1.8%	15	0.4%
	Erath (100 %)	2	0.0%	5,924	80.6%	1,194	16.2%	194	2.6%	41	0.6%	5,980	81.3%	1,197	16.3%	136	1.8%	46	0.6%
	Hood (100 %)	8	0.1%	10,700	78.7%	2,451	18.0%	386	2.8%	55	0.4%	10,785	79.9%	2,368	17.5%	303	2.2%	44	0.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 23	Culberson (100 %)	133	45.7%	132	45.4%	17	5.8%	9	3.1%	161	52.6%	133	43.5%	6	2.0%	6	2.0%	144	49.5%
	Edwards (100 %)	487	69.2%	180	25.6%	26	3.7%	11	1.6%	511	70.6%	170	23.5%	30	4.1%	13	1.8%	476	67.8%
	El Paso (11 %)	1,684	31.9%	3,274	62.1%	236	4.5%	77	1.5%	1,687	31.4%	3,387	63.0%	146	2.7%	156	2.9%	1,641	31.2%
	Frio (100 %)	812	45.2%	924	51.5%	41	2.3%	18	1.0%	878	48.1%	896	49.1%	32	1.8%	19	1.0%	799	44.4%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	216	53.9%	149	37.2%	27	6.7%	9	2.2%	237	57.1%	148	35.7%	20	4.8%	10	2.4%	226	56.9%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	533	55.3%	356	37.0%	59	6.1%	15	1.6%	561	56.9%	352	35.7%	53	5.4%	20	2.0%	522	54.5%
	Kinney (100 %)	668	58.8%	342	30.1%	104	9.2%	22	1.9%	712	64.4%	315	28.5%	47	4.3%	31	2.8%	636	59.3%
	Loving (100 %)	29	76.3%	8	21.1%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	30	75.0%	7	17.5%	2	5.0%	1	2.5%	31	77.5%
	Maverick (79 %)	984	22.2%	3,199	72.1%	181	4.1%	74	1.7%	1,315	29.0%	2,961	65.3%	112	2.5%	147	3.2%	956	21.5%
	Medina (100 %)	7,042	72.5%	2,331	24.0%	261	2.7%	79	0.8%	7,266	74.3%	2,151	22.0%	259	2.6%	104	1.1%	6,963	71.7%
	Pecos (100 %)	1,608	56.2%	1,108	38.7%	113	3.9%	33	1.2%	1,883	64.4%	924	31.6%	62	2.1%	53	1.8%	1,574	55.4%
	Presidio (100 %)	257	28.7%	577	64.4%	43	4.8%	19	2.1%	306	32.8%	578	61.9%	27	2.9%	23	2.5%	253	28.1%
	Reagan (100 %)	377	79.5%	75	15.8%	20	4.2%	2	0.4%	411	84.0%	64	13.1%	12	2.5%	2	0.4%	391	81.3%
	Reeves (100 %)	515	44.6%	588	51.0%	31	2.7%	20	1.7%	497	45.8%	554	51.1%	14	1.3%	19	1.8%	573	46.9%
	Schleicher (100 %)	547	67.1%	242	29.7%	17	2.1%	9	1.1%	599	72.0%	212	25.5%	15	1.8%	6	0.7%	555	67.9%
	Sutton (100 %)	599	82.1%	114	15.6%	15	2.1%	2	0.3%	618	83.9%	99	13.4%	15	2.0%	5	0.7%	606	82.7%
	Terrell (100 %)	195	53.0%	145	39.4%	19	5.2%	9	2.4%	212	55.8%	137	36.1%	24	6.3%	7	1.8%	198	53.5%
	Upton (100 %)	475	76.9%	112	18.1%	23	3.7%	8	1.3%	518	80.2%	99	15.3%	18	2.8%	11	1.7%	469	75.5%
	Uvalde (100 %)	2,750	55.2%	2,068	41.5%	130	2.6%	36	0.7%	2,955	58.5%	1,919	38.0%	121	2.4%	54	1.1%	2,719	54.8%
	Val Verde (100 %)	2,926	42.6%	3,595	52.4%	242	3.5%	104	1.5%	3,390	48.7%	3,273	47.0%	150	2.2%	147	2.1%	2,872	42.0%
	Ward (100 %)	1,309	68.6%	521	27.3%	57	3.0%	20	1.0%	1,406	72.3%	462	23.7%	58	3.0%	20	1.0%	1,307	68.9%
	Winkler (100 %)	729	77.9%	169	18.1%	30	3.2%	8	0.9%	763	79.8%	154	16.1%	34	3.6%	5	0.5%	702	75.2%
	Zavala (100 %)	278	19.6%	1,084	76.6%	40	2.8%	13	0.9%	333	23.3%	1,042	72.8%	25	1.7%	31	2.2%	265	18.9%
DISTRICT 24		118,840	68.1%	48,982	28.1%	5,191	3.0%	1,519	0.9%	125,579	71.1%	44,122	25.0%	4,902	2.8%	1,910	1.1%	120,359	69.2%
	Dallas (9 %)	37,115	65.0%	18,225	31.9%	1,370	2.4%	426	0.7%	41,068	70.5%	15,370	26.4%	1,226	2.1%	563	1.0%	38,844	68.3%
	Tarrant (26 %)	81,725	69.6%	30,757	26.2%	3,821	3.3%	1,093	0.9%	84,511	71.4%	28,752	24.3%	3,676	3.1%	1,347	1.1%	81,515	69.6%
DISTRICT 25		78,447	58.1%	51,914	38.5%	3,522	2.6%	1,120	0.8%	81,583	60.0%	49,699	36.6%	3,330	2.4%	1,332	1.0%	78,011	57.8%
	Callahan (89 %)	2,244	85.2%	280	10.6%	91	3.5%	19	0.7%	2,295	86.3%	260	9.8%	89	3.3%	15	0.6%	2,260	85.7%
	Comanche (100 %)	2,374	78.6%	536	17.8%	84	2.8%	25	0.8%	2,427	79.7%	487	16.0%	107	3.5%	25	0.8%	2,304	75.7%
	Eastland (100 %)	3,313	85.1%	459	11.8%	101	2.6%	20	0.5%	3,374	86.2%	409	10.5%	108	2.8%	21	0.5%	3,316	85.0%
	Erath (100 %)	5,790	79.8%	1,216	16.8%	190	2.6%	62	0.9%	6,038	82.3%	1,052	14.3%	192	2.6%	58	0.8%	5,715	77.9%
	Hood (100 %)	10,616	79.1%	2,319	17.3%	393	2.9%	92	0.7%	11,018	81.1%	2,083	15.3%	387	2.9%	90	0.7%	10,631	79.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D	
DISTRICT 23	Culberson (100 %)	129	44.3%	10	3.4%	8	2.7%	127	43.9%	130	45.0%	11	3.8%	21	7.3%	132	44.6%	154	52.0%
	Edwards (100 %)	186	26.5%	28	4.0%	12	1.7%	473	66.4%	172	24.2%	26	3.7%	41	5.8%	458	65.3%	209	29.8%
	El Paso (11 %)	3,258	61.9%	201	3.8%	166	3.2%	1,633	31.0%	3,166	60.0%	186	3.5%	290	5.5%	1,615	30.3%	3,534	66.4%
	Frio (100 %)	941	52.2%	42	2.3%	19	1.1%	794	43.9%	928	51.3%	29	1.6%	58	3.2%	817	45.2%	947	52.4%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	133	33.5%	21	5.3%	17	4.3%	225	55.1%	131	32.1%	25	6.1%	27	6.6%	209	51.4%	170	41.8%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	361	37.7%	41	4.3%	33	3.4%	511	53.3%	345	36.0%	57	5.9%	45	4.7%	523	54.4%	375	39.0%
	Kinney (100 %)	360	33.6%	44	4.1%	32	3.0%	649	60.1%	338	31.3%	53	4.9%	40	3.7%	664	60.8%	384	35.2%
	Loving (100 %)	8	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	28	73.7%	7	18.4%	2	5.3%	1	2.6%	29	80.6%	4	11.1%
	Maverick (79 %)	3,214	72.4%	148	3.3%	122	2.7%	861	19.2%	3,064	68.2%	134	3.0%	436	9.7%	961	21.6%	3,319	74.7%
	Medina (100 %)	2,296	23.6%	281	2.9%	175	1.8%	6,853	70.6%	2,375	24.5%	292	3.0%	183	1.9%	7,115	73.3%	2,337	24.1%
	Pecos (100 %)	1,118	39.3%	85	3.0%	65	2.3%	1,517	53.7%	1,023	36.2%	92	3.3%	195	6.9%	1,588	56.5%	1,143	40.7%
	Presidio (100 %)	585	65.0%	30	3.3%	32	3.6%	244	26.9%	584	64.5%	29	3.2%	49	5.4%	264	29.3%	605	67.1%
	Reagan (100 %)	71	14.8%	12	2.5%	7	1.5%	379	79.6%	74	15.5%	15	3.2%	8	1.7%	375	78.1%	87	18.1%
	Reeves (100 %)	598	48.9%	23	1.9%	29	2.4%	481	42.0%	559	48.8%	20	1.7%	85	7.4%	506	44.1%	616	53.7%
	Schleicher (100 %)	234	28.6%	16	2.0%	12	1.5%	543	65.4%	236	28.4%	11	1.3%	40	4.8%	544	66.9%	248	30.5%
	Sutton (100 %)	106	14.5%	14	1.9%	7	1.0%	590	80.7%	108	14.8%	19	2.6%	14	1.9%	600	82.2%	111	15.2%
	Terrell (100 %)	141	38.1%	17	4.6%	14	3.8%	204	53.3%	141	36.8%	12	3.1%	26	6.8%	194	52.7%	152	41.3%
	Upton (100 %)	118	19.0%	17	2.7%	17	2.7%	471	74.6%	107	17.0%	21	3.3%	32	5.1%	468	75.6%	133	21.5%
	Uvalde (100 %)	2,047	41.2%	113	2.3%	87	1.8%	2,693	54.3%	2,000	40.3%	117	2.4%	150	3.0%	2,773	55.7%	2,076	41.7%
	Val Verde (100 %)	3,620	53.0%	182	2.7%	158	2.3%	2,830	41.3%	3,430	50.1%	200	2.9%	391	5.7%	2,930	42.4%	3,755	54.4%
	Ward (100 %)	506	26.7%	46	2.4%	39	2.1%	1,310	68.7%	496	26.0%	52	2.7%	48	2.5%	1,316	68.9%	538	28.2%
	Winkler (100 %)	190	20.3%	27	2.9%	15	1.6%	698	74.5%	191	20.4%	27	2.9%	21	2.2%	718	76.4%	187	19.9%
	Zavala (100 %)	1,094	77.9%	30	2.1%	16	1.1%	256	18.1%	1,053	74.6%	26	1.8%	77	5.5%	299	20.8%	1,114	77.5%
DISTRICT 24		46,027	26.5%	5,097	2.9%	2,511	1.4%	120,204	69.1%	45,746	26.3%	5,718	3.3%	2,390	1.4%	123,147	70.4%	46,428	26.5%
	Dallas (9 %)	15,987	28.1%	1,385	2.4%	666	1.2%	38,609	67.8%	16,020	28.1%	1,604	2.8%	713	1.3%	40,151	69.8%	16,035	27.9%
	Tarrant (26 %)	30,040	25.7%	3,712	3.2%	1,845	1.6%	81,595	69.7%	29,726	25.4%	4,114	3.5%	1,677	1.4%	82,996	70.7%	30,393	25.9%
DISTRICT 25		51,746	38.4%	3,305	2.4%	1,846	1.4%	78,200	58.0%	50,764	37.7%	3,933	2.9%	1,894	1.4%	79,430	58.9%	51,584	38.3%
	Callahan (89 %)	270	10.2%	81	3.1%	26	1.0%	2,235	85.0%	284	10.8%	95	3.6%	16	0.6%	2,272	86.6%	271	10.3%
	Comanche (100 %)	602	19.8%	96	3.2%	43	1.4%	2,369	78.9%	484	16.1%	119	4.0%	30	1.0%	2,379	79.4%	514	17.2%
	Eastland (100 %)	454	11.6%	92	2.4%	40	1.0%	3,295	84.9%	435	11.2%	123	3.2%	27	0.7%	3,357	86.4%	421	10.8%
	Erath (100 %)	1,327	18.1%	212	2.9%	78	1.1%	5,784	79.5%	1,159	15.9%	254	3.5%	76	1.0%	5,868	80.9%	1,143	15.8%
	Hood (100 %)	2,262	16.9%	359	2.7%	172	1.3%	10,575	78.9%	2,234	16.7%	459	3.4%	141	1.1%	10,686	79.5%	2,310	17.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT CHIEF			SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8						
		Oxford-L		Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R	
DISTRICT 23	Culberson (100 %)	10	3.4%	148	51.2%	128	44.3%	13	4.5%	140	48.4%	137	47.4%	8	2.8%	4	1.4%	161	74.9%
	Edwards (100 %)	34	4.9%	477	69.3%	180	26.2%	31	4.5%	462	66.1%	196	28.0%	31	4.4%	10	1.4%	493	85.3%
	El Paso (11 %)	175	3.3%	1,775	33.7%	3,276	62.2%	215	4.1%	1,645	31.3%	3,376	64.2%	158	3.0%	83	1.6%	2,262	60.4%
	Frio (100 %)	43	2.4%	840	46.9%	900	50.3%	50	2.8%	821	45.7%	931	51.8%	28	1.6%	17	0.9%	927	78.0%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	28	6.9%	231	58.5%	139	35.2%	25	6.3%	216	54.7%	141	35.7%	30	7.6%	8	2.0%	251	75.6%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	64	6.7%	537	56.6%	338	35.6%	74	7.8%	516	54.5%	358	37.8%	58	6.1%	15	1.6%	585	69.4%
	Kinney (100 %)	44	4.0%	690	64.0%	336	31.2%	52	4.8%	664	61.5%	361	33.4%	42	3.9%	13	1.2%	771	83.2%
	Loving (100 %)	3	8.3%	30	81.1%	4	10.8%	3	8.1%	31	88.6%	2	5.7%	2	5.7%	0	0.0%	32	94.1%
	Maverick (79 %)	164	3.7%	1,137	25.4%	3,169	70.8%	172	3.8%	937	20.7%	3,421	75.7%	102	2.3%	62	1.4%	1,655	55.2%
	Medina (100 %)	254	2.6%	7,164	74.0%	2,222	22.9%	297	3.1%	6,984	72.1%	2,421	25.0%	225	2.3%	57	0.6%	7,534	86.9%
	Pecos (100 %)	78	2.8%	1,752	61.9%	1,002	35.4%	78	2.8%	1,632	54.9%	1,246	42.0%	67	2.3%	25	0.8%	2,107	81.7%
	Presidio (100 %)	33	3.7%	281	31.9%	566	64.2%	34	3.9%	258	28.9%	598	67.0%	24	2.7%	13	1.5%	329	70.4%
	Reagan (100 %)	18	3.8%	385	81.9%	65	13.8%	20	4.3%	380	80.5%	72	15.3%	14	3.0%	6	1.3%	398	90.9%
	Reeves (100 %)	26	2.3%	532	46.7%	574	50.4%	32	2.8%	504	43.8%	608	52.9%	20	1.7%	18	1.6%	604	75.1%
	Schleicher (100 %)	21	2.6%	574	70.8%	215	26.5%	22	2.7%	546	67.5%	239	29.5%	18	2.2%	6	0.7%	629	86.2%
	Sutton (100 %)	19	2.6%	603	82.9%	100	13.8%	24	3.3%	599	82.3%	108	14.8%	17	2.3%	4	0.5%	627	93.4%
	Terrell (100 %)	22	6.0%	203	56.2%	136	37.7%	22	6.1%	196	52.7%	145	39.0%	21	5.6%	10	2.7%	238	76.5%
	Upton (100 %)	18	2.9%	488	79.0%	107	17.3%	23	3.7%	469	76.4%	121	19.7%	17	2.8%	7	1.1%	506	89.2%
	Uvalde (100 %)	127	2.6%	2,826	56.9%	2,012	40.5%	132	2.7%	2,736	54.7%	2,116	42.3%	116	2.3%	32	0.6%	3,140	82.0%
	Val Verde (100 %)	221	3.2%	3,080	44.9%	3,537	51.5%	246	3.6%	2,914	42.2%	3,750	54.4%	160	2.3%	75	1.1%	3,844	73.0%
	Ward (100 %)	55	2.9%	1,339	71.1%	474	25.2%	71	3.8%	1,302	68.9%	514	27.2%	57	3.0%	16	0.8%	1,434	88.4%
	Winkler (100 %)	35	3.7%	761	80.8%	154	16.3%	27	2.9%	741	78.6%	165	17.5%	30	3.2%	7	0.7%	780	90.2%
	Zavala (100 %)	24	1.7%	310	21.6%	1,099	76.5%	28	1.9%	287	19.8%	1,136	78.2%	19	1.3%	11	0.8%	382	63.6%
DISTRICT 24		5,304	3.0%	122,322	70.4%	45,783	26.4%	5,556	3.2%	120,653	69.4%	46,960	27.0%	4,962	2.9%	1,156	0.7%	127,396	84.2%
	Dallas (9 %)	1,359	2.4%	39,312	69.1%	16,109	28.3%	1,438	2.5%	38,608	67.9%	16,666	29.3%	1,306	2.3%	307	0.5%	41,094	83.8%
	Tarrant (26 %)	3,945	3.4%	83,010	71.1%	29,674	25.4%	4,118	3.5%	82,045	70.2%	30,294	25.9%	3,656	3.1%	849	0.7%	86,302	84.3%
DISTRICT 25		3,761	2.8%	79,905	59.5%	50,491	37.6%	3,917	2.9%	79,096	58.9%	50,681	37.7%	3,476	2.6%	1,028	0.8%	84,044	81.2%
	Callahan (89 %)	81	3.1%	2,282	87.4%	239	9.2%	90	3.4%	2,267	87.0%	242	9.3%	82	3.1%	16	0.6%	2,333	92.8%
	Comanche (100 %)	103	3.4%	2,411	80.7%	485	16.2%	90	3.0%	2,403	80.5%	455	15.2%	102	3.4%	25	0.8%	2,512	91.3%
	Eastland (100 %)	106	2.7%	3,368	87.2%	385	10.0%	111	2.9%	3,369	87.2%	369	9.5%	104	2.7%	22	0.6%	3,426	92.6%
	Erath (100 %)	246	3.4%	5,910	81.8%	1,084	15.0%	233	3.2%	5,877	81.4%	1,075	14.9%	223	3.1%	47	0.7%	6,094	89.7%
	Hood (100 %)	452	3.4%	10,711	80.2%	2,184	16.4%	462	3.5%	10,660	79.9%	2,174	16.3%	422	3.2%	90	0.7%	10,981	88.5%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9			
		Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R	
DISTRICT 23	Culberson (100 %)	34	15.8%	20	9.3%	141	48.5%	127	43.6%	23	7.9%	144	66.1%	39	17.9%	35	16.1%	160	75.5%
	Edwards (100 %)	58	10.0%	27	4.7%	457	67.6%	174	25.7%	45	6.7%	480	80.8%	74	12.5%	40	6.7%	484	83.0%
	El Paso (11 %)	1,000	26.7%	484	12.9%	1,713	32.7%	3,242	61.9%	280	5.3%	2,104	54.4%	781	20.2%	984	25.4%	2,236	59.0%
	Frio (100 %)	175	14.7%	86	7.2%	812	45.7%	908	51.1%	58	3.3%	894	75.1%	166	13.9%	131	11.0%	910	77.4%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	52	15.7%	29	8.7%	222	57.8%	128	33.3%	34	8.9%	231	69.2%	53	15.9%	50	15.0%	240	74.1%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	131	15.5%	127	15.1%	525	55.7%	344	36.5%	74	7.8%	548	64.4%	178	20.9%	125	14.7%	583	70.2%
	Kinney (100 %)	86	9.3%	70	7.6%	667	62.3%	339	31.7%	65	6.1%	721	76.9%	120	12.8%	96	10.2%	771	83.3%
	Loving (100 %)	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	31	88.6%	3	8.6%	1	2.9%	31	86.1%	5	13.9%	0	0.0%	32	97.0%
	Maverick (79 %)	830	27.7%	515	17.2%	1,073	24.1%	3,146	70.8%	227	5.1%	1,453	47.5%	644	21.1%	962	31.4%	1,683	56.6%
	Medina (100 %)	704	8.1%	436	5.0%	7,065	73.3%	2,237	23.2%	331	3.4%	7,341	84.4%	807	9.3%	552	6.3%	7,516	86.8%
	Pecos (100 %)	283	11.0%	188	7.3%	1,694	58.5%	1,046	36.1%	155	5.4%	1,951	75.4%	326	12.6%	311	12.0%	2,064	81.5%
	Presidio (100 %)	63	13.5%	75	16.1%	269	31.1%	554	64.0%	42	4.9%	307	65.9%	76	16.3%	83	17.8%	319	68.8%
	Reagan (100 %)	18	4.1%	22	5.0%	388	82.2%	63	13.3%	21	4.4%	388	87.0%	36	8.1%	22	4.9%	399	90.5%
	Reeves (100 %)	113	14.1%	87	10.8%	519	46.1%	571	50.8%	35	3.1%	565	67.8%	151	18.1%	117	14.0%	600	74.5%
	Schleicher (100 %)	67	9.2%	34	4.7%	556	69.6%	216	27.0%	27	3.4%	573	77.9%	90	12.2%	73	9.9%	626	86.3%
	Sutton (100 %)	30	4.5%	14	2.1%	599	82.6%	105	14.5%	21	2.9%	596	89.0%	52	7.8%	22	3.3%	623	93.1%
	Terrell (100 %)	47	15.1%	26	8.4%	203	56.2%	133	36.8%	25	6.9%	233	73.0%	47	14.7%	39	12.2%	244	79.7%
	Upton (100 %)	36	6.3%	25	4.4%	469	76.8%	107	17.5%	35	5.7%	486	84.7%	51	8.9%	37	6.4%	505	89.9%
	Uvalde (100 %)	439	11.5%	249	6.5%	2,768	56.0%	1,996	40.4%	177	3.6%	3,005	78.0%	447	11.6%	399	10.4%	3,109	81.9%
	Val Verde (100 %)	936	17.8%	489	9.3%	3,105	45.4%	3,445	50.4%	290	4.2%	3,597	67.4%	883	16.5%	856	16.0%	3,820	72.7%
	Ward (100 %)	114	7.0%	74	4.6%	1,320	70.6%	478	25.5%	73	3.9%	1,367	83.5%	186	11.4%	84	5.1%	1,417	87.4%
	Winkler (100 %)	52	6.0%	33	3.8%	724	78.2%	160	17.3%	42	4.5%	759	87.3%	85	9.8%	25	2.9%	790	90.9%
	Zavala (100 %)	146	24.3%	73	12.1%	305	21.5%	1,081	76.1%	34	2.4%	346	55.4%	126	20.2%	152	24.4%	376	62.3%
DISTRICT 24		14,363	9.5%	9,632	6.4%	121,207	70.2%	45,352	26.3%	6,044	3.5%	124,834	82.3%	16,761	11.1%	10,067	6.6%	126,629	83.9%
	Dallas (9 %)	4,724	9.6%	3,193	6.5%	38,888	69.1%	15,735	28.0%	1,652	2.9%	40,060	82.0%	5,330	10.9%	3,435	7.0%	40,601	83.7%
	Tarrant (26 %)	9,639	9.4%	6,439	6.3%	82,319	70.8%	29,617	25.5%	4,392	3.8%	84,774	82.4%	11,431	11.1%	6,632	6.4%	86,028	84.0%
DISTRICT 25		11,525	11.1%	7,887	7.6%	78,993	59.1%	50,433	37.7%	4,283	3.2%	81,141	77.9%	15,533	14.9%	7,434	7.1%	83,480	80.8%
	Callahan (89 %)	103	4.1%	77	3.1%	2,257	86.4%	252	9.6%	103	3.9%	2,237	88.4%	239	9.4%	54	2.1%	2,319	92.1%
	Comanche (100 %)	152	5.5%	88	3.2%	2,366	79.6%	494	16.6%	111	3.7%	2,368	86.0%	324	11.8%	61	2.2%	2,478	90.4%
	Eastland (100 %)	159	4.3%	114	3.1%	3,350	86.9%	383	9.9%	120	3.1%	3,250	87.4%	370	10.0%	97	2.6%	3,397	92.0%
	Erath (100 %)	406	6.0%	297	4.4%	5,874	81.7%	1,096	15.2%	223	3.1%	5,882	86.3%	689	10.1%	246	3.6%	6,096	89.4%
	Hood (100 %)	834	6.7%	597	4.8%	10,609	79.9%	2,169	16.3%	508	3.8%	10,737	86.0%	1,265	10.1%	482	3.9%	10,969	88.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		CCA 9			
		Strange-L		Altgelt-G	
DISTRICT 23	Culberson (100 %)	32	15.1%	20	9.4%
	Edwards (100 %)	74	12.7%	25	4.3%
	El Paso (11 %)	997	26.3%	556	14.7%
	Frio (100 %)	178	15.1%	87	7.4%
	Hudspeth (100 %)	58	17.9%	26	8.0%
	Jeff Davis (100 %)	133	16.0%	115	13.8%
	Kinney (100 %)	99	10.7%	56	6.0%
	Loving (100 %)	1	3.0%	0	0.0%
	Maverick (79 %)	815	27.4%	474	15.9%
	Medina (100 %)	754	8.7%	388	4.5%
	Pecos (100 %)	318	12.6%	151	6.0%
	Presidio (100 %)	68	14.7%	77	16.6%
	Reagan (100 %)	25	5.7%	17	3.9%
	Reeves (100 %)	143	17.8%	62	7.7%
	Schleicher (100 %)	70	9.7%	29	4.0%
	Sutton (100 %)	33	4.9%	13	1.9%
	Terrell (100 %)	42	13.7%	20	6.5%
	Upton (100 %)	37	6.6%	20	3.6%
	Uvalde (100 %)	436	11.5%	252	6.6%
	Val Verde (100 %)	974	18.5%	459	8.7%
	Ward (100 %)	150	9.2%	55	3.4%
	Winkler (100 %)	57	6.6%	22	2.5%
	Zavala (100 %)	149	24.7%	79	13.1%
DISTRICT 24		15,479	10.3%	8,836	5.9%
	Dallas (9 %)	4,982	10.3%	2,909	6.0%
	Tarrant (26 %)	10,497	10.2%	5,927	5.8%
DISTRICT 25		13,212	12.8%	6,633	6.4%
	Callahan (89 %)	144	5.7%	54	2.1%
	Comanche (100 %)	193	7.0%	70	2.6%
	Eastland (100 %)	202	5.5%	92	2.5%
	Erath (100 %)	449	6.6%	271	4.0%
	Hood (100 %)	977	7.9%	461	3.7%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 25	Jack (100 %)	1,493	87.7%	170	10.0%	31	1.8%	8	0.5%	0	0.0%	1,473	85.3%	225	13.0%	23	1.3%	6	0.3%
	Johnson (38 %)	7,777	79.7%	1,617	16.6%	292	3.0%	70	0.7%	0	0.0%	7,613	77.4%	2,041	20.7%	133	1.4%	47	0.5%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	4,529	80.7%	900	16.0%	144	2.6%	33	0.6%	4	0.1%	4,410	77.8%	1,141	20.1%	91	1.6%	28	0.5%
	Parker (21 %)	5,075	82.6%	838	13.6%	190	3.1%	43	0.7%	0	0.0%	5,040	80.5%	1,090	17.4%	103	1.6%	26	0.4%
	Somervell (100 %)	1,841	83.1%	302	13.6%	53	2.4%	18	0.8%	1	0.0%	1,796	80.0%	405	18.0%	28	1.2%	14	0.6%
	Stephens (100 %)	1,725	90.1%	159	8.3%	27	1.4%	4	0.2%	0	0.0%	1,737	89.8%	169	8.7%	19	1.0%	9	0.5%
	Tarrant (21 %)	30,027	41.6%	39,730	55.0%	1,671	2.3%	746	1.0%	3	0.0%	28,693	39.1%	43,648	59.5%	809	1.1%	251	0.3%
	Young (100 %)	4,431	86.1%	524	10.2%	138	2.7%	54	1.0%	0	0.0%	4,497	84.8%	679	12.8%	99	1.9%	28	0.5%
DISTRICT 26		89,501	72.3%	29,366	23.7%	3,923	3.2%	1,032	0.8%	1	0.0%	87,266	69.9%	35,166	28.2%	1,986	1.6%	452	0.4%
	Cooke (100 %)	7,451	85.3%	989	11.3%	238	2.7%	56	0.6%	0	0.0%	7,393	83.9%	1,272	14.4%	104	1.2%	40	0.5%
	Denton (74 %)	73,579	70.2%	26,993	25.8%	3,345	3.2%	899	0.9%	0	0.0%	71,538	67.7%	32,072	30.4%	1,683	1.6%	362	0.3%
	Wise (85 %)	8,471	82.5%	1,384	13.5%	340	3.3%	77	0.7%	1	0.0%	8,335	80.1%	1,822	17.5%	199	1.9%	50	0.5%
DISTRICT 27		90,385	65.9%	40,230	29.3%	4,700	3.4%	1,720	1.3%	61	0.0%	88,519	63.7%	47,275	34.0%	2,478	1.8%	641	0.5%
	Aransas (18 %)	1,040	80.7%	217	16.8%	28	2.2%	4	0.3%	0	0.0%	1,048	78.3%	277	20.7%	10	0.7%	2	0.1%
	Austin (100 %)	5,919	82.9%	995	13.9%	186	2.6%	31	0.4%	7	0.1%	5,813	80.9%	1,252	17.4%	81	1.1%	29	0.4%
	Bastrop (98 %)	9,834	59.6%	5,512	33.4%	886	5.4%	249	1.5%	12	0.1%	9,626	57.7%	6,470	38.8%	476	2.9%	96	0.6%
	Caldwell (100 %)	5,171	58.9%	3,076	35.0%	380	4.3%	145	1.7%	10	0.1%	5,037	56.6%	3,578	40.2%	212	2.4%	75	0.8%
	Calhoun (100 %)	2,750	67.7%	1,130	27.8%	114	2.8%	71	1.7%	0	0.0%	2,737	65.9%	1,326	31.9%	65	1.6%	27	0.6%
	Colorado (100 %)	3,978	78.4%	952	18.8%	109	2.1%	34	0.7%	1	0.0%	3,881	75.3%	1,192	23.1%	62	1.2%	17	0.3%
	Fayette (100 %)	6,017	81.0%	1,184	15.9%	176	2.4%	46	0.6%	4	0.1%	5,885	78.1%	1,547	20.5%	79	1.0%	25	0.3%
	Hays (55 %)	9,998	57.4%	6,373	36.6%	793	4.6%	259	1.5%	0	0.0%	9,660	54.7%	7,558	42.8%	371	2.1%	65	0.4%
	Jackson (100 %)	2,412	83.5%	407	14.1%	55	1.9%	15	0.5%	1	0.0%	2,421	82.2%	488	16.6%	20	0.7%	16	0.5%
	Matagorda (100 %)	4,820	69.9%	1,793	26.0%	200	2.9%	75	1.1%	7	0.1%	4,691	67.4%	2,115	30.4%	116	1.7%	29	0.4%
	Nueces (17 %)	2,138	32.8%	4,013	61.5%	168	2.6%	203	3.1%	0	0.0%	2,080	31.1%	4,413	65.9%	140	2.1%	64	1.0%
	Refugio (10 %)	126	62.4%	69	34.2%	4	2.0%	3	1.5%	0	0.0%	128	59.0%	86	39.6%	2	0.9%	1	0.5%
	San Patricio (36 %)	3,210	75.1%	879	20.6%	139	3.3%	45	1.1%	2	0.0%	3,176	72.3%	1,105	25.2%	89	2.0%	21	0.5%
	Travis (7 %)	6,899	47.8%	6,598	45.7%	688	4.8%	244	1.7%	0	0.0%	6,578	45.0%	7,608	52.1%	347	2.4%	66	0.5%
	Victoria (100 %)	12,706	74.8%	3,701	21.8%	386	2.3%	178	1.0%	13	0.1%	12,657	73.8%	4,233	24.7%	202	1.2%	53	0.3%
	Washington (100 %)	7,052	79.0%	1,582	17.7%	235	2.6%	51	0.6%	4	0.0%	6,886	76.6%	1,952	21.7%	131	1.5%	21	0.2%
	Wharton (100 %)	6,315	76.2%	1,749	21.1%	153	1.8%	67	0.8%	0	0.0%	6,215	74.0%	2,075	24.7%	75	0.9%	34	0.4%
		27,495	36.9%	41,445	55.6%	2,647	3.5%	2,921	3.9%	68	0.1%	28,097	36.6%	46,808	60.9%	1,166	1.5%	707	0.9%
	Atascosa (100 %)	4,834	66.8%	2,103	29.1%	183	2.5%	119	1.6%	0	0.0%	4,760	63.8%	2,589	34.7%	77	1.0%	37	0.5%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

GOVERNOR			LT. GOVERNOR								ATTORNEY GEN								
Pavitt-W			Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G		
DISTRICT 25	Jack (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,447	84.6%	218	12.7%	41	2.4%	5	0.3%	1,460	84.9%	224	13.0%	25	1.5%	10	0.6%
	Johnson (38 %)	5	0.1%	7,639	78.0%	1,827	18.7%	287	2.9%	38	0.4%	7,605	78.0%	1,889	19.4%	215	2.2%	41	0.4%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	1	0.0%	4,385	77.9%	1,071	19.0%	159	2.8%	15	0.3%	4,395	78.3%	1,080	19.3%	109	1.9%	26	0.5%
	Parker (21 %)	0	0.0%	5,025	80.6%	999	16.0%	181	2.9%	32	0.5%	5,077	81.4%	980	15.7%	145	2.3%	32	0.5%
	Somervell (100 %)	2	0.1%	1,786	80.5%	359	16.2%	62	2.8%	12	0.5%	1,782	80.7%	381	17.3%	37	1.7%	8	0.4%
	Stephens (100 %)	1	0.1%	1,726	89.7%	165	8.6%	29	1.5%	5	0.3%	1,688	87.9%	210	10.9%	17	0.9%	5	0.3%
	Tarrant (21 %)	10	0.0%	28,643	39.2%	42,314	58.0%	1,589	2.2%	467	0.6%	29,007	39.9%	41,849	57.5%	1,424	2.0%	484	0.7%
	Young (100 %)	0	0.0%	4,468	85.1%	588	11.2%	153	2.9%	42	0.8%	4,444	84.3%	687	13.0%	103	2.0%	37	0.7%
DISTRICT 26		2	0.0%	86,247	69.4%	33,719	27.1%	3,707	3.0%	662	0.5%	87,159	70.3%	33,013	26.6%	3,141	2.5%	630	0.5%
	Cooke (100 %)	0	0.0%	7,302	83.3%	1,240	14.1%	195	2.2%	27	0.3%	7,325	83.7%	1,255	14.3%	136	1.6%	32	0.4%
	Denton (74 %)	0	0.0%	70,617	67.1%	30,816	29.3%	3,171	3.0%	589	0.6%	71,510	68.2%	30,065	28.7%	2,752	2.6%	556	0.5%
	Wise (85 %)	2	0.0%	8,328	80.2%	1,663	16.0%	341	3.3%	46	0.4%	8,324	80.7%	1,693	16.4%	253	2.5%	42	0.4%
DISTRICT 27		64	0.0%	86,577	62.8%	46,342	33.6%	4,277	3.1%	697	0.5%	85,723	62.5%	45,848	33.5%	4,701	3.4%	777	0.6%
	Aransas (18 %)	1	0.1%	1,015	76.9%	281	21.3%	20	1.5%	4	0.3%	1,022	77.6%	270	20.5%	22	1.7%	3	0.2%
	Austin (100 %)	7	0.1%	5,739	80.2%	1,238	17.3%	161	2.2%	20	0.3%	5,805	81.7%	1,178	16.6%	105	1.5%	19	0.3%
	Bastrop (98 %)	21	0.1%	9,453	57.2%	6,208	37.6%	746	4.5%	121	0.7%	9,220	56.0%	6,186	37.6%	933	5.7%	123	0.7%
	Caldwell (100 %)	4	0.0%	5,042	57.1%	3,441	39.0%	293	3.3%	57	0.6%	4,799	54.8%	3,475	39.7%	421	4.8%	66	0.8%
	Calhoun (100 %)	0	0.0%	2,666	64.9%	1,308	31.8%	115	2.8%	19	0.5%	2,600	64.4%	1,316	32.6%	96	2.4%	28	0.7%
	Colorado (100 %)	5	0.1%	3,825	74.9%	1,166	22.8%	109	2.1%	10	0.2%	3,871	76.6%	1,106	21.9%	66	1.3%	13	0.3%
	Fayette (100 %)	4	0.1%	5,831	78.5%	1,402	18.9%	175	2.4%	21	0.3%	5,709	77.7%	1,479	20.1%	128	1.7%	36	0.5%
	Hays (55 %)	2	0.0%	9,437	53.8%	7,309	41.6%	698	4.0%	109	0.6%	9,325	53.4%	7,080	40.6%	929	5.3%	117	0.7%
	Jackson (100 %)	1	0.0%	2,357	80.9%	497	17.0%	57	2.0%	4	0.1%	2,304	79.8%	539	18.7%	40	1.4%	5	0.2%
	Matagorda (100 %)	7	0.1%	4,654	67.2%	2,045	29.5%	197	2.8%	26	0.4%	4,641	67.4%	2,062	30.0%	148	2.2%	30	0.4%
	Nueces (17 %)	0	0.0%	1,910	28.8%	4,492	67.6%	172	2.6%	69	1.0%	1,895	28.6%	4,430	66.9%	219	3.3%	77	1.2%
	Refugio (10 %)	0	0.0%	120	56.6%	85	40.1%	6	2.8%	1	0.5%	113	54.9%	85	41.3%	5	2.4%	3	1.5%
	San Patricio (36 %)	2	0.0%	3,069	70.4%	1,122	25.7%	153	3.5%	18	0.4%	3,068	70.6%	1,124	25.9%	130	3.0%	21	0.5%
	Travis (7 %)	3	0.0%	6,286	43.3%	7,487	51.5%	642	4.4%	114	0.8%	6,311	43.7%	7,172	49.7%	849	5.9%	113	0.8%
	Victoria (100 %)	5	0.0%	12,267	72.3%	4,278	25.2%	370	2.2%	61	0.4%	12,085	71.4%	4,444	26.3%	328	1.9%	58	0.3%
	Washington (100 %)	2	0.0%	6,776	75.8%	1,919	21.5%	227	2.5%	23	0.3%	6,819	76.4%	1,891	21.2%	174	2.0%	37	0.4%
	Wharton (100 %)	0	0.0%	6,130	73.4%	2,064	24.7%	136	1.6%	20	0.2%	6,136	74.1%	2,011	24.3%	108	1.3%	28	0.3%
DISTRICT 28		60	0.1%	23,953	31.7%	49,296	65.2%	1,675	2.2%	635	0.8%	23,839	32.0%	46,996	63.2%	2,582	3.5%	995	1.3%
	Atascosa (100 %)	2	0.0%	4,535	61.0%	2,764	37.2%	110	1.5%	24	0.3%	4,637	63.2%	2,507	34.2%	158	2.2%	39	0.5%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 25	Jack (100 %)	1,433	84.4%	223	13.1%	34	2.0%	7	0.4%	1,469	85.6%	208	12.1%	32	1.9%	7	0.4%	1,421	83.5%
	Johnson (38 %)	7,454	77.0%	1,856	19.2%	310	3.2%	65	0.7%	7,641	78.3%	1,731	17.7%	297	3.0%	95	1.0%	7,119	73.3%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	4,269	76.5%	1,113	19.9%	159	2.8%	40	0.7%	4,463	79.3%	993	17.7%	119	2.1%	51	0.9%	4,332	77.6%
	Parker (21 %)	4,969	80.5%	968	15.7%	196	3.2%	43	0.7%	5,079	81.7%	894	14.4%	199	3.2%	48	0.8%	4,968	80.3%
	Somervell (100 %)	1,746	80.2%	352	16.2%	59	2.7%	20	0.9%	1,820	82.4%	315	14.3%	55	2.5%	20	0.9%	1,765	79.8%
	Stephens (100 %)	1,647	86.5%	213	11.2%	38	2.0%	7	0.4%	1,669	87.3%	203	10.6%	30	1.6%	9	0.5%	1,649	86.7%
	Tarrant (21 %)	28,284	39.1%	41,725	57.6%	1,705	2.4%	667	0.9%	29,855	41.1%	40,434	55.6%	1,585	2.2%	843	1.2%	28,225	39.2%
	Young (100 %)	4,308	83.2%	654	12.6%	162	3.1%	53	1.0%	4,435	84.6%	630	12.0%	130	2.5%	50	1.0%	4,306	82.9%
DISTRICT 26		85,690	69.7%	32,010	26.0%	4,084	3.3%	1,169	1.0%	88,481	71.6%	29,866	24.2%	3,900	3.2%	1,389	1.1%	85,783	70.1%
	Cooke (100 %)	7,148	82.8%	1,197	13.9%	224	2.6%	65	0.8%	7,316	83.8%	1,106	12.7%	246	2.8%	58	0.7%	7,166	82.9%
	Denton (74 %)	70,404	67.6%	29,141	28.0%	3,503	3.4%	1,039	1.0%	72,768	69.6%	27,256	26.1%	3,291	3.1%	1,250	1.2%	70,470	68.0%
	Wise (85 %)	8,138	79.5%	1,672	16.3%	357	3.5%	65	0.6%	8,397	81.2%	1,504	14.5%	363	3.5%	81	0.8%	8,147	79.7%
DISTRICT 27		86,757	63.4%	44,374	32.4%	4,342	3.2%	1,280	0.9%	88,064	64.2%	43,082	31.4%	4,323	3.2%	1,667	1.2%	82,845	61.2%
	Aransas (18 %)	1,025	78.3%	244	18.6%	31	2.4%	9	0.7%	1,049	79.3%	232	17.5%	34	2.6%	8	0.6%	1,008	77.5%
	Austin (100 %)	5,863	82.3%	1,124	15.8%	102	1.4%	34	0.5%	5,811	81.9%	1,081	15.2%	167	2.4%	36	0.5%	5,665	80.3%
	Bastrop (98 %)	9,147	55.9%	6,154	37.6%	814	5.0%	262	1.6%	9,287	56.5%	6,062	36.9%	764	4.6%	324	2.0%	8,732	53.6%
	Caldwell (100 %)	4,759	54.8%	3,450	39.7%	347	4.0%	129	1.5%	4,928	56.6%	3,295	37.8%	354	4.1%	137	1.6%	4,479	52.1%
	Calhoun (100 %)	2,584	64.6%	1,261	31.5%	105	2.6%	50	1.3%	2,709	66.6%	1,213	29.8%	92	2.3%	55	1.4%	2,432	60.8%
	Colorado (100 %)	3,892	76.7%	1,066	21.0%	98	1.9%	17	0.3%	3,882	76.4%	1,092	21.5%	83	1.6%	21	0.4%	3,721	74.6%
	Fayette (100 %)	5,882	79.6%	1,308	17.7%	157	2.1%	38	0.5%	5,643	76.5%	1,527	20.7%	160	2.2%	46	0.6%	5,340	74.0%
	Hays (55 %)	9,265	53.2%	7,073	40.6%	847	4.9%	219	1.3%	9,650	55.4%	6,717	38.5%	775	4.4%	285	1.6%	9,121	52.8%
	Jackson (100 %)	2,366	82.1%	464	16.1%	38	1.3%	13	0.5%	2,390	82.4%	451	15.5%	48	1.7%	13	0.4%	2,266	79.4%
	Matagorda (100 %)	4,695	68.2%	1,990	28.9%	149	2.2%	54	0.8%	4,734	68.7%	1,903	27.6%	180	2.6%	70	1.0%	4,446	65.6%
	Nueces (17 %)	1,876	28.8%	4,358	66.9%	192	2.9%	89	1.4%	2,178	33.1%	4,112	62.4%	153	2.3%	145	2.2%	1,840	28.3%
	Refugio (10 %)	122	58.7%	82	39.4%	2	1.0%	2	1.0%	131	62.4%	77	36.7%	1	0.5%	1	0.5%	113	55.4%
	San Patricio (36 %)	3,055	71.3%	1,047	24.4%	145	3.4%	40	0.9%	3,231	74.2%	939	21.6%	136	3.1%	49	1.1%	3,023	70.6%
	Travis (7 %)	6,329	44.1%	7,147	49.8%	702	4.9%	177	1.2%	6,652	46.3%	6,814	47.4%	656	4.6%	257	1.8%	6,188	43.6%
	Victoria (100 %)	12,657	74.6%	3,903	23.0%	330	1.9%	82	0.5%	12,656	74.2%	3,916	23.0%	369	2.2%	114	0.7%	11,948	71.1%
	Washington (100 %)	6,906	77.3%	1,809	20.2%	176	2.0%	44	0.5%	6,901	77.5%	1,721	19.3%	218	2.4%	62	0.7%	6,670	75.7%
	Wharton (100 %)	6,334	75.8%	1,894	22.7%	107	1.3%	21	0.3%	6,232	74.7%	1,930	23.1%	133	1.6%	44	0.5%	5,853	71.3%
DISTRICT 28		23,796	32.3%	45,993	62.3%	2,662	3.6%	1,316	1.8%	28,598	38.2%	42,500	56.8%	1,700	2.3%	2,082	2.8%	22,987	31.2%
	Atascosa (100 %)	4,450	61.7%	2,498	34.6%	205	2.8%	65	0.9%	4,791	65.3%	2,287	31.2%	174	2.4%	89	1.2%	4,373	60.6%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D	
DISTRICT 25	Jack (100 %)	236	13.9%	30	1.8%	15	0.9%	1,439	84.6%	218	12.8%	35	2.1%	8	0.5%	1,439	84.8%	221	13.0%
	Johnson (38 %)	2,169	22.3%	280	2.9%	139	1.4%	7,393	76.3%	1,825	18.8%	355	3.7%	112	1.2%	7,492	77.5%	1,898	19.6%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	1,023	18.3%	153	2.7%	72	1.3%	4,283	76.8%	1,027	18.4%	190	3.4%	74	1.3%	4,295	77.2%	1,076	19.3%
	Parker (21 %)	956	15.5%	178	2.9%	82	1.3%	4,944	79.9%	948	15.3%	220	3.6%	75	1.2%	5,025	81.2%	948	15.3%
	Somervell (100 %)	365	16.5%	54	2.4%	27	1.2%	1,729	79.8%	338	15.6%	76	3.5%	24	1.1%	1,742	80.9%	351	16.3%
	Stephens (100 %)	210	11.0%	26	1.4%	17	0.9%	1,650	86.5%	205	10.7%	41	2.1%	11	0.6%	1,658	88.1%	186	9.9%
	Tarrant (21 %)	41,182	57.1%	1,621	2.2%	1,059	1.5%	28,194	39.1%	40,955	56.7%	1,814	2.5%	1,228	1.7%	28,862	40.0%	41,571	57.6%
	Young (100 %)	690	13.3%	123	2.4%	76	1.5%	4,310	83.1%	652	12.6%	152	2.9%	72	1.4%	4,355	83.8%	674	13.0%
DISTRICT 26		30,876	25.2%	3,915	3.2%	1,874	1.5%	85,630	69.9%	30,615	25.0%	4,545	3.7%	1,639	1.3%	87,233	71.1%	31,343	25.5%
	Cooke (100 %)	1,197	13.9%	170	2.0%	107	1.2%	7,131	82.6%	1,170	13.6%	254	2.9%	73	0.8%	7,234	84.0%	1,175	13.6%
	Denton (74 %)	28,030	27.1%	3,435	3.3%	1,645	1.6%	70,351	67.9%	27,866	26.9%	3,902	3.8%	1,450	1.4%	71,731	69.1%	28,539	27.5%
	Wise (85 %)	1,649	16.1%	310	3.0%	122	1.2%	8,148	79.6%	1,579	15.4%	389	3.8%	116	1.1%	8,268	80.7%	1,629	15.9%
DISTRICT 27		45,354	33.5%	4,795	3.5%	2,384	1.8%	83,180	61.4%	44,001	32.5%	5,213	3.9%	2,995	2.2%	85,163	63.0%	45,274	33.5%
	Aransas (18 %)	248	19.1%	32	2.5%	12	0.9%	993	76.6%	247	19.0%	42	3.2%	15	1.2%	1,020	78.5%	243	18.7%
	Austin (100 %)	1,160	16.4%	132	1.9%	98	1.4%	5,712	80.9%	1,121	15.9%	175	2.5%	52	0.7%	5,735	81.7%	1,151	16.4%
	Bastrop (98 %)	6,090	37.4%	1,018	6.2%	459	2.8%	8,848	54.3%	6,024	37.0%	966	5.9%	442	2.7%	9,174	56.5%	6,221	38.3%
	Caldwell (100 %)	3,529	41.1%	373	4.3%	214	2.5%	4,509	52.4%	3,389	39.4%	413	4.8%	293	3.4%	4,676	54.4%	3,502	40.7%
	Calhoun (100 %)	1,368	34.2%	116	2.9%	84	2.1%	2,426	61.0%	1,287	32.4%	142	3.6%	123	3.1%	2,517	63.4%	1,325	33.4%
	Colorado (100 %)	1,135	22.7%	93	1.9%	42	0.8%	3,735	75.1%	1,070	21.5%	104	2.1%	67	1.3%	3,751	76.0%	1,084	22.0%
	Fayette (100 %)	1,602	22.2%	173	2.4%	105	1.5%	5,404	75.0%	1,520	21.1%	203	2.8%	83	1.2%	5,494	76.5%	1,498	20.8%
	Hays (55 %)	6,945	40.2%	823	4.8%	372	2.2%	9,119	52.8%	6,772	39.2%	935	5.4%	444	2.6%	9,443	54.6%	6,995	40.4%
	Jackson (100 %)	521	18.3%	41	1.4%	26	0.9%	2,266	79.6%	504	17.7%	48	1.7%	27	0.9%	2,299	81.3%	482	17.0%
	Matagorda (100 %)	2,074	30.6%	159	2.3%	98	1.4%	4,448	65.8%	1,990	29.4%	213	3.1%	113	1.7%	4,584	67.8%	2,006	29.7%
	Nueces (17 %)	4,338	66.6%	209	3.2%	122	1.9%	1,831	27.9%	4,167	63.6%	181	2.8%	372	5.7%	1,947	29.8%	4,429	67.7%
	Refugio (10 %)	83	40.7%	4	2.0%	4	2.0%	109	53.7%	85	41.9%	2	1.0%	7	3.4%	116	56.9%	84	41.2%
	San Patricio (36 %)	1,061	24.8%	137	3.2%	60	1.4%	3,034	70.7%	996	23.2%	180	4.2%	82	1.9%	3,094	71.8%	1,067	24.8%
	Travis (7 %)	6,925	48.8%	750	5.3%	341	2.4%	6,151	43.2%	6,915	48.6%	785	5.5%	384	2.7%	6,434	45.1%	7,096	49.7%
	Victoria (100 %)	4,292	25.5%	396	2.4%	168	1.0%	11,962	71.2%	4,134	24.6%	406	2.4%	308	1.8%	12,122	72.3%	4,265	25.4%
	Washington (100 %)	1,833	20.8%	207	2.3%	104	1.2%	6,698	76.0%	1,785	20.3%	258	2.9%	69	0.8%	6,766	77.3%	1,782	20.4%
	Wharton (100 %)	2,150	26.2%	132	1.6%	75	0.9%	5,935	72.3%	1,995	24.3%	160	2.0%	114	1.4%	5,991	73.3%	2,044	25.0%
DISTRICT 28		46,559	63.1%	2,287	3.1%	1,901	2.6%	22,447	30.3%	43,293	58.5%	2,006	2.7%	6,296	8.5%	24,112	32.8%	46,984	63.8%
	Atascosa (100 %)	2,557	35.4%	182	2.5%	108	1.5%	4,345	60.1%	2,486	34.4%	182	2.5%	216	3.0%	4,502	62.5%	2,532	35.1%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

SUP CT CHIEF			SUP CT 6						SUP CT 7						SUP CT 8				
Oxford-L			Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R		
DISTRICT 25	Jack (100 %)	36	2.1%	1,441	85.7%	207	12.3%	33	2.0%	1,442	85.9%	190	11.3%	35	2.1%	11	0.7%	1,475	93.6%
	Johnson (38 %)	281	2.9%	7,588	78.7%	1,716	17.8%	337	3.5%	7,510	78.0%	1,750	18.2%	291	3.0%	72	0.7%	7,851	88.5%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	192	3.5%	4,358	78.6%	1,003	18.1%	184	3.3%	4,330	78.0%	1,016	18.3%	165	3.0%	37	0.7%	4,552	89.2%
	Parker (21 %)	216	3.5%	5,035	81.8%	915	14.9%	206	3.3%	5,002	81.3%	914	14.9%	195	3.2%	43	0.7%	5,199	90.1%
	Somervell (100 %)	59	2.7%	1,753	81.7%	326	15.2%	67	3.1%	1,738	80.9%	331	15.4%	63	2.9%	16	0.7%	1,801	89.7%
	Stephens (100 %)	38	2.0%	1,657	88.4%	177	9.4%	40	2.1%	1,646	87.9%	175	9.3%	42	2.2%	10	0.5%	1,686	93.6%
	Tarrant (21 %)	1,784	2.5%	29,020	40.3%	41,151	57.1%	1,891	2.6%	28,484	39.5%	41,378	57.4%	1,608	2.2%	596	0.8%	31,606	69.8%
	Young (100 %)	167	3.2%	4,371	84.7%	619	12.0%	173	3.4%	4,368	84.5%	612	11.8%	144	2.8%	43	0.8%	4,528	92.4%
DISTRICT 26		4,154	3.4%	87,265	71.3%	30,681	25.1%	4,392	3.6%	86,297	70.6%	31,168	25.5%	3,945	3.2%	842	0.7%	90,476	82.8%
	Cooke (100 %)	208	2.4%	7,282	84.9%	1,082	12.6%	214	2.5%	7,201	84.0%	1,103	12.9%	219	2.6%	54	0.6%	7,440	92.1%
	Denton (74 %)	3,603	3.5%	71,701	69.2%	28,051	27.1%	3,826	3.7%	70,812	68.4%	28,558	27.6%	3,403	3.3%	707	0.7%	74,439	81.3%
	Wise (85 %)	343	3.3%	8,282	81.3%	1,548	15.2%	352	3.5%	8,284	81.3%	1,507	14.8%	323	3.2%	81	0.8%	8,597	89.6%
DISTRICT 27		4,720	3.5%	85,958	63.9%	43,444	32.3%	5,158	3.8%	84,615	62.8%	44,719	33.2%	4,429	3.3%	1,057	0.8%	91,820	79.7%
	Aransas (18 %)	37	2.8%	1,026	79.2%	235	18.1%	35	2.7%	1,017	78.4%	235	18.1%	42	3.2%	4	0.3%	1,059	89.1%
DISTRICT 28	Austin (100 %)	133	1.9%	5,792	82.9%	1,053	15.1%	142	2.0%	5,738	81.9%	1,099	15.7%	136	1.9%	33	0.5%	5,939	91.9%
	Bastrop (98 %)	849	5.2%	9,223	57.1%	5,945	36.8%	981	6.1%	9,084	56.2%	6,041	37.4%	822	5.1%	219	1.4%	10,024	72.6%
	Caldwell (100 %)	418	4.9%	4,773	55.8%	3,327	38.9%	455	5.3%	4,689	54.6%	3,429	39.9%	375	4.4%	91	1.1%	5,307	73.2%
	Calhoun (100 %)	126	3.2%	2,541	64.6%	1,264	32.1%	128	3.3%	2,534	64.0%	1,286	32.5%	104	2.6%	37	0.9%	2,775	83.5%
	Colorado (100 %)	99	2.0%	3,785	77.2%	1,020	20.8%	97	2.0%	3,721	76.0%	1,061	21.7%	93	1.9%	24	0.5%	3,916	91.0%
	Fayette (100 %)	193	2.7%	5,553	77.8%	1,381	19.3%	204	2.9%	5,574	78.2%	1,342	18.8%	174	2.4%	42	0.6%	5,878	89.3%
	Hays (55 %)	867	5.0%	9,581	55.4%	6,765	39.1%	937	5.4%	9,344	54.1%	6,992	40.5%	779	4.5%	152	0.9%	10,304	69.7%
	Jackson (100 %)	48	1.7%	2,333	82.6%	449	15.9%	42	1.5%	2,308	81.6%	462	16.3%	49	1.7%	10	0.4%	2,402	92.6%
	Matagorda (100 %)	172	2.5%	4,612	68.4%	1,945	28.8%	189	2.8%	4,536	67.1%	2,008	29.7%	192	2.8%	28	0.4%	4,882	85.2%
	Nueces (17 %)	165	2.5%	1,974	30.4%	4,319	66.4%	208	3.2%	1,836	27.9%	4,530	68.8%	144	2.2%	70	1.1%	2,517	60.0%
	Refugio (10 %)	4	2.0%	121	59.6%	81	39.9%	1	0.5%	116	56.3%	86	41.7%	2	1.0%	2	1.0%	141	89.8%
	San Patricio (36 %)	148	3.4%	3,113	72.8%	993	23.2%	173	4.0%	3,069	71.6%	1,039	24.2%	145	3.4%	33	0.8%	3,286	85.4%
	Travis (7 %)	739	5.2%	6,498	45.7%	6,904	48.6%	815	5.7%	6,247	43.9%	7,156	50.3%	683	4.8%	128	0.9%	7,189	62.0%
	Victoria (100 %)	376	2.2%	12,236	73.3%	4,068	24.4%	398	2.4%	12,078	72.1%	4,234	25.3%	354	2.1%	92	0.5%	12,831	87.9%
	Washington (100 %)	208	2.4%	6,754	77.6%	1,728	19.9%	219	2.5%	6,706	77.0%	1,736	19.9%	210	2.4%	58	0.7%	6,982	89.8%
	Wharton (100 %)	138	1.7%	6,043	74.2%	1,967	24.2%	134	1.6%	6,018	73.8%	1,983	24.3%	125	1.5%	34	0.4%	6,388	90.7%
		2,495	3.4%	25,253	34.4%	45,583	62.2%	2,492	3.4%	22,747	30.7%	48,929	66.1%	1,500	2.0%	899	1.2%	33,586	66.3%
		Atascosa (100 %)	171	2.4%	4,622	64.3%	2,385	33.2%	185	2.6%	4,491	62.2%	2,537	35.1%	143	2.0%	50	0.7%	5,043

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9			
		Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R	
DISTRICT 25	Jack (100 %)	59	3.7%	42	2.7%	1,427	85.4%	204	12.2%	40	2.4%	1,432	90.7%	117	7.4%	29	1.8%	1,474	93.3%
	Johnson (38 %)	644	7.3%	372	4.2%	7,450	77.6%	1,762	18.3%	392	4.1%	7,652	86.3%	886	10.0%	326	3.7%	7,781	88.0%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	326	6.4%	227	4.4%	4,323	78.1%	1,007	18.2%	204	3.7%	4,351	84.9%	608	11.9%	166	3.2%	4,523	88.8%
	Parker (21 %)	333	5.8%	239	4.1%	5,000	81.4%	911	14.8%	228	3.7%	5,036	87.1%	554	9.6%	192	3.3%	5,180	89.6%
	Somervell (100 %)	116	5.8%	90	4.5%	1,731	81.2%	324	15.2%	76	3.6%	1,739	86.4%	214	10.6%	60	3.0%	1,786	89.5%
	Stephens (100 %)	68	3.8%	47	2.6%	1,645	88.1%	183	9.8%	40	2.1%	1,584	87.8%	204	11.3%	17	0.9%	1,674	93.3%
	Tarrant (21 %)	8,125	18.0%	5,525	12.2%	28,630	39.9%	41,021	57.2%	2,058	2.9%	30,508	66.7%	9,647	21.1%	5,574	12.2%	31,280	69.3%
	Young (100 %)	200	4.1%	172	3.5%	4,331	84.3%	627	12.2%	180	3.5%	4,365	88.9%	416	8.5%	130	2.6%	4,523	92.0%
DISTRICT 26		11,385	10.4%	7,349	6.7%	86,507	71.1%	30,550	25.1%	4,574	3.8%	89,046	80.7%	13,374	12.1%	7,958	7.2%	90,025	81.9%
	Cooke (100 %)	392	4.9%	250	3.1%	7,176	84.2%	1,095	12.8%	251	2.9%	7,251	89.9%	622	7.7%	194	2.4%	7,385	91.9%
	Denton (74 %)	10,426	11.4%	6,672	7.3%	71,043	69.0%	27,943	27.1%	3,936	3.8%	73,490	79.3%	11,748	12.7%	7,455	8.0%	74,089	80.3%
	Wise (85 %)	567	5.9%	427	4.5%	8,288	81.4%	1,512	14.8%	387	3.8%	8,305	86.3%	1,004	10.4%	309	3.2%	8,551	89.2%
DISTRICT 27		13,569	11.8%	9,832	8.5%	84,469	63.0%	43,649	32.6%	5,859	4.4%	89,932	77.7%	14,707	12.7%	11,056	9.6%	91,155	79.5%
	Aransas (18 %)	75	6.3%	54	4.5%	1,014	78.5%	234	18.1%	43	3.3%	1,038	87.4%	100	8.4%	49	4.1%	1,059	89.3%
DISTRICT 28	Austin (100 %)	329	5.1%	195	3.0%	5,722	81.9%	1,089	15.6%	177	2.5%	5,876	91.0%	409	6.3%	170	2.6%	5,877	91.7%
	Bastrop (98 %)	2,190	15.9%	1,592	11.5%	9,029	56.1%	5,994	37.3%	1,065	6.6%	9,796	70.6%	2,539	18.3%	1,537	11.1%	9,971	72.5%
	Caldwell (100 %)	1,097	15.1%	842	11.6%	4,645	54.4%	3,386	39.6%	509	6.0%	5,111	70.0%	1,310	17.9%	883	12.1%	5,272	73.0%
	Calhoun (100 %)	319	9.6%	228	6.9%	2,518	63.7%	1,271	32.2%	161	4.1%	2,715	80.6%	390	11.6%	264	7.8%	2,752	83.0%
	Colorado (100 %)	266	6.2%	123	2.9%	3,737	76.6%	1,023	21.0%	121	2.5%	3,862	89.7%	297	6.9%	145	3.4%	3,898	91.1%
	Fayette (100 %)	468	7.1%	233	3.5%	5,439	76.9%	1,393	19.7%	245	3.5%	5,766	87.5%	594	9.0%	227	3.4%	5,832	88.9%
	Hays (55 %)	2,473	16.7%	2,000	13.5%	9,353	54.5%	6,830	39.8%	971	5.7%	10,070	67.7%	2,582	17.4%	2,216	14.9%	10,217	69.1%
	Jackson (100 %)	108	4.2%	84	3.2%	2,289	81.4%	454	16.1%	70	2.5%	2,374	90.7%	161	6.2%	81	3.1%	2,379	92.1%
	Matagorda (100 %)	500	8.7%	348	6.1%	4,530	67.4%	1,958	29.1%	232	3.5%	4,764	83.4%	566	9.9%	381	6.7%	4,819	84.9%
	Nueces (17 %)	1,004	23.9%	674	16.1%	1,914	29.6%	4,264	66.0%	287	4.4%	2,370	55.8%	805	19.0%	1,071	25.2%	2,498	60.6%
	Refugio (10 %)	10	6.4%	6	3.8%	112	56.3%	85	42.7%	2	1.0%	136	85.5%	15	9.4%	8	5.0%	138	86.8%
	San Patricio (36 %)	336	8.7%	226	5.9%	3,074	72.1%	981	23.0%	207	4.9%	3,231	83.8%	372	9.6%	252	6.5%	3,291	85.6%
	Travis (7 %)	2,330	20.1%	2,079	17.9%	6,331	44.9%	6,945	49.2%	828	5.9%	7,014	60.1%	2,343	20.1%	2,313	19.8%	7,160	61.9%
	Victoria (100 %)	1,120	7.7%	646	4.4%	12,101	72.7%	4,065	24.4%	488	2.9%	12,655	86.2%	1,110	7.6%	908	6.2%	12,736	88.0%
	Washington (100 %)	526	6.8%	264	3.4%	6,682	77.1%	1,721	19.9%	260	3.0%	6,890	88.8%	632	8.1%	235	3.0%	6,919	89.4%
	Wharton (100 %)	418	5.9%	238	3.4%	5,979	73.6%	1,956	24.1%	193	2.4%	6,264	88.7%	482	6.8%	316	4.5%	6,337	90.6%
		10,036	19.8%	7,043	13.9%	24,804	33.9%	45,130	61.7%	3,240	4.4%	30,911	59.9%	7,865	15.2%	12,851	24.9%	31,649	62.2%
		Atascosa (100 %)	648	10.7%	389	6.4%	4,505	63.0%	2,400	33.5%	250	3.5%	4,821	78.6%	717	11.7%	596	9.7%	5,064

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

CCA 9				
		Strange-L		Altgelt-G
DISTRICT 25	Jack (100 %)	69	4.4%	37 2.3%
	Johnson (38 %)	777	8.8%	281 3.2%
	Palo Pinto (100 %)	425	8.3%	146 2.9%
	Parker (21 %)	399	6.9%	202 3.5%
	Somervell (100 %)	143	7.2%	67 3.4%
	Stephens (100 %)	94	5.2%	27 1.5%
	Tarrant (21 %)	9,077	20.1%	4,794 10.6%
	Young (100 %)	263	5.3%	131 2.7%
DISTRICT 26		12,725	11.6%	7,111 6.5%
	Cooke (100 %)	468	5.8%	181 2.3%
	Denton (74 %)	11,530	12.5%	6,623 7.2%
	Wise (85 %)	727	7.6%	307 3.2%
DISTRICT 27		14,829	12.9%	8,673 7.6%
	Aransas (18 %)	82	6.9%	45 3.8%
	Austin (100 %)	396	6.2%	138 2.2%
	Bastrop (98 %)	2,473	18.0%	1,308 9.5%
	Caldwell (100 %)	1,301	18.0%	648 9.0%
	Calhoun (100 %)	374	11.3%	190 5.7%
	Colorado (100 %)	279	6.5%	104 2.4%
	Fayette (100 %)	537	8.2%	193 2.9%
	Hays (55 %)	2,591	17.5%	1,969 13.3%
	Jackson (100 %)	139	5.4%	66 2.6%
	Matagorda (100 %)	583	10.3%	274 4.8%
	Nueces (17 %)	1,000	24.2%	627 15.2%
	Refugio (10 %)	12	7.5%	9 5.7%
	San Patricio (36 %)	366	9.5%	186 4.8%
	Travis (7 %)	2,428	21.0%	1,971 17.1%
	Victoria (100 %)	1,199	8.3%	542 3.7%
	Washington (100 %)	610	7.9%	208 2.7%
	Wharton (100 %)	459	6.6%	195 2.8%
		9,580	18.8%	9,694 19.0%
	Atascosa (100 %)	694	11.4%	329 5.4%
DISTRICT 28				

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 28	Dimmit (100 %)	595	33.1%	1,120	62.3%	38	2.1%	44	2.4%	0	0.0%	599	31.9%	1,245	66.3%	21	1.1%	12	0.6%
	Duval (100 %)	578	19.8%	2,183	74.6%	70	2.4%	90	3.1%	4	0.1%	750	24.3%	2,251	73.0%	54	1.8%	28	0.9%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	9,776	37.7%	14,613	56.3%	859	3.3%	670	2.6%	23	0.1%	9,875	37.0%	16,114	60.4%	463	1.7%	182	0.7%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	160	22.9%	514	73.6%	11	1.6%	13	1.9%	0	0.0%	190	26.4%	521	72.4%	7	1.0%	2	0.3%
	La Salle (100 %)	400	49.2%	369	45.4%	25	3.1%	19	2.3%	0	0.0%	434	50.2%	422	48.8%	8	0.9%	1	0.1%
	Live Oak (100 %)	2,030	83.5%	313	12.9%	65	2.7%	24	1.0%	0	0.0%	2,007	81.8%	400	16.3%	33	1.3%	15	0.6%
	McMullen (100 %)	216	86.7%	17	6.8%	10	4.0%	5	2.0%	1	0.4%	222	84.4%	34	12.9%	4	1.5%	3	1.1%
	Maverick (21 %)	144	22.3%	450	69.7%	28	4.3%	22	3.4%	2	0.3%	162	24.6%	473	71.8%	12	1.8%	12	1.8%
	Starr (100 %)	961	19.3%	3,795	76.4%	96	1.9%	110	2.2%	6	0.1%	1,104	21.3%	4,008	77.3%	45	0.9%	24	0.5%
	Webb (100 %)	7,488	29.1%	15,223	59.1%	1,238	4.8%	1,765	6.9%	32	0.1%	7,622	28.9%	17,963	68.0%	434	1.6%	382	1.4%
	Zapata (100 %)	313	27.9%	745	66.4%	24	2.1%	40	3.6%	0	0.0%	372	31.6%	788	66.9%	8	0.7%	9	0.8%
		24,544	33.9%	45,218	62.4%	1,541	2.1%	1,148	1.6%	2	0.0%	23,459	31.8%	49,295	66.8%	688	0.9%	330	0.4%
DISTRICT 29	Harris (16 %)	24,544	33.9%	45,218	62.4%	1,541	2.1%	1,148	1.6%	2	0.0%	23,459	31.8%	49,295	66.8%	688	0.9%	330	0.4%
DISTRICT 30		30,140	24.8%	88,213	72.6%	2,118	1.7%	1,075	0.9%	3	0.0%	29,131	23.6%	92,911	75.3%	967	0.8%	346	0.3%
DISTRICT 31	Dallas (20 %)	17,750	19.6%	70,545	78.0%	1,366	1.5%	739	0.8%	0	0.0%	17,213	18.7%	73,788	80.4%	583	0.6%	228	0.2%
	Tarrant (12 %)	12,390	39.8%	17,668	56.7%	752	2.4%	336	1.1%	3	0.0%	11,918	37.8%	19,123	60.6%	384	1.2%	118	0.4%
DISTRICT 31		80,147	70.0%	29,598	25.9%	3,745	3.3%	953	0.8%	44	0.0%	78,910	68.3%	34,186	29.6%	2,000	1.7%	439	0.4%
DISTRICT 32	Bell (91 %)	25,674	65.8%	11,875	30.4%	1,100	2.8%	343	0.9%	14	0.0%	25,585	65.0%	13,072	33.2%	522	1.3%	135	0.3%
	Burnet (85 %)	7,666	79.3%	1,608	16.6%	316	3.3%	82	0.8%	0	0.0%	7,432	75.9%	2,095	21.4%	204	2.1%	53	0.5%
	Coryell (100 %)	6,445	75.3%	1,779	20.8%	248	2.9%	86	1.0%	0	0.0%	6,465	74.4%	2,017	23.2%	156	1.8%	46	0.5%
	Hamilton (100 %)	1,933	81.3%	356	15.0%	75	3.2%	13	0.5%	0	0.0%	1,888	77.8%	491	20.2%	38	1.6%	10	0.4%
	Lampasas (100 %)	3,714	81.7%	689	15.2%	118	2.6%	25	0.5%	0	0.0%	3,745	80.9%	787	17.0%	74	1.6%	22	0.5%
	Mills (100 %)	1,186	89.6%	105	7.9%	31	2.3%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1,194	88.2%	134	9.9%	19	1.4%	6	0.4%
	Williamson (45 %)	33,529	68.4%	13,186	26.9%	1,857	3.8%	403	0.8%	30	0.1%	32,601	66.0%	15,590	31.6%	987	2.0%	167	0.3%
		93,851	67.4%	40,520	29.1%	3,829	2.7%	1,122	0.8%	23	0.0%	91,153	64.4%	47,954	33.9%	1,858	1.3%	440	0.3%
	Camp (100 %)	1,818	70.2%	693	26.8%	56	2.2%	20	0.8%	1	0.0%	1,862	71.3%	718	27.5%	22	0.8%	9	0.3%
	Collin (4 %)	4,312	63.9%	2,195	32.5%	186	2.8%	52	0.8%	4	0.1%	4,062	59.8%	2,605	38.3%	98	1.4%	27	0.4%
	Dallas (18 %)	48,688	59.2%	30,265	36.8%	2,420	2.9%	812	1.0%	0	0.0%	46,152	55.4%	35,762	42.9%	1,178	1.4%	271	0.3%
	Hunt (30 %)	4,014	81.9%	707	14.4%	150	3.1%	30	0.6%	0	0.0%	3,929	79.0%	930	18.7%	82	1.6%	31	0.6%
	Rains (100 %)	1,966	82.4%	347	14.5%	62	2.6%	12	0.5%	0	0.0%	1,976	80.6%	440	18.0%	23	0.9%	12	0.5%
	Rockwall (100 %)	16,510	78.9%	3,793	18.1%	482	2.3%	113	0.5%	18	0.1%	16,100	76.4%	4,670	22.1%	244	1.2%	46	0.2%
	Upshur (100 %)	6,979	81.5%	1,271	14.8%	268	3.1%	42	0.5%	0	0.0%	7,294	82.4%	1,426	16.1%	108	1.2%	18	0.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

GOVERNOR			LT. GOVERNOR								ATTORNEY GEN								
Pavitt-W			Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G		
DISTRICT 28	Dimmit (100 %)	0	0.0%	524	28.3%	1,283	69.4%	37	2.0%	6	0.3%	508	28.4%	1,206	67.4%	54	3.0%	20	1.1%
	Duval (100 %)	1	0.0%	569	18.9%	2,337	77.7%	90	3.0%	12	0.4%	511	17.0%	2,368	78.9%	101	3.4%	20	0.7%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	36	0.1%	8,424	32.2%	17,008	65.0%	546	2.1%	191	0.7%	8,667	33.7%	15,946	62.0%	856	3.3%	257	1.0%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	0	0.0%	149	20.9%	557	78.0%	5	0.7%	3	0.4%	143	20.1%	540	76.1%	22	3.1%	5	0.7%
	La Salle (100 %)	0	0.0%	396	46.2%	448	52.3%	8	0.9%	5	0.6%	390	47.2%	418	50.5%	14	1.7%	5	0.6%
	Live Oak (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,956	80.0%	433	17.7%	47	1.9%	9	0.4%	1,957	80.7%	417	17.2%	37	1.5%	13	0.5%
	McMullen (100 %)	0	0.0%	204	80.0%	45	17.6%	5	2.0%	1	0.4%	194	83.3%	34	14.6%	3	1.3%	2	0.9%
	Maverick (21 %)	0	0.0%	127	19.4%	503	76.7%	20	3.0%	6	0.9%	132	21.0%	461	73.2%	29	4.6%	8	1.3%
	Starr (100 %)	4	0.1%	759	14.9%	4,253	83.8%	52	1.0%	13	0.3%	799	16.2%	3,989	80.7%	114	2.3%	38	0.8%
	Webb (100 %)	17	0.1%	6,018	23.2%	18,820	72.5%	740	2.9%	363	1.4%	5,611	21.9%	18,304	71.3%	1,165	4.5%	583	2.3%
	Zapata (100 %)	0	0.0%	292	25.3%	845	73.2%	15	1.3%	2	0.2%	290	25.7%	806	71.3%	29	2.6%	5	0.4%
DISTRICT 29		5	0.0%	23,285	31.6%	48,532	65.9%	1,250	1.7%	526	0.7%	23,487	32.0%	48,147	65.5%	1,232	1.7%	633	0.9%
	Harris (16 %)	5	0.0%	23,285	31.6%	48,532	65.9%	1,250	1.7%	526	0.7%	23,487	32.0%	48,147	65.5%	1,232	1.7%	633	0.9%
DISTRICT 30		1	0.0%	29,348	23.9%	90,619	73.9%	1,927	1.6%	757	0.6%	28,913	23.6%	90,784	74.2%	1,790	1.5%	843	0.7%
	Dallas (20 %)	0	0.0%	17,258	18.9%	72,198	79.1%	1,243	1.4%	537	0.6%	16,917	18.6%	72,361	79.5%	1,146	1.3%	617	0.7%
	Tarrant (12 %)	1	0.0%	12,090	38.5%	18,421	58.6%	684	2.2%	220	0.7%	11,996	38.3%	18,423	58.9%	644	2.1%	226	0.7%
DISTRICT 31		58	0.1%	78,054	67.9%	32,673	28.4%	3,539	3.1%	665	0.6%	77,234	67.4%	33,077	28.9%	3,639	3.2%	646	0.6%
	Bell (91 %)	30	0.1%	25,433	65.0%	12,561	32.1%	931	2.4%	193	0.5%	24,848	63.7%	12,975	33.3%	940	2.4%	217	0.6%
	Burnet (85 %)	5	0.1%	7,464	76.3%	1,901	19.4%	340	3.5%	76	0.8%	7,378	76.1%	1,922	19.8%	341	3.5%	57	0.6%
	Coryell (100 %)	1	0.0%	6,459	74.9%	1,885	21.8%	227	2.6%	57	0.7%	6,296	72.8%	2,074	24.0%	219	2.5%	60	0.7%
	Hamilton (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,890	79.0%	435	18.2%	57	2.4%	9	0.4%	1,866	79.1%	449	19.0%	37	1.6%	7	0.3%
	Lampasas (100 %)	0	0.0%	3,698	80.3%	755	16.4%	129	2.8%	21	0.5%	3,673	79.3%	830	17.9%	106	2.3%	22	0.5%
	Mills (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,183	87.8%	127	9.4%	34	2.5%	3	0.2%	1,151	86.0%	161	12.0%	21	1.6%	5	0.4%
	Williamson (45 %)	22	0.0%	31,927	65.1%	15,009	30.6%	1,821	3.7%	306	0.6%	32,022	65.4%	14,666	30.0%	1,975	4.0%	278	0.6%
		36	0.0%	88,888	63.3%	47,317	33.7%	3,596	2.6%	701	0.5%	89,881	64.2%	46,308	33.1%	3,047	2.2%	675	0.5%
	Camp (100 %)	2	0.1%	1,808	69.7%	721	27.8%	56	2.2%	8	0.3%	1,765	68.4%	762	29.5%	43	1.7%	12	0.5%
DISTRICT 32	Collin (4 %)	3	0.0%	3,910	58.2%	2,585	38.5%	183	2.7%	39	0.6%	4,008	59.7%	2,505	37.3%	164	2.4%	39	0.6%
	Dallas (18 %)	0	0.0%	44,543	53.8%	35,491	42.9%	2,272	2.7%	497	0.6%	45,482	55.3%	34,391	41.8%	1,980	2.4%	455	0.6%
	Hunt (30 %)	3	0.1%	3,963	80.0%	819	16.5%	148	3.0%	23	0.5%	3,946	79.8%	863	17.5%	109	2.2%	25	0.5%
	Rains (100 %)	0	0.0%	1,991	81.5%	389	15.9%	50	2.0%	12	0.5%	1,972	81.1%	412	16.9%	39	1.6%	8	0.3%
	Rockwall (100 %)	26	0.1%	15,797	75.4%	4,574	21.8%	510	2.4%	68	0.3%	16,051	76.9%	4,371	20.9%	391	1.9%	68	0.3%
	Upshur (100 %)	2	0.0%	7,163	81.6%	1,396	15.9%	203	2.3%	19	0.2%	7,019	80.3%	1,540	17.6%	166	1.9%	20	0.2%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 28	Dimmit (100 %)	490	27.9%	1,194	68.0%	52	3.0%	20	1.1%	580	32.3%	1,134	63.1%	37	2.1%	45	2.5%	469	26.6%
	Duval (100 %)	528	17.8%	2,308	77.8%	99	3.3%	31	1.0%	668	22.2%	2,242	74.5%	64	2.1%	37	1.2%	490	16.5%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	8,664	33.9%	15,712	61.5%	836	3.3%	354	1.4%	9,871	38.1%	14,890	57.4%	649	2.5%	514	2.0%	8,425	33.0%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	138	19.6%	548	78.0%	11	1.6%	6	0.9%	187	26.2%	510	71.4%	11	1.5%	6	0.8%	127	18.0%
	La Salle (100 %)	368	45.5%	409	50.6%	26	3.2%	6	0.7%	400	48.4%	397	48.0%	19	2.3%	11	1.3%	359	44.4%
	Live Oak (100 %)	1,928	80.0%	398	16.5%	63	2.6%	21	0.9%	1,985	81.7%	362	14.9%	59	2.4%	23	0.9%	1,929	80.1%
	McMullen (100 %)	196	83.8%	32	13.7%	6	2.6%	0	0.0%	219	87.3%	21	8.4%	8	3.2%	3	1.2%	198	83.2%
	Maverick (21 %)	136	21.9%	447	71.9%	29	4.7%	10	1.6%	168	26.2%	431	67.1%	17	2.6%	26	4.0%	128	20.4%
	Starr (100 %)	832	16.9%	3,952	80.4%	88	1.8%	42	0.9%	1,077	21.7%	3,761	75.7%	64	1.3%	64	1.3%	797	16.3%
	Webb (100 %)	5,779	22.7%	17,708	69.6%	1,222	4.8%	749	2.9%	8,269	32.0%	15,741	60.9%	580	2.2%	1,245	4.8%	5,414	21.3%
	Zapata (100 %)	287	25.8%	787	70.8%	25	2.3%	12	1.1%	383	33.5%	724	63.3%	18	1.6%	19	1.7%	278	24.9%
		22,979	31.4%	48,198	65.9%	1,249	1.7%	660	0.9%	24,328	33.2%	46,787	63.8%	1,183	1.6%	984	1.3%	23,177	31.8%
DISTRICT 29	Harris (16 %)	22,979	31.4%	48,198	65.9%	1,249	1.7%	660	0.9%	24,328	33.2%	46,787	63.8%	1,183	1.6%	984	1.3%	23,177	31.8%
DISTRICT 30		28,051	23.1%	90,526	74.4%	2,143	1.8%	889	0.7%	29,729	24.3%	89,340	73.1%	1,903	1.6%	1,288	1.1%	28,048	23.1%
DISTRICT 31	Dallas (20 %)	16,360	18.1%	72,226	79.8%	1,311	1.4%	594	0.7%	17,481	19.2%	71,412	78.5%	1,173	1.3%	915	1.0%	16,460	18.2%
	Tarrant (12 %)	11,691	37.6%	18,300	58.8%	832	2.7%	295	0.9%	12,248	39.2%	17,928	57.3%	730	2.3%	373	1.2%	11,588	37.3%
		75,637	66.6%	32,659	28.8%	4,191	3.7%	1,078	0.9%	77,903	68.2%	31,043	27.2%	4,016	3.5%	1,306	1.1%	75,592	66.6%
	Bell (91 %)	24,255	62.8%	12,726	32.9%	1,242	3.2%	426	1.1%	24,701	63.6%	12,462	32.1%	1,215	3.1%	482	1.2%	24,440	63.3%
	Burnet (85 %)	7,240	75.0%	1,981	20.5%	365	3.8%	73	0.8%	7,492	77.1%	1,786	18.4%	358	3.7%	83	0.9%	7,286	75.2%
	Coryell (100 %)	6,098	71.5%	2,037	23.9%	310	3.6%	82	1.0%	6,315	73.4%	1,938	22.5%	263	3.1%	87	1.0%	5,926	69.1%
	Hamilton (100 %)	1,774	76.5%	462	19.9%	66	2.8%	16	0.7%	1,859	78.8%	407	17.3%	79	3.3%	14	0.6%	1,662	69.9%
	Lampasas (100 %)	3,557	78.2%	814	17.9%	149	3.3%	31	0.7%	3,671	79.8%	753	16.4%	132	2.9%	42	0.9%	3,630	79.0%
	Mills (100 %)	1,104	84.0%	167	12.7%	41	3.1%	3	0.2%	1,135	85.5%	144	10.9%	42	3.2%	6	0.5%	1,090	81.7%
	Williamson (45 %)	31,609	65.1%	14,472	29.8%	2,018	4.2%	447	0.9%	32,730	67.1%	13,553	27.8%	1,927	3.9%	592	1.2%	31,558	65.3%
		88,347	63.9%	44,738	32.4%	3,955	2.9%	1,242	0.9%	93,093	66.7%	41,268	29.6%	3,678	2.6%	1,591	1.1%	89,895	65.2%
	Camp (100 %)	1,720	67.2%	764	29.8%	62	2.4%	15	0.6%	1,760	68.1%	756	29.2%	53	2.0%	17	0.7%	1,705	66.8%
DISTRICT 32	Collin (4 %)	3,979	60.1%	2,391	36.1%	182	2.7%	69	1.0%	4,242	63.4%	2,188	32.7%	175	2.6%	82	1.2%	4,075	61.9%
	Dallas (18 %)	44,947	55.3%	33,139	40.7%	2,399	2.9%	852	1.0%	48,346	58.8%	30,542	37.2%	2,145	2.6%	1,142	1.4%	46,364	57.2%
	Hunt (30 %)	3,858	78.9%	826	16.9%	166	3.4%	39	0.8%	3,974	80.7%	753	15.3%	152	3.1%	46	0.9%	3,831	78.5%
	Rains (100 %)	1,931	79.8%	417	17.2%	57	2.4%	15	0.6%	1,967	81.0%	387	15.9%	60	2.5%	15	0.6%	1,905	79.2%
	Rockwall (100 %)	15,757	76.3%	4,220	20.4%	533	2.6%	147	0.7%	16,381	78.4%	3,835	18.4%	509	2.4%	168	0.8%	15,874	76.9%
	Upshur (100 %)	6,750	78.3%	1,529	17.7%	298	3.5%	48	0.6%	6,909	79.4%	1,437	16.5%	303	3.5%	52	0.6%	6,740	78.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D	
DISTRICT 28	Dimmit (100 %)	1,220	69.2%	42	2.4%	32	1.8%	467	26.5%	1,151	65.2%	32	1.8%	114	6.5%	502	28.5%	1,219	69.1%
	Duval (100 %)	2,338	78.9%	97	3.3%	38	1.3%	494	16.6%	2,275	76.7%	65	2.2%	134	4.5%	522	17.6%	2,365	79.9%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	15,764	61.7%	904	3.5%	447	1.8%	8,372	32.6%	15,108	58.9%	730	2.8%	1,446	5.6%	8,758	34.3%	15,934	62.3%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	558	79.3%	13	1.8%	6	0.9%	129	18.3%	533	75.5%	8	1.1%	36	5.1%	139	19.8%	554	78.9%
	La Salle (100 %)	427	52.8%	18	2.2%	5	0.6%	361	44.8%	399	49.5%	16	2.0%	30	3.7%	372	46.3%	412	51.2%
	Live Oak (100 %)	400	16.6%	57	2.4%	23	1.0%	1,924	79.9%	391	16.2%	67	2.8%	27	1.1%	1,932	80.2%	420	17.4%
	McMullen (100 %)	36	15.1%	2	0.8%	2	0.8%	193	80.1%	39	16.2%	3	1.2%	6	2.5%	196	83.8%	33	14.1%
	Maverick (21 %)	452	72.1%	25	4.0%	22	3.5%	115	18.1%	435	68.5%	22	3.5%	63	9.9%	132	21.1%	468	74.6%
	Starr (100 %)	3,953	80.7%	86	1.8%	61	1.2%	808	16.4%	3,810	77.4%	68	1.4%	239	4.9%	844	17.2%	3,982	81.3%
	Webb (100 %)	18,050	70.9%	843	3.3%	1,141	4.5%	4,971	19.4%	15,909	62.2%	798	3.1%	3,911	15.3%	5,931	23.4%	18,266	72.2%
	Zapata (100 %)	804	72.0%	18	1.6%	16	1.4%	268	24.1%	757	68.0%	15	1.3%	74	6.6%	282	25.4%	799	72.0%
DISTRICT 29		47,320	64.9%	1,270	1.7%	1,099	1.5%	23,087	31.6%	47,175	64.6%	1,199	1.6%	1,554	2.1%	23,796	32.6%	47,797	65.5%
	Harris (16 %)	47,320	64.9%	1,270	1.7%	1,099	1.5%	23,087	31.6%	47,175	64.6%	1,199	1.6%	1,554	2.1%	23,796	32.6%	47,797	65.5%
DISTRICT 30		89,926	74.0%	1,967	1.6%	1,512	1.2%	27,803	22.9%	89,883	73.9%	2,115	1.7%	1,841	1.5%	28,895	23.8%	90,717	74.6%
	Dallas (20 %)	71,674	79.3%	1,228	1.4%	1,029	1.1%	16,196	17.9%	71,693	79.2%	1,337	1.5%	1,297	1.4%	17,007	18.8%	72,274	79.9%
	Tarrant (12 %)	18,252	58.8%	739	2.4%	483	1.6%	11,607	37.3%	18,190	58.5%	778	2.5%	544	1.7%	11,888	38.2%	18,443	59.2%
DISTRICT 31		32,156	28.3%	4,078	3.6%	1,681	1.5%	75,186	66.5%	31,495	27.8%	4,769	4.2%	1,677	1.5%	76,720	67.6%	32,380	28.5%
	Bell (91 %)	12,507	32.4%	1,127	2.9%	551	1.4%	23,937	62.3%	12,484	32.5%	1,379	3.6%	636	1.7%	24,466	63.5%	12,787	33.2%
	Burnet (85 %)	1,904	19.6%	367	3.8%	138	1.4%	7,214	75.0%	1,852	19.3%	428	4.5%	123	1.3%	7,329	76.1%	1,921	19.9%
	Coryell (100 %)	2,137	24.9%	356	4.2%	155	1.8%	6,065	71.0%	1,986	23.3%	370	4.3%	118	1.4%	6,201	72.5%	2,046	23.9%
	Hamilton (100 %)	598	25.1%	83	3.5%	35	1.5%	1,770	75.6%	441	18.8%	103	4.4%	27	1.2%	1,821	78.6%	423	18.2%
	Lampasas (100 %)	777	16.9%	139	3.0%	48	1.0%	3,557	77.9%	777	17.0%	188	4.1%	46	1.0%	3,611	78.9%	792	17.3%
	Mills (100 %)	188	14.1%	44	3.3%	12	0.9%	1,110	84.7%	147	11.2%	48	3.7%	6	0.5%	1,117	85.3%	153	11.7%
	Williamson (45 %)	14,045	29.1%	1,962	4.1%	742	1.5%	31,533	65.3%	13,808	28.6%	2,253	4.7%	721	1.5%	32,175	66.3%	14,258	29.4%
DISTRICT 32		42,291	30.7%	3,761	2.7%	1,962	1.4%	89,385	64.8%	42,168	30.6%	4,448	3.2%	1,960	1.4%	91,846	66.4%	42,441	30.7%
	Camp (100 %)	785	30.7%	40	1.6%	23	0.9%	1,700	66.6%	761	29.8%	67	2.6%	23	0.9%	1,732	68.0%	755	29.6%
	Collin (4 %)	2,223	33.8%	175	2.7%	108	1.6%	4,074	61.9%	2,179	33.1%	216	3.3%	109	1.7%	4,172	63.2%	2,239	33.9%
	Dallas (18 %)	31,022	38.3%	2,354	2.9%	1,320	1.6%	45,917	56.6%	31,166	38.4%	2,622	3.2%	1,421	1.8%	47,645	58.5%	31,294	38.5%
	Hunt (30 %)	834	17.1%	137	2.8%	76	1.6%	3,811	78.3%	821	16.9%	184	3.8%	53	1.1%	3,903	80.1%	804	16.5%
	Rains (100 %)	413	17.2%	54	2.2%	33	1.4%	1,901	79.4%	400	16.7%	71	3.0%	23	1.0%	1,934	80.6%	413	17.2%
	Rockwall (100 %)	4,050	19.6%	520	2.5%	201	1.0%	15,864	76.7%	3,951	19.1%	654	3.2%	204	1.0%	16,161	78.1%	3,992	19.3%
	Upshur (100 %)	1,506	17.5%	259	3.0%	99	1.2%	6,746	78.5%	1,444	16.8%	338	3.9%	62	0.7%	6,818	79.4%	1,482	17.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

SUP CT CHIEF				SUP CT 6				SUP CT 7				SUP CT 8								
Oxford-L				Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R		
DISTRICT 28	Dimmit (100 %)	43	2.4%	533	30.4%	1,165	66.5%	54	3.1%	485	27.3%	1,243	70.0%	31	1.7%	17	1.0%	676	68.9%	
	Duval (100 %)	74	2.5%	575	19.5%	2,309	78.2%	69	2.3%	507	17.1%	2,379	80.3%	60	2.0%	18	0.6%	800	62.4%	
	Hidalgo (36 %)	872	3.4%	9,025	35.5%	15,614	61.3%	817	3.2%	8,222	31.9%	16,703	64.9%	571	2.2%	258	1.0%	11,234	67.6%	
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	9	1.3%	143	20.4%	546	77.8%	13	1.9%	116	16.6%	573	82.1%	6	0.9%	3	0.4%	205	59.4%	
	La Salle (100 %)	20	2.5%	375	46.4%	404	50.0%	29	3.6%	365	44.8%	429	52.6%	17	2.1%	4	0.5%	419	80.1%	
	Live Oak (100 %)	57	2.4%	1,945	81.3%	379	15.9%	67	2.8%	1,948	81.2%	385	16.0%	52	2.2%	14	0.6%	2,020	91.1%	
	McMullen (100 %)	5	2.1%	195	85.9%	25	11.0%	7	3.1%	194	85.5%	28	12.3%	4	1.8%	1	0.4%	200	90.1%	
	Maverick (21 %)	27	4.3%	151	23.8%	455	71.8%	28	4.4%	127	19.9%	492	77.0%	11	1.7%	9	1.4%	226	55.5%	
	Starr (100 %)	73	1.5%	897	18.5%	3,880	79.8%	84	1.7%	769	15.7%	4,043	82.5%	59	1.2%	28	0.6%	1,237	66.0%	
	Webb (100 %)	1,115	4.4%	6,485	25.7%	17,635	69.9%	1,121	4.4%	5,255	20.6%	19,281	75.4%	533	2.1%	489	1.9%	11,078	56.9%	
	Zapata (100 %)	29	2.6%	307	27.6%	786	70.7%	18	1.6%	268	23.8%	836	74.3%	13	1.2%	8	0.7%	448	70.1%	
DISTRICT 29		1,397	1.9%	24,000	33.0%	47,291	65.1%	1,396	1.9%	22,855	31.4%	48,172	66.2%	1,154	1.6%	555	0.8%	27,003	65.6%	
	Harris (16 %)	1,397	1.9%	24,000	33.0%	47,291	65.1%	1,396	1.9%	22,855	31.4%	48,172	66.2%	1,154	1.6%	555	0.8%	27,003	65.6%	
DISTRICT 30		2,047	1.7%	29,080	24.0%	90,114	74.3%	2,107	1.7%	28,418	23.4%	90,300	74.4%	1,943	1.6%	660	0.5%	33,828	56.3%	
	Dallas (20 %)	1,229	1.4%	17,087	18.9%	71,903	79.7%	1,254	1.4%	16,670	18.5%	71,931	79.7%	1,238	1.4%	444	0.5%	20,537	51.0%	
	Tarrant (12 %)	818	2.6%	11,993	38.6%	18,211	58.6%	853	2.7%	11,748	37.9%	18,369	59.2%	705	2.3%	216	0.7%	13,291	67.1%	
DISTRICT 31		4,323	3.8%	77,306	68.4%	31,128	27.6%	4,517	4.0%	76,363	67.6%	31,719	28.1%	4,062	3.6%	791	0.7%	80,739	82.2%	
	Bell (91 %)	1,275	3.3%	24,672	64.3%	12,353	32.2%	1,361	3.5%	24,301	63.4%	12,605	32.9%	1,186	3.1%	257	0.7%	25,840	81.4%	
	Burnet (85 %)	387	4.0%	7,417	77.1%	1,793	18.6%	407	4.2%	7,368	76.7%	1,813	18.9%	367	3.8%	57	0.6%	7,643	87.0%	
	Coryell (100 %)	309	3.6%	6,222	73.3%	1,963	23.1%	309	3.6%	6,187	72.7%	1,969	23.1%	285	3.3%	71	0.8%	6,511	86.2%	
	Hamilton (100 %)	74	3.2%	1,826	79.4%	404	17.6%	71	3.1%	1,802	78.9%	402	17.6%	75	3.3%	6	0.3%	1,899	89.5%	
	Lampasas (100 %)	176	3.8%	3,654	80.2%	750	16.5%	152	3.3%	3,625	79.5%	759	16.6%	151	3.3%	27	0.6%	3,752	89.7%	
	Mills (100 %)	40	3.1%	1,137	87.5%	122	9.4%	41	3.2%	1,122	86.4%	129	9.9%	43	3.3%	4	0.3%	1,162	93.9%	
	Williamson (45 %)	2,062	4.3%	32,378	67.0%	13,743	28.5%	2,176	4.5%	31,958	66.1%	14,042	29.1%	1,955	4.0%	369	0.8%	33,932	79.7%	
	DISTRICT 32		4,016	2.9%	91,523	66.6%	41,884	30.5%	4,118	3.0%	90,219	65.6%	42,743	31.1%	3,716	2.7%	938	0.7%	95,520	82.2%
		Camp (100 %)	60	2.4%	1,744	68.7%	732	28.8%	62	2.4%	1,736	68.6%	721	28.5%	55	2.2%	19	0.8%	1,834	86.9%
Collin (4 %)		192	2.9%	4,136	63.1%	2,197	33.5%	220	3.4%	4,080	62.1%	2,252	34.3%	184	2.8%	52	0.8%	4,346	77.2%	
Dallas (18 %)		2,449	3.0%	47,183	58.4%	31,085	38.5%	2,546	3.2%	46,213	57.1%	31,833	39.3%	2,227	2.8%	628	0.8%	50,021	77.1%	
Hunt (30 %)		167	3.4%	3,923	80.7%	771	15.9%	169	3.5%	3,873	79.7%	786	16.2%	169	3.5%	30	0.6%	4,007	90.0%	
Rains (100 %)		52	2.2%	1,954	81.7%	378	15.8%	61	2.5%	1,933	81.1%	378	15.9%	59	2.5%	13	0.5%	1,995	91.9%	
Rockwall (100 %)		548	2.6%	16,156	78.4%	3,913	19.0%	534	2.6%	15,988	77.5%	4,032	19.6%	505	2.4%	97	0.5%	16,576	88.4%	
Upshur (100 %)		285	3.3%	6,903	80.3%	1,411	16.4%	286	3.3%	6,887	80.2%	1,368	15.9%	278	3.2%	49	0.6%	7,044	89.7%	

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		SUP CT 8				CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9			
		Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R	
DISTRICT 28	Dimmit (100 %)	201	20.5%	104	10.6%	512	29.5%	1,162	66.9%	63	3.6%	624	61.9%	188	18.7%	196	19.4%	667	68.5%
	Duval (100 %)	307	23.9%	175	13.7%	537	18.3%	2,294	78.0%	109	3.7%	704	54.4%	309	23.9%	280	21.7%	789	61.9%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	3,485	21.0%	1,898	11.4%	8,952	35.3%	15,449	60.9%	969	3.8%	10,632	62.5%	2,716	16.0%	3,666	21.5%	11,227	67.8%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	96	27.8%	44	12.8%	138	19.9%	534	77.2%	20	2.9%	196	54.9%	69	19.3%	92	25.8%	216	61.2%
	La Salle (100 %)	66	12.6%	38	7.3%	366	46.0%	403	50.7%	26	3.3%	405	77.0%	65	12.4%	56	10.6%	416	80.6%
	Live Oak (100 %)	122	5.5%	75	3.4%	1,920	80.1%	394	16.4%	84	3.5%	2,003	90.2%	149	6.7%	69	3.1%	2,021	91.2%
	McMullen (100 %)	8	3.6%	14	6.3%	194	86.6%	24	10.7%	6	2.7%	197	88.7%	10	4.5%	15	6.8%	198	91.7%
	Maverick (21 %)	112	27.5%	69	17.0%	145	23.1%	449	71.4%	35	5.6%	202	48.2%	88	21.0%	129	30.8%	234	56.8%
	Starr (100 %)	430	23.0%	206	11.0%	855	17.6%	3,894	80.3%	101	2.1%	1,139	59.7%	340	17.8%	430	22.5%	1,228	65.1%
	Webb (100 %)	4,458	22.9%	3,943	20.2%	6,380	25.2%	17,351	68.6%	1,551	6.1%	9,568	48.2%	3,127	15.7%	7,167	36.1%	9,164	46.4%
	Zapata (100 %)	103	16.1%	88	13.8%	300	27.2%	776	70.4%	26	2.4%	420	63.4%	87	13.1%	155	23.4%	425	65.1%
		7,432	18.1%	6,697	16.3%	23,533	32.5%	47,252	65.2%	1,710	2.4%	26,259	62.3%	8,323	19.7%	7,577	18.0%	27,002	64.6%
DISTRICT 29	Harris (16 %)	7,432	18.1%	6,697	16.3%	23,533	32.5%	47,252	65.2%	1,710	2.4%	26,259	62.3%	8,323	19.7%	7,577	18.0%	27,002	64.6%
DISTRICT 30		16,151	26.9%	10,117	16.8%	28,442	23.5%	90,000	74.3%	2,625	2.2%	31,858	52.4%	19,279	31.7%	9,632	15.9%	32,902	55.1%
DISTRICT 31	Dallas (20 %)	11,983	29.7%	7,770	19.3%	16,634	18.5%	71,798	79.7%	1,660	1.8%	19,011	46.8%	14,461	35.6%	7,129	17.6%	19,793	49.8%
	Tarrant (12 %)	4,168	21.0%	2,347	11.8%	11,808	38.1%	18,202	58.8%	965	3.1%	12,847	63.7%	4,818	23.9%	2,503	12.4%	13,109	65.6%
		10,475	10.7%	6,994	7.1%	76,406	67.9%	31,342	27.8%	4,795	4.3%	79,423	80.4%	12,271	12.4%	7,088	7.2%	80,546	81.9%
	Bell (91 %)	3,843	12.1%	2,066	6.5%	24,373	63.7%	12,469	32.6%	1,425	3.7%	25,380	79.6%	4,489	14.1%	2,015	6.3%	25,793	81.2%
	Burnet (85 %)	660	7.5%	481	5.5%	7,343	76.4%	1,815	18.9%	451	4.7%	7,488	84.7%	952	10.8%	398	4.5%	7,619	86.6%
	Coryell (100 %)	620	8.2%	426	5.6%	6,161	72.6%	1,961	23.1%	366	4.3%	6,395	84.1%	799	10.5%	412	5.4%	6,522	85.8%
	Hamilton (100 %)	137	6.5%	86	4.1%	1,783	78.5%	398	17.5%	90	4.0%	1,806	85.0%	250	11.8%	68	3.2%	1,876	88.8%
	Lampasas (100 %)	282	6.7%	147	3.5%	3,597	79.2%	771	17.0%	175	3.9%	3,689	87.7%	359	8.5%	158	3.8%	3,770	89.4%
	Mills (100 %)	43	3.5%	33	2.7%	1,120	86.4%	130	10.0%	46	3.5%	1,127	90.9%	93	7.5%	20	1.6%	1,154	93.2%
	Williamson (45 %)	4,890	11.5%	3,755	8.8%	32,029	66.6%	13,798	28.7%	2,242	4.7%	33,538	78.2%	5,329	12.4%	4,017	9.4%	33,812	79.5%
DISTRICT 32		12,512	10.8%	8,211	7.1%	90,556	66.2%	41,719	30.5%	4,552	3.3%	93,468	80.2%	14,581	12.5%	8,431	7.2%	94,807	81.8%
	Camp (100 %)	197	9.3%	80	3.8%	1,716	68.1%	733	29.1%	69	2.7%	1,817	86.1%	225	10.7%	69	3.3%	1,840	86.8%
	Collin (4 %)	661	11.7%	622	11.0%	4,130	63.3%	2,182	33.4%	217	3.3%	4,237	73.8%	814	14.2%	687	12.0%	4,267	75.3%
	Dallas (18 %)	8,981	13.8%	5,902	9.1%	46,743	58.2%	30,877	38.4%	2,763	3.4%	48,678	74.9%	10,096	15.5%	6,180	9.5%	49,492	76.8%
	Hunt (30 %)	273	6.1%	174	3.9%	3,839	79.6%	795	16.5%	188	3.9%	3,935	88.1%	395	8.8%	134	3.0%	4,003	90.0%
	Rains (100 %)	103	4.7%	73	3.4%	1,917	80.7%	394	16.6%	63	2.7%	1,960	90.0%	156	7.2%	62	2.8%	1,982	91.2%
	Rockwall (100 %)	1,381	7.4%	796	4.2%	16,021	78.0%	3,909	19.0%	598	2.9%	16,320	86.8%	1,624	8.6%	853	4.5%	16,535	88.1%
	Upshur (100 %)	520	6.6%	292	3.7%	6,756	79.1%	1,428	16.7%	359	4.2%	6,941	88.3%	701	8.9%	215	2.7%	7,001	89.6%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L		Altgelt-G	
DISTRICT 28	Dimmit (100 %)	208	21.4%	99	10.2%
	Duval (100 %)	335	26.3%	151	11.8%
	Hidalgo (36 %)	3,457	20.9%	1,886	11.4%
	Jim Hogg (100 %)	87	24.6%	50	14.2%
	La Salle (100 %)	70	13.6%	30	5.8%
	Live Oak (100 %)	145	6.5%	49	2.2%
	McMullen (100 %)	10	4.6%	8	3.7%
	Maverick (21 %)	108	26.2%	70	17.0%
	Starr (100 %)	428	22.7%	231	12.2%
	Webb (100 %)	3,941	19.9%	6,660	33.7%
	Zapata (100 %)	97	14.9%	131	20.1%
		8,472	20.3%	6,352	15.2%
DISTRICT 29	Harris (16 %)	8,472	20.3%	6,352	15.2%
DISTRICT 30		19,035	31.9%	7,787	13.0%
DISTRICT 31	Dallas (20 %)	14,262	35.9%	5,674	14.3%
	Tarrant (12 %)	4,773	23.9%	2,113	10.6%
		11,635	11.8%	6,117	6.2%
	Bell (91 %)	4,310	13.6%	1,673	5.3%
	Burnet (85 %)	793	9.0%	383	4.4%
	Coryell (100 %)	720	9.5%	360	4.7%
	Hamilton (100 %)	176	8.3%	61	2.9%
	Lampasas (100 %)	312	7.4%	137	3.2%
	Mills (100 %)	67	5.4%	17	1.4%
	Williamson (45 %)	5,257	12.4%	3,486	8.2%
		13,944	12.0%	7,105	6.1%
	Camp (100 %)	219	10.3%	61	2.9%
DISTRICT 32	Collin (4 %)	798	14.1%	605	10.7%
	Dallas (18 %)	9,827	15.2%	5,158	8.0%
	Hunt (30 %)	326	7.3%	119	2.7%
	Rains (100 %)	126	5.8%	65	3.0%
	Rockwall (100 %)	1,550	8.3%	681	3.6%
	Upshur (100 %)	623	8.0%	193	2.5%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		U.S. SEN										GOVERNOR							
		Cornyn-R		Alameel-D		Paddock-L		Sanchez-G		Tahiro-W		Abbott-R		Davis-D		Glass-L		Parmer-G	
DISTRICT 32	Wood (100 %)	9,564	86.5%	1,249	11.3%	205	1.9%	41	0.4%	0	0.0%	9,778	86.5%	1,403	12.4%	103	0.9%	26	0.2%
DISTRICT 33		29,661	36.6%	47,798	58.9%	2,367	2.9%	1,297	1.6%	0	0.0%	27,881	33.8%	53,299	64.6%	1,049	1.3%	305	0.4%
DISTRICT 34	Dallas (29 %)	29,661	36.6%	47,798	58.9%	2,367	2.9%	1,297	1.6%	0	0.0%	27,881	33.8%	53,299	64.6%	1,049	1.3%	305	0.4%
		50,105	52.5%	40,022	41.9%	3,014	3.2%	2,296	2.4%	25	0.0%	49,084	50.2%	46,329	47.4%	1,709	1.7%	635	0.6%
DISTRICT 35	Cameron (100 %)	16,747	43.5%	19,188	49.9%	1,386	3.6%	1,121	2.9%	20	0.1%	16,556	42.0%	21,859	55.5%	725	1.8%	244	0.6%
	Kenedy (100 %)	59	50.9%	52	44.8%	2	1.7%	3	2.6%	0	0.0%	64	51.2%	59	47.2%	2	1.6%	0	0.0%
	Kleberg (100 %)	2,805	50.0%	2,463	43.9%	151	2.7%	183	3.3%	4	0.1%	2,836	49.2%	2,804	48.7%	83	1.4%	34	0.6%
	Nueces (83 %)	29,690	60.3%	17,226	35.0%	1,399	2.8%	920	1.9%	1	0.0%	28,774	57.2%	20,333	40.4%	858	1.7%	341	0.7%
	Willacy (100 %)	804	39.4%	1,093	53.5%	76	3.7%	69	3.4%	0	0.0%	854	39.1%	1,274	58.3%	41	1.9%	16	0.7%
		69,242	60.4%	40,505	35.4%	3,403	3.0%	1,365	1.2%	51	0.0%	66,003	56.9%	47,733	41.1%	1,706	1.5%	527	0.5%
	Bexar (26 %)	37,772	52.9%	30,499	42.7%	2,134	3.0%	982	1.4%	48	0.1%	35,494	49.0%	35,525	49.0%	1,071	1.5%	362	0.5%
DISTRICT 36	Guadalupe (100 %)	21,885	72.2%	7,267	24.0%	927	3.1%	252	0.8%	0	0.0%	21,235	69.4%	8,788	28.7%	449	1.5%	104	0.3%
	Karnes (100 %)	1,830	74.4%	557	22.6%	46	1.9%	28	1.1%	0	0.0%	1,768	70.2%	713	28.3%	26	1.0%	10	0.4%
	Wilson (100 %)	7,755	75.0%	2,182	21.1%	296	2.9%	103	1.0%	3	0.0%	7,506	72.0%	2,707	26.0%	160	1.5%	51	0.5%
		92,044	67.3%	40,428	29.6%	3,193	2.3%	1,064	0.8%	33	0.0%	91,866	66.0%	45,393	32.6%	1,548	1.1%	436	0.3%
	Angelina (100 %)	12,866	77.2%	3,414	20.5%	288	1.7%	87	0.5%	8	0.0%	12,939	76.9%	3,686	21.9%	131	0.8%	42	0.2%
	Chambers (100 %)	6,818	81.7%	1,227	14.7%	238	2.9%	62	0.7%	0	0.0%	6,778	80.5%	1,490	17.7%	114	1.4%	32	0.4%
	Hardin (100 %)	9,610	85.7%	1,304	11.6%	256	2.3%	43	0.4%	0	0.0%	9,923	85.7%	1,494	12.9%	122	1.1%	37	0.3%
DISTRICT 37	Harris (7 %)	30,372	62.1%	16,631	34.0%	1,320	2.7%	618	1.3%	2	0.0%	29,447	59.2%	19,483	39.2%	662	1.3%	172	0.3%
	Jasper (100 %)	5,522	78.4%	1,349	19.2%	145	2.1%	24	0.3%	0	0.0%	5,644	79.1%	1,415	19.8%	61	0.9%	13	0.2%
	Jefferson (42 %)	11,638	46.6%	12,848	51.4%	371	1.5%	114	0.5%	17	0.1%	11,610	45.7%	13,556	53.4%	185	0.7%	34	0.1%
	Liberty (100 %)	9,493	78.6%	2,161	17.9%	350	2.9%	67	0.6%	0	0.0%	9,576	76.6%	2,681	21.4%	178	1.4%	67	0.5%
	Newton (100 %)	2,073	72.6%	680	23.8%	85	3.0%	17	0.6%	0	0.0%	2,161	74.4%	703	24.2%	28	1.0%	11	0.4%
	Tyler (100 %)	3,652	78.6%	814	17.5%	140	3.0%	32	0.7%	6	0.1%	3,788	79.4%	885	18.6%	67	1.4%	28	0.6%
		40,473	26.1%	102,206	66.0%	7,614	4.9%	4,605	3.0%	2	0.0%	36,927	23.5%	115,886	73.7%	3,662	2.3%	834	0.5%
DISTRICT 38	Travis (59 %)	40,473	26.1%	102,206	66.0%	7,614	4.9%	4,605	3.0%	2	0.0%	36,927	23.5%	115,886	73.7%	3,662	2.3%	834	0.5%
		111,500	74.7%	32,805	22.0%	3,791	2.5%	1,070	0.7%	0	0.0%	108,171	71.4%	41,180	27.2%	1,834	1.2%	391	0.3%
	Harris (16 %)	111,500	74.7%	32,805	22.0%	3,791	2.5%	1,070	0.7%	0	0.0%	108,171	71.4%	41,180	27.2%	1,834	1.2%	391	0.3%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

GOVERNOR			LT. GOVERNOR								ATTORNEY GEN							
Pavitt-W			Patrick-R		Van De Putte-D		Butler-L		Courtney-G		Paxton-R		Houston-D		Balagia-L		Osborne-G	
DISTRICT 32	Wood (100 %)	00.0%	9,713	86.2%	1,342	11.9%	174	1.5%	35	0.3%	9,638	85.3%	1,464	13.0%	155	1.4%	48	0.4%
DISTRICT 33		00.0%	27,082	33.0%	52,264	63.8%	2,068	2.5%	552	0.7%	27,484	33.8%	51,045	62.7%	2,279	2.8%	613	0.8%
DISTRICT 34	Dallas (29 %)	00.0%	27,082	33.0%	52,264	63.8%	2,068	2.5%	552	0.7%	27,484	33.8%	51,045	62.7%	2,279	2.8%	613	0.8%
		270.0%	44,848	46.5%	48,523	50.3%	2,434	2.5%	670	0.7%	45,179	47.3%	46,134	48.3%	3,264	3.4%	951	1.0%
	Cameron (100 %)	230.1%	14,527	37.5%	23,094	59.6%	922	2.4%	233	0.6%	14,585	38.2%	21,566	56.5%	1,544	4.0%	452	1.2%
	Kenedy (100 %)	00.0%	53	44.9%	61	51.7%	1	0.8%	3	2.5%	51	44.3%	62	53.9%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%
	Kleberg (100 %)	20.0%	2,562	45.3%	2,948	52.1%	114	2.0%	34	0.6%	2,519	45.3%	2,836	51.0%	160	2.9%	42	0.8%
DISTRICT 35	Nueces (83 %)	20.0%	27,004	54.2%	21,063	42.3%	1,337	2.7%	389	0.8%	27,309	55.0%	20,433	41.2%	1,450	2.9%	430	0.9%
	Willacy (100 %)	00.0%	702	33.0%	1,357	63.7%	60	2.8%	11	0.5%	715	34.3%	1,237	59.3%	109	5.2%	26	1.2%
		420.0%	63,104	54.7%	49,582	42.9%	2,238	1.9%	539	0.5%	65,417	57.1%	45,088	39.4%	3,152	2.8%	829	0.7%
	Bexar (26 %)	340.0%	33,388	46.3%	37,014	51.3%	1,328	1.8%	369	0.5%	34,992	49.0%	33,749	47.2%	2,145	3.0%	585	0.8%
	Guadalupe (100 %)	30.0%	20,776	68.1%	8,955	29.4%	645	2.1%	122	0.4%	21,255	70.1%	8,132	26.8%	746	2.5%	172	0.6%
DISTRICT 36	Karnes (100 %)	00.0%	1,677	67.5%	746	30.0%	55	2.2%	6	0.2%	1,716	70.3%	681	27.9%	34	1.4%	10	0.4%
	Wilson (100 %)	50.0%	7,263	70.0%	2,867	27.6%	210	2.0%	42	0.4%	7,454	72.6%	2,526	24.6%	227	2.2%	62	0.6%
		360.0%	90,069	65.2%	44,575	32.3%	2,891	2.1%	618	0.4%	89,775	65.1%	45,394	32.9%	2,217	1.6%	581	0.4%
	Angelina (100 %)	170.1%	12,749	76.2%	3,624	21.7%	309	1.8%	42	0.3%	12,513	75.2%	3,878	23.3%	214	1.3%	44	0.3%
	Chambers (100 %)	10.0%	6,717	80.2%	1,420	17.0%	202	2.4%	33	0.4%	6,775	81.1%	1,437	17.2%	116	1.4%	26	0.3%
DISTRICT 37	Hardin (100 %)	00.0%	9,735	84.9%	1,456	12.7%	238	2.1%	36	0.3%	9,614	83.9%	1,666	14.5%	158	1.4%	26	0.2%
	Harris (7 %)	10.0%	28,773	58.1%	19,229	38.8%	1,203	2.4%	332	0.7%	29,383	59.3%	18,761	37.9%	1,069	2.2%	315	0.6%
	Jasper (100 %)	00.0%	5,502	78.1%	1,406	19.9%	131	1.9%	10	0.1%	5,342	75.9%	1,593	22.6%	89	1.3%	16	0.2%
	Jefferson (42 %)	140.1%	11,284	45.0%	13,375	53.4%	307	1.2%	92	0.4%	11,140	44.4%	13,607	54.3%	255	1.0%	76	0.3%
	Liberty (100 %)	00.0%	9,554	76.8%	2,523	20.3%	319	2.6%	42	0.3%	9,514	76.9%	2,621	21.2%	186	1.5%	44	0.4%
DISTRICT 38	Newton (100 %)	20.1%	2,085	73.0%	701	24.6%	57	2.0%	12	0.4%	1,964	69.2%	818	28.8%	39	1.4%	18	0.6%
	Tyler (100 %)	10.0%	3,670	78.8%	841	18.1%	125	2.7%	19	0.4%	3,530	75.9%	1,013	21.8%	91	2.0%	16	0.3%
		90.0%	34,757	22.3%	112,147	71.8%	7,052	4.5%	2,156	1.4%	34,748	22.4%	108,108	69.8%	9,582	6.2%	2,376	1.5%
	Travis (59 %)	90.0%	34,757	22.3%	112,147	71.8%	7,052	4.5%	2,156	1.4%	34,748	22.4%	108,108	69.8%	9,582	6.2%	2,376	1.5%
		20.0%	104,822	69.5%	41,629	27.6%	3,609	2.4%	722	0.5%	108,029	71.6%	39,444	26.2%	2,641	1.8%	673	0.4%
	Harris (16 %)	20.0%	104,822	69.5%	41,629	27.6%	3,609	2.4%	722	0.5%	108,029	71.6%	39,444	26.2%	2,641	1.8%	673	0.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		COMPTROLLER								LAND COMM								AG COMM	
		Hegar-R		Collier-D		Sanders-L		Shafto-G		Bush-R		Cook-D		Knight-L		Alessi-G		Miller-R	
DISTRICT 32	Wood (100 %)	9,405	84.2%	1,452	13.0%	258	2.3%	57	0.5%	9,514	84.7%	1,370	12.2%	281	2.5%	69	0.6%	9,401	84.1%
DISTRICT 33		27,094	33.6%	49,939	62.0%	2,554	3.2%	973	1.2%	29,802	36.7%	47,842	58.9%	2,036	2.5%	1,546	1.9%	27,620	34.4%
DISTRICT 34	Dallas (29 %)	27,094	33.6%	49,939	62.0%	2,554	3.2%	973	1.2%	29,802	36.7%	47,842	58.9%	2,036	2.5%	1,546	1.9%	27,620	34.4%
		44,238	47.0%	44,973	47.8%	3,545	3.8%	1,289	1.4%	49,968	52.1%	41,605	43.4%	2,383	2.5%	1,954	2.0%	44,005	46.7%
	Cameron (100 %)	14,308	38.0%	21,110	56.1%	1,601	4.3%	609	1.6%	16,724	43.5%	19,858	51.7%	908	2.4%	951	2.5%	14,054	37.2%
	Kenedy (100 %)	51	46.4%	57	51.8%	1	0.9%	1	0.9%	54	49.5%	50	45.9%	1	0.9%	4	3.7%	47	43.5%
	Kleberg (100 %)	2,490	45.4%	2,748	50.1%	185	3.4%	65	1.2%	2,947	52.1%	2,462	43.5%	124	2.2%	122	2.2%	2,467	44.9%
	Nueces (83 %)	26,684	54.7%	19,832	40.7%	1,659	3.4%	586	1.2%	29,387	59.2%	18,087	36.5%	1,294	2.6%	839	1.7%	26,760	54.9%
DISTRICT 35	Willacy (100 %)	705	34.3%	1,226	59.6%	99	4.8%	28	1.4%	856	40.8%	1,148	54.7%	56	2.7%	38	1.8%	677	32.9%
		63,359	56.0%	44,856	39.7%	3,520	3.1%	1,384	1.2%	67,443	58.9%	41,986	36.7%	3,238	2.8%	1,858	1.6%	62,963	55.7%
	Bexar (26 %)	33,787	47.8%	33,639	47.6%	2,238	3.2%	973	1.4%	36,653	51.2%	31,468	44.0%	2,027	2.8%	1,383	1.9%	33,665	47.7%
	Guadalupe (100 %)	20,755	69.3%	7,987	26.7%	928	3.1%	289	1.0%	21,500	71.1%	7,543	24.9%	881	2.9%	333	1.1%	20,595	68.7%
DISTRICT 36	Karnes (100 %)	1,627	68.7%	677	28.6%	50	2.1%	15	0.6%	1,780	72.4%	618	25.1%	43	1.7%	19	0.8%	1,575	65.5%
	Wilson (100 %)	7,190	70.8%	2,553	25.1%	304	3.0%	107	1.1%	7,510	73.1%	2,357	22.9%	287	2.8%	123	1.2%	7,128	70.1%
		87,699	64.2%	45,002	32.9%	3,065	2.2%	909	0.7%	91,343	66.3%	42,317	30.7%	2,996	2.2%	1,072	0.8%	88,175	64.7%
	Angelina (100 %)	12,292	74.3%	3,797	22.9%	384	2.3%	76	0.5%	12,585	75.6%	3,642	21.9%	346	2.1%	79	0.5%	12,226	74.0%
	Chambers (100 %)	6,641	79.9%	1,433	17.2%	189	2.3%	51	0.6%	6,794	81.2%	1,315	15.7%	194	2.3%	61	0.7%	6,634	80.0%
	Hardin (100 %)	9,340	82.5%	1,667	14.7%	259	2.3%	50	0.4%	9,620	84.0%	1,499	13.1%	274	2.4%	53	0.5%	9,332	82.5%
	Harris (7 %)	28,913	58.9%	18,540	37.8%	1,206	2.5%	441	0.9%	30,477	61.8%	17,079	34.7%	1,171	2.4%	557	1.1%	29,591	60.5%
	Jasper (100 %)	5,203	74.7%	1,593	22.9%	135	1.9%	35	0.5%	5,363	76.1%	1,510	21.4%	148	2.1%	30	0.4%	5,166	74.2%
	Jefferson (42 %)	10,883	43.8%	13,489	54.3%	358	1.4%	107	0.4%	11,514	45.9%	13,141	52.3%	328	1.3%	129	0.5%	10,876	43.8%
	Liberty (100 %)	9,175	75.2%	2,644	21.7%	301	2.5%	84	0.7%	9,485	76.8%	2,433	19.7%	337	2.7%	95	0.8%	9,138	75.0%
DISTRICT 37	Newton (100 %)	1,891	67.3%	818	29.1%	75	2.7%	27	1.0%	1,987	69.9%	763	26.9%	70	2.5%	21	0.7%	1,864	66.3%
	Tyler (100 %)	3,361	73.4%	1,021	22.3%	158	3.5%	38	0.8%	3,518	76.0%	935	20.2%	128	2.8%	47	1.0%	3,348	73.2%
		35,769	23.3%	106,332	69.1%	8,206	5.3%	3,515	2.3%	39,020	25.3%	102,687	66.7%	6,881	4.5%	5,343	3.5%	35,137	23.2%
DISTRICT 38	Travis (59 %)	35,769	23.3%	106,332	69.1%	8,206	5.3%	3,515	2.3%	39,020	25.3%	102,687	66.7%	6,881	4.5%	5,343	3.5%	35,137	23.2%
		106,901	71.5%	38,644	25.8%	3,069	2.1%	881	0.6%	112,371	74.8%	33,380	22.2%	3,196	2.1%	1,228	0.8%	109,388	73.6%
	Harris (16 %)	106,901	71.5%	38,644	25.8%	3,069	2.1%	881	0.6%	112,371	74.8%	33,380	22.2%	3,196	2.1%	1,228	0.8%	109,388	73.6%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

		AG COMM						RR COMM 3						SUP CT CHIEF					
		Hogan-D		Palmquist-L		Kendrick-G		Sitton-R		Brown-D		Miller-L		Salinas-G		Hecht-R		Moody-D	
DISTRICT 32	Wood (100 %)	1,458	13.0%	222	2.0%	102	0.9%	9,372	83.8%	1,446	12.9%	296	2.6%	65	0.6%	9,481	84.6%	1,462	13.0%
DISTRICT 33		48,487	60.4%	2,432	3.0%	1,717	2.1%	27,232	33.9%	48,192	59.9%	2,484	3.1%	2,519	3.1%	28,573	35.5%	49,490	61.4%
DISTRICT 34	Dallas (29 %)	48,487	60.4%	2,432	3.0%	1,717	2.1%	27,232	33.9%	48,192	59.9%	2,484	3.1%	2,519	3.1%	28,573	35.5%	49,490	61.4%
		45,052	47.8%	3,133	3.3%	1,983	2.1%	43,590	46.1%	42,708	45.2%	3,250	3.4%	4,955	5.2%	45,886	48.6%	45,470	48.1%
DISTRICT 35	Cameron (100 %)	21,579	57.1%	1,299	3.4%	869	2.3%	13,867	36.6%	19,990	52.7%	1,356	3.6%	2,717	7.2%	14,585	38.5%	21,914	57.9%
	Kenedy (100 %)	57	52.8%	2	1.9%	2	1.9%	50	46.7%	50	46.7%	1	0.9%	6	5.6%	49	45.8%	57	53.3%
	Kleberg (100 %)	2,791	50.8%	137	2.5%	98	1.8%	2,394	43.5%	2,600	47.2%	138	2.5%	375	6.8%	2,559	46.6%	2,783	50.7%
	Nueces (83 %)	19,356	39.7%	1,624	3.3%	974	2.0%	26,616	54.4%	18,883	38.6%	1,690	3.5%	1,712	3.5%	27,987	57.1%	19,439	39.7%
	Willacy (100 %)	1,269	61.7%	71	3.5%	40	1.9%	663	32.2%	1,185	57.6%	65	3.2%	145	7.0%	706	34.4%	1,277	62.2%
		44,506	39.3%	3,530	3.1%	2,115	1.9%	61,864	54.5%	44,706	39.3%	3,747	3.3%	3,298	2.9%	65,186	57.5%	44,680	39.4%
	Bexar (26 %)	33,178	47.0%	2,247	3.2%	1,479	2.1%	32,858	46.2%	33,445	47.1%	2,247	3.2%	2,525	3.6%	35,307	49.8%	33,405	47.2%
	Guadalupe (100 %)	7,968	26.6%	977	3.3%	433	1.4%	20,417	68.1%	7,964	26.6%	1,087	3.6%	502	1.7%	21,018	70.2%	7,973	26.6%
	Karnes (100 %)	750	31.2%	46	1.9%	35	1.5%	1,564	65.0%	734	30.5%	59	2.5%	49	2.0%	1,632	68.2%	705	29.5%
	Wilson (100 %)	2,610	25.7%	260	2.6%	168	1.7%	7,025	69.1%	2,563	25.2%	354	3.5%	222	2.2%	7,229	71.3%	2,597	25.6%
DISTRICT 36		43,802	32.1%	2,817	2.1%	1,579	1.2%	88,657	64.9%	43,234	31.7%	3,099	2.3%	1,586	1.2%	89,330	65.3%	43,771	32.0%
DISTRICT 37	Angelina (100 %)	3,835	23.2%	295	1.8%	169	1.0%	12,343	74.7%	3,694	22.3%	361	2.2%	135	0.8%	12,403	75.1%	3,807	23.0%
	Chambers (100 %)	1,394	16.8%	174	2.1%	95	1.1%	6,684	80.5%	1,337	16.1%	187	2.3%	90	1.1%	6,687	80.8%	1,400	16.9%
	Hardin (100 %)	1,638	14.5%	233	2.1%	109	1.0%	9,451	83.4%	1,552	13.7%	260	2.3%	63	0.6%	9,415	83.0%	1,605	14.1%
	Harris (7 %)	17,393	35.6%	1,196	2.4%	733	1.5%	29,513	60.2%	17,380	35.5%	1,216	2.5%	889	1.8%	30,135	61.4%	17,657	36.0%
	Jasper (100 %)	1,618	23.3%	126	1.8%	48	0.7%	5,232	75.1%	1,548	22.2%	150	2.2%	35	0.5%	5,272	75.7%	1,557	22.4%
	Jefferson (42 %)	13,403	54.0%	357	1.4%	169	0.7%	11,037	44.4%	13,256	53.3%	364	1.5%	202	0.8%	10,907	43.7%	13,275	53.1%
	Liberty (100 %)	2,625	21.6%	254	2.1%	162	1.3%	9,139	74.8%	2,628	21.5%	352	2.9%	95	0.8%	9,227	75.8%	2,619	21.5%
	Newton (100 %)	859	30.5%	57	2.0%	33	1.2%	1,887	67.2%	818	29.1%	80	2.8%	23	0.8%	1,908	67.7%	826	29.3%
	Tyler (100 %)	1,037	22.7%	125	2.7%	61	1.3%	3,371	73.7%	1,021	22.3%	129	2.8%	54	1.2%	3,376	74.1%	1,025	22.5%
		100,125	66.1%	8,519	5.6%	7,593	5.0%	34,525	22.6%	103,392	67.8%	8,631	5.7%	5,991	3.9%	37,135	24.3%	106,967	69.9%
	Travis (59 %)	100,125	66.1%	8,519	5.6%	7,593	5.0%	34,525	22.6%	103,392	67.8%	8,631	5.7%	5,991	3.9%	37,135	24.3%	106,967	69.9%
	DISTRICT 38		34,559	23.2%	3,145	2.1%	1,608	1.1%	109,609	73.6%	34,614	23.2%	3,260	2.2%	1,495	1.0%	111,280	74.4%	34,961
	Harris (16 %)	34,559	23.2%	3,145	2.1%	1,608	1.1%	109,609	73.6%	34,614	23.2%	3,260	2.2%	1,495	1.0%	111,280	74.4%	34,961	23.4%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

SUP CT CHIEF			SUP CT 6						SUP CT 7						SUP CT 8				
Oxford-L			Brown-R		Meyers-D		Ash-L		Boyd-R		Benavides-D		Fulton-L		Waterbury-G		Johnson-R		
DISTRICT 32	Wood (100 %)	263	2.3%	9,524	85.3%	1,397	12.5%	240	2.2%	9,509	85.1%	1,373	12.3%	239	2.1%	50	0.4%	9,697	93.6%
DISTRICT 33		2,505	3.1%	28,337	35.4%	49,113	61.3%	2,679	3.3%	27,285	34.0%	49,982	62.3%	2,271	2.8%	752	0.9%	31,791	60.7%
DISTRICT 34	Dallas (29 %)	2,505	3.1%	28,337	35.4%	49,113	61.3%	2,679	3.3%	27,285	34.0%	49,982	62.3%	2,271	2.8%	752	0.9%	31,791	60.7%
		3,140	3.3%	46,838	49.8%	43,645	46.4%	3,479	3.7%	43,891	46.3%	47,586	50.2%	2,530	2.7%	880	0.9%	54,913	72.6%
DISTRICT 35	Cameron (100 %)	1,365	3.6%	15,370	40.9%	20,791	55.3%	1,452	3.9%	13,808	36.2%	22,970	60.3%	974	2.6%	369	1.0%	19,561	67.3%
	Kenedy (100 %)	1	0.9%	52	47.3%	55	50.0%	3	2.7%	49	44.1%	61	55.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	58	79.5%
	Kleberg (100 %)	150	2.7%	2,631	47.9%	2,681	48.8%	185	3.4%	2,437	43.7%	3,001	53.8%	98	1.8%	47	0.8%	3,177	73.2%
	Nueces (83 %)	1,553	3.2%	28,009	57.5%	18,901	38.8%	1,772	3.6%	26,907	54.9%	20,235	41.3%	1,407	2.9%	448	0.9%	31,148	76.5%
	Willacy (100 %)	71	3.5%	776	37.7%	1,217	59.1%	67	3.3%	690	33.3%	1,319	63.6%	51	2.5%	15	0.7%	969	67.9%
		3,455	3.0%	65,734	58.3%	43,324	38.4%	3,721	3.3%	63,229	55.7%	46,356	40.8%	3,003	2.6%	905	0.8%	71,891	76.8%
	Bexar (26 %)	2,131	3.0%	35,601	50.6%	32,494	46.1%	2,328	3.3%	33,652	47.4%	35,001	49.3%	1,769	2.5%	615	0.9%	40,190	72.1%
	Guadalupe (100 %)	951	3.2%	21,144	70.7%	7,751	25.9%	999	3.3%	20,726	69.1%	8,154	27.2%	895	3.0%	208	0.7%	22,111	82.7%
	Karnes (100 %)	56	2.3%	1,657	70.2%	645	27.3%	60	2.5%	1,635	69.0%	678	28.6%	44	1.9%	12	0.5%	1,796	88.3%
	Wilson (100 %)	317	3.1%	7,332	72.6%	2,434	24.1%	334	3.3%	7,216	71.4%	2,523	25.0%	295	2.9%	70	0.7%	7,794	86.2%
DISTRICT 36		3,627	2.7%	90,265	66.4%	42,576	31.3%	3,172	2.3%	88,700	65.2%	43,810	32.2%	2,843	2.1%	782	0.6%	94,599	85.7%
DISTRICT 37	Angelina (100 %)	316	1.9%	12,487	75.7%	3,666	22.2%	352	2.1%	12,484	75.7%	3,593	21.8%	315	1.9%	103	0.6%	12,933	90.5%
	Chambers (100 %)	194	2.3%	6,673	81.6%	1,311	16.0%	194	2.4%	6,684	80.8%	1,353	16.4%	191	2.3%	41	0.5%	6,894	91.6%
	Hardin (100 %)	325	2.9%	9,563	84.5%	1,481	13.1%	276	2.4%	9,559	84.4%	1,478	13.1%	241	2.1%	44	0.4%	9,837	92.6%
	Harris (7 %)	1,293	2.6%	30,283	62.1%	17,179	35.2%	1,293	2.7%	28,936	59.3%	18,440	37.8%	1,126	2.3%	316	0.6%	31,644	81.1%
	Jasper (100 %)	135	1.9%	5,315	76.8%	1,482	21.4%	128	1.8%	5,338	77.0%	1,442	20.8%	119	1.7%	30	0.4%	5,543	90.3%
	Jefferson (42 %)	800	3.2%	11,217	45.1%	13,309	53.5%	349	1.4%	11,016	44.3%	13,417	54.0%	331	1.3%	105	0.4%	12,260	79.6%
	Liberty (100 %)	324	2.7%	9,316	76.8%	2,460	20.3%	355	2.9%	9,282	76.6%	2,449	20.2%	309	2.6%	73	0.6%	9,740	89.6%
	Newton (100 %)	83	2.9%	1,946	69.4%	779	27.8%	79	2.8%	1,968	70.3%	734	26.2%	73	2.6%	25	0.9%	2,088	88.2%
	Tyler (100 %)	157	3.4%	3,465	76.7%	909	20.1%	146	3.2%	3,433	76.0%	904	20.0%	138	3.1%	45	1.0%	3,660	89.0%
		8,964	5.9%	36,915	24.3%	105,696	69.4%	9,601	6.3%	35,242	23.1%	106,902	70.2%	7,706	5.1%	2,525	1.7%	43,664	37.3%
	Travis (59 %)	8,964	5.9%	36,915	24.3%	105,696	69.4%	9,601	6.3%	35,242	23.1%	106,902	70.2%	7,706	5.1%	2,525	1.7%	43,664	37.3%
	DISTRICT 38		3,338	2.2%	111,291	74.9%	33,916	22.8%	3,281	2.2%	107,575	72.4%	37,184	25.0%	3,023	2.0%	704	0.5%	114,096
	Harris (16 %)	3,338	2.2%	111,291	74.9%	33,916	22.8%	3,281	2.2%	107,575	72.4%	37,184	25.0%	3,023	2.0%	704	0.5%	114,096	86.9%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

SUP CT 8					CCA 3						CCA 4				CCA 9				
	Koelsch-L		Chisholm-G		Richardson-R		Granberg-D		Bennett-L		Yeary-R		Parker-L		Sanders-Castro-G		Newell-R		
DISTRICT 32	Wood (100 %)	396	3.8%	272	2.6%	9,434	84.8%	1,401	12.6%	295	2.7%	9,580	92.3%	570	5.5%	231	2.2%	9,687	93.3%
DISTRICT 33		12,223	23.3%	8,361	16.0%	27,820	34.9%	49,007	61.4%	2,973	3.7%	30,474	57.9%	12,685	24.1%	9,461	18.0%	31,399	60.6%
DISTRICT 34	Dallas (29 %)	12,223	23.3%	8,361	16.0%	27,820	34.9%	49,007	61.4%	2,973	3.7%	30,474	57.9%	12,685	24.1%	9,461	18.0%	31,399	60.6%
		12,805	16.9%	7,887	10.4%	46,167	49.2%	43,254	46.1%	4,442	4.7%	52,476	68.8%	11,149	14.6%	12,662	16.6%	54,855	73.0%
DISTRICT 35	Cameron (100 %)	6,133	21.1%	3,367	11.6%	15,313	40.4%	20,701	54.6%	1,917	5.1%	18,252	61.5%	5,161	17.4%	6,284	21.2%	19,622	67.3%
	Kenedy (100 %)	10	13.7%	5	6.8%	52	48.6%	53	49.5%	2	1.9%	58	81.7%	5	7.0%	8	11.3%	61	88.4%
	Kleberg (100 %)	732	16.9%	430	9.9%	2,569	46.9%	2,663	48.6%	243	4.4%	3,022	69.0%	624	14.2%	736	16.8%	3,156	73.4%
	Nueces (83 %)	5,620	13.8%	3,937	9.7%	27,494	56.9%	18,611	38.5%	2,193	4.5%	30,260	74.4%	5,069	12.5%	5,367	13.2%	31,049	77.3%
	Willacy (100 %)	310	21.7%	148	10.4%	739	36.0%	1,226	59.7%	87	4.2%	884	61.3%	290	20.1%	267	18.5%	967	67.5%
		13,280	14.2%	8,391	9.0%	65,249	58.0%	42,910	38.1%	4,393	3.9%	69,283	73.3%	12,846	13.6%	12,444	13.2%	71,267	76.0%
	Bexar (26 %)	9,424	16.9%	6,136	11.0%	35,368	50.3%	32,167	45.8%	2,734	3.9%	38,223	67.9%	8,745	15.5%	9,343	16.6%	39,697	71.3%
	Guadalupe (100 %)	2,901	10.8%	1,728	6.5%	20,989	70.3%	7,672	25.7%	1,180	4.0%	21,787	80.3%	3,073	11.3%	2,286	8.4%	22,100	81.7%
	Karnes (100 %)	160	7.9%	78	3.8%	1,637	69.4%	648	27.5%	74	3.1%	1,744	85.5%	184	9.0%	111	5.4%	1,796	88.2%
	Wilson (100 %)	795	8.8%	449	5.0%	7,255	72.0%	2,423	24.0%	405	4.0%	7,529	82.9%	844	9.3%	704	7.8%	7,674	85.1%
DISTRICT 36		8,981	8.1%	6,766	6.1%	89,130	65.8%	42,494	31.4%	3,771	2.8%	93,462	84.3%	10,260	9.3%	7,140	6.4%	94,207	85.3%
DISTRICT 37	Angelina (100 %)	781	5.5%	570	4.0%	12,401	75.4%	3,648	22.2%	402	2.4%	12,772	88.9%	1,080	7.5%	508	3.5%	12,869	89.9%
	Chambers (100 %)	382	5.1%	253	3.4%	6,622	81.1%	1,311	16.0%	237	2.9%	6,860	90.3%	474	6.2%	267	3.5%	6,862	90.8%
	Hardin (100 %)	507	4.8%	284	2.7%	9,482	84.1%	1,491	13.2%	297	2.6%	9,780	92.0%	605	5.7%	243	2.3%	9,852	92.6%
	Harris (7 %)	3,843	9.8%	3,534	9.1%	29,744	61.3%	17,268	35.6%	1,506	3.1%	31,193	79.4%	3,978	10.1%	4,137	10.5%	31,514	80.5%
	Jasper (100 %)	404	6.6%	189	3.1%	5,276	76.4%	1,470	21.3%	159	2.3%	5,469	89.4%	491	8.0%	160	2.6%	5,529	90.2%
	Jefferson (42 %)	1,917	12.4%	1,225	8.0%	11,117	44.9%	13,135	53.1%	490	2.0%	12,135	78.4%	2,093	13.5%	1,249	8.1%	12,177	79.5%
	Liberty (100 %)	705	6.5%	421	3.9%	9,195	76.2%	2,466	20.4%	401	3.3%	9,612	88.1%	950	8.7%	348	3.2%	9,714	88.9%
	Newton (100 %)	169	7.1%	110	4.6%	1,911	68.5%	773	27.7%	104	3.7%	2,062	87.1%	225	9.5%	80	3.4%	2,076	87.2%
	Tyler (100 %)	273	6.6%	180	4.4%	3,382	75.3%	932	20.8%	175	3.9%	3,579	87.5%	364	8.9%	148	3.6%	3,614	88.5%
		31,388	26.8%	41,997	35.9%	35,842	23.7%	105,555	69.9%	9,520	6.3%	42,279	35.8%	32,255	27.3%	43,571	36.9%	43,588	37.4%
	Travis (59 %)	31,388	26.8%	41,997	35.9%	35,842	23.7%	105,555	69.9%	9,520	6.3%	42,279	35.8%	32,255	27.3%	43,571	36.9%	43,588	37.4%
	DISTRICT 38		9,366	7.1%	7,862	6.0%	109,619	74.3%	33,939	23.0%	4,024	2.7%	113,305	86.0%	9,650	7.3%	8,802	6.7%	114,108
	Harris (16 %)	9,366	7.1%	7,862	6.0%	109,619	74.3%	33,939	23.0%	4,024	2.7%	113,305	86.0%	9,650	7.3%	8,802	6.7%	114,108	86.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration

Election Analysis with County Subtotals
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS - PLANC2331
2014 General Election

CCA 9					
		Strange-L		Altgelt-G	
DISTRICT 32	Wood (100 %)	475	4.6%	223	2.1%
DISTRICT 33		13,010	25.1%	7,419	14.3%
DISTRICT 34	Dallas (29 %)	13,010	25.1%	7,419	14.3%
		12,896	17.2%	7,359	9.8%
	Cameron (100 %)	6,167	21.2%	3,362	11.5%
	Kenedy (100 %)	5	7.2%	3	4.3%
	Kleberg (100 %)	759	17.7%	383	8.9%
	Nueces (83 %)	5,660	14.1%	3,450	8.6%
	Willacy (100 %)	305	21.3%	161	11.2%
DISTRICT 35		14,436	15.4%	8,046	8.6%
DISTRICT 36	Bexar (26 %)	10,057	18.1%	5,888	10.6%
	Guadalupe (100 %)	3,288	12.2%	1,667	6.2%
	Karnes (100 %)	173	8.5%	68	3.3%
	Wilson (100 %)	918	10.2%	423	4.7%
		10,453	9.5%	5,839	5.3%
	Angelina (100 %)	1,042	7.3%	404	2.8%
	Chambers (100 %)	493	6.5%	201	2.7%
	Hardin (100 %)	568	5.3%	219	2.1%
	Harris (7 %)	4,174	10.7%	3,480	8.9%
	Jasper (100 %)	478	7.8%	121	2.0%
DISTRICT 37	Jefferson (42 %)	2,196	14.3%	936	6.1%
	Liberty (100 %)	902	8.3%	305	2.8%
	Newton (100 %)	236	9.9%	68	2.9%
	Tyler (100 %)	364	8.9%	105	2.6%
		32,623	28.0%	40,388	34.6%
	Travis (59 %)	32,623	28.0%	40,388	34.6%
DISTRICT 38		9,733	7.4%	7,652	5.8%
	Harris (16 %)	9,733	7.4%	7,652	5.8%

For technical reasons, election results in Texas Legislative Council reports may vary slightly from the official election results. Complete official results for all elections are maintained by the Office of the Texas Secretary of State.
SSVR-T = Total Spanish surname voter registration